A Disintegrating Rocky Planet with Prominent Comet-like Tails Around a Bright Star

[Marc Hon](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2400-6960) \mathbb{D} ¹ [Saul Rappaport](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3182-5569) \mathbb{D} ¹ [Avi Shporer](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1836-3120) \mathbb{D} ¹ [Andrew Vanderburg](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7246-5438) \mathbb{D} ¹ [Karen A. Collins](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6588-9574) \mathbb{D} ² CRISTILYN N. WATKINS \mathbb{D} ² [Richard P. Schwarz](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8227-1020) \mathbb{D} ² [Khalid Barkaoui](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1464-9276) $\mathbb{D}^{3,4,5}$ [Samuel W. Yee](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7961-3907) $\mathbb{D}^{2,6}$ JOSHUA N. WINN \mathbb{D}^6 [Alex S. Polanski](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7047-8681) $\mathbb{D}^{7,8,*}$ [Emily A. Gilbert](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0388-8004) \mathbb{D}^9 [David R. Ciardi](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5741-3047) \mathbb{D}^{10} [Jeroen Audenaert](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4371-3460) \mathbb{D}^1 [William Fong](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0241-2757) \mathbb{D} ¹. [Jack Haviland](http://orcid.org/0009-0005-3397-2046) \mathbb{D} ¹ [Katharine Hesse](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2135-9018) \mathbb{D} ¹ [Daniel Muthukrishna](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5788-9280) \mathbb{D} ¹ [Glen Petitpas](http://orcid.org/0009-0005-2122-0680) \mathbb{D} ¹ ELLIE HADJIYSKA SCHMELZER \mathbb{D}^{1} [Norio Narita](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8511-2981) $\mathbb{D}^{11,12,5}$ [Akihiko Fukui](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4909-5763) $\mathbb{D}^{11,5}$ [Sara Seager](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6892-6948) $\mathbb{D}^{1,4,13}$ and GEORGE R. RICKER \mathbb{D}^1

¹Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

 2 Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

 3 Astrobiology Research Unit, Université de Liège, 19C Allée du 6 Août, 4000 Liège, Belgium

⁴Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

 5 Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias (IAC), Calle Vía Láctea s/n, 38200, La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

⁶Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, 4 Ivy Lane, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA

⁷Lowell Observatory, 1400 W Mars Hill Road, Flagstaff, AZ, 86001, USA

⁸Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, USA

⁹Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA

¹⁰NASA Exoplanet Science Institute/Caltech-IPAC, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

¹¹ Komaba Institute for Science, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan

¹²Astrobiology Center, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan

 13 Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139,

USA

ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of BD+05 4868 Ab, a transiting exoplanet orbiting a bright ($V = 10.16$) K-dwarf (TIC 466376085) with a period of 1.27 days. Observations from NASA's Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS) reveal variable transit depths and asymmetric transit profiles that are characteristic of comet-like tails formed by dusty effluents emanating from a disintegrating planet. Unique to BD+05 4868 Ab is the presence of prominent dust tails in both the trailing and leading directions that contribute to the extinction of starlight from the host star. By fitting the observed transit profile and analytically modeling the drift of dust grains within both dust tails, we infer large grain sizes ($\sim 1-10 \,\mu$ m) and a mass loss rate of $10 M_{\oplus} \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$, suggestive of a lunar-mass object with a disintegration timescale of only several Myr. The host star is probably older than the Sun and is accompanied by an M-dwarf companion at a projected physical separation of 130 AU. The brightness of the host star, combined with the planet's relatively deep transits (0.8−2.0%), presents BD+05 4868 Ab as a prime target for compositional studies of rocky exoplanets and investigations into the nature of catastrophically evaporating planets.

1. INTRODUCTION

Small, rocky planets orbiting their host stars too closely can vaporize. Subject to tidal locking and extreme stellar irradiation, the day-side surfaces of such planets are hot enough to melt and develop thin atmospheres of evaporated rocky material (e.g., Léger et al.

Corresponding author: Marc Hon [mtyhon@mit.edu](mailto: mtyhon@mit.edu)

[∗] Percival Lowell Fellow

[2011;](#page-22-0) [Kite et al.](#page-22-1) [2016;](#page-22-1) [Zilinskas et al.](#page-23-0) [2022;](#page-23-0) [Curry et al.](#page-21-0) [2024\)](#page-21-0). The surface gravity of a hot, close-in planet with a mass comparable to that of Mercury, or smaller, is too weak to retain such an atmosphere. In such cases, the atmospheric vapor tends to escape into space through a thermally driven wind [\(Rappaport et al.](#page-22-2) [2012\)](#page-22-2), often referred to as a Parker-type wind (e.g., [Lamers &](#page-22-3) [Cassinelli](#page-22-3) [1999;](#page-22-3) [Perez-Becker & Chiang](#page-22-4) [2013\)](#page-22-4). Gas pressure accelerates the wind away from the planet, where the gas expands and cools. These conditions result in the condensation of dust grains that eventually decouple

Figure 1. TESS light curve of BD+05 4868 A. (a) Normalized and detrended light curves from Sectors 55 and 82. (b) Phase-folded light curve, repeated for two periods. The light curve is folded at a period of 1.271869 days (\sim 30.5 hrs) and binned over 6-minute intervals (red), showing the average transit profile across 29 transits. (c) Close-up of the transit in the phase-folded light curve.

from the wind and drift away from the planet [\(Perez-](#page-22-4)[Becker & Chiang](#page-22-4) [2013;](#page-22-4) [Booth et al.](#page-21-1) [2023\)](#page-21-1). Depending on the sublimation timescale of the grains, the stream of dusty particulates may be sculpted into comet-like tails under the influence of gravity and radiation pressure from the host star (see, e.g., [Brogi et al.](#page-21-2) [2012;](#page-21-2) [Bu](#page-21-3)[daj](#page-21-3) [2013;](#page-21-3) [van Lieshout et al.](#page-22-5) [2014;](#page-22-5) [Sanchis-Ojeda et al.](#page-22-6) [2015\)](#page-22-6). The blocking of starlight by the planet's dusty tails results in periodic transit profiles that lack the

usual mirror symmetry around the time of minimum light. With continuous replenishment by time-varying outflows of surface material, these dusty tails also produce variable depths from transit to transit [\(Rappaport](#page-22-7) [et al.](#page-22-7) [2014;](#page-22-7) [Bromley & Chiang](#page-21-4) [2023\)](#page-21-4). Asymmetric transit profiles and time-variable transit depths are the hallmark observations of a disintegrating or 'catastrophically evaporating' planet (see also [van Lieshout & Rap](#page-23-1)[paport](#page-23-1) [2018,](#page-23-1) and references therein).

Three disintegrating planets have been discovered to date: *Kepler*-1520 b [\(Rappaport et al.](#page-22-2) [2012\)](#page-22-2), KOI-2700 b [\(Rappaport et al.](#page-22-7) [2014\)](#page-22-7), and $K2-22$ b [\(Sanchis-](#page-22-6)[Ojeda et al.](#page-22-6) [2015\)](#page-22-6), all of which were discovered using data from the Kepler space telescope. These are classified as ultra-short period (USP) planets that orbit cool dwarf stars with spectral types ranging from mid-K to early-M. With estimated planet sizes significantly smaller than Earth, these planets would have otherwise been undetectable in the photometric data if not for the distinct transits characteristic of their large, dusty tails. Although generally asymmetric, the transit profiles of each planet's dust tail are different from one another, reflecting the diversity of dust grain properties and dynamics unique to each system (e.g., [van](#page-22-5) [Lieshout et al.](#page-22-5) [2014\)](#page-22-5). Their transit depths are typically 0.5% or smaller, and modeling suggests dust-driven mass loss at a rate that ranges from several lunar masses to one Earth mass per Gyr, such that the disintegration lifetimes of these planets are expected to be up to several hundred Myr depending on their ages and initial masses [\(Perez-Becker & Chiang](#page-22-4) [2013\)](#page-22-4). For understanding the evolution of disintegrating rocky planets, it would be helpful to measure their occurrence rate, but such measurements are difficult due to the rarity of detections and the limited number of stars (∼ 700, 000 combined) that were observed by the Kepler prime mission [\(Borucki et al.](#page-21-5) [2010\)](#page-21-5) and K2 [\(Howell et al.](#page-22-8) [2014\)](#page-22-8) mission. Another limitation of the existing studies of the three known cases is that their host stars are relatively faint $(V \geq 16)$, which hinders follow-up observations with existing facilities (e.g., [Croll et al.](#page-21-6) [2014;](#page-21-6) [Bochin](#page-21-7)[ski et al.](#page-21-7) [2015;](#page-21-7) Colón et al. [2018;](#page-21-8) [Gaidos et al.](#page-21-9) [2019;](#page-21-9) [Ridden-Harper et al.](#page-22-9) [2019\)](#page-22-9).

NASA's Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS; [Ricker et al.](#page-22-10) [2015\)](#page-22-10) has opened new prospects for discovering additional disintegrating planets. With follow-up observations as the primary consideration for its mission, TESS focuses on transiting planets orbiting bright stars [\(Winn](#page-23-2) [2024\)](#page-23-2). Its nearly all-sky survey, which is expected to achieve ∼97% coverage by the end of its ongoing second Extended Mission, provides vastly more opportunities for finding and characterizing disintegrating planets across a wider range of planet hosts. Given the three discoveries using *Kepler* and $K2$ data, it seems probable that many disintegrating planets will be detectable within the vast TESS dataset, which includes several orders of magnitude more stars than Kepler or K2 (see, e.g., [Hon et al.](#page-22-11) [2021\)](#page-22-11).

Here, we present the discovery of BD+05 4868 Ab, a fourth disintegrating planet. Discovered by TESS, the highly asymmetric transit light curves show evidence for both leading and trailing dust tails, with variable transit depths typically greater than 1%. Remarkably, the planet is in a 30.5-hr orbit around a mid-K-type dwarf, making it the longest-period planet known to be disintegrating. With a TESS magnitude of 9.18, it is more amenable than the other 3 known disintegrating planets to detailed follow-up observations. A preview of the feasibility of such observations is presented in this study through our characterization of the host star as well as our preliminary modeling and interpretation of the dust tails based on the TESS observations.

2. TESS DATA

BD+05 4868 A (TIC 466376085, HIP 107587) was observed by TESS during Sector 55 (UT 2022 August 5 to 2022 September 1st) in the field of view of CCD 1 of Camera 1 and during Sector 82 (UT 2024 August 10 to 2024 September 5) in the field of view of CCD 4 of Camera 1. In both sectors, BD+05 4868 A data were obtained from the TESS Full Frame Images (FFIs), with a 10-minute (600 seconds) integration time during Sector 55 and a 200-second integration time in Sector 82. The FFI light curves of BD+05 4868 A were extracted using the TESS Quick-Look Pipeline (QLP; [Huang et al.](#page-22-12) [2020a](#page-22-12)[,b;](#page-22-13) [Kunimoto et al.](#page-22-14) [2022\)](#page-22-14), and can be found on MAST: [10.17909/t9-r086-e880.](http://dx.doi.org/10.17909/t9-r086-e880) A transit signal was detected initially as part of the QLP transit search of Sector 82. The search, which automatically includes all available TESS data for each target, detected transits at a 1.271869-day period using the Box Least Squares al-gorithm (BLS, Kovács et al. [2002;](#page-22-15) see also [Kunimoto](#page-22-16) [et al.](#page-22-16) [2023\)](#page-22-16). Subsequent visual vetting of the transits identified their highly asymmetric morphology a rounded, short ingress followed by a long egress — as well as strong depth variations from transit to transit (Figure [1a](#page-1-0)).

To study these transits in further detail, we manually de-trended the FFI light curves extracted using the QLP's simple aperture photometry, one Sector at a time. Doing this required removing the slow trend underlying the light curve, which was performed as follows. We masked out the segments of the light curve containing transits. We defined the zero point of each transit's orbital phase (t_0) to be the time of minimum flux. Next, we masked out in-transit segments of the light curve, which are timestamps with phases \geq −0.25 or $\langle 0.45 \rangle$ (see Figure [1b](#page-1-0)). We designated the median flux and timestamp of each continuous segment of the masked light curve as interpolating points in a Piecewise Cubic Hermite Interpolating Polynomial [\(Fritsch](#page-21-10) [& Butland](#page-21-10) [1984\)](#page-21-10). The full, unmasked light curve was then divided by the fitted polynomial to remove underlying slow trends and to set the out-of-transit flux levels equal to unity.

We obtained an average transit profile by phasefolding the de-trended light curve and binning over 6-minute intervals. This yielded a smoothed phasefolded light curve comprising 303 binned flux values with phases \in [-0.5, 0.5] for modeling the average transit properties (see Section [5\)](#page-6-0). The average transit profile in Fig. [1b](#page-1-0)-c reveals a total duration of about 20 hours (65% of the orbital period) from the beginning of the ingress until the time at which out-of-transit flux is regained. The main contributor to the transit duration comes from the egress, implying a particularly long trailing dust tail.

3. GROUND-BASED OBSERVATIONS

3.1. ASAS-SN Photometry

To verify the authenticity and persistence of the transits discovered in the TESS data, we examined groundbased time series photometry of BD+05 4868 A collected by the All-Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae (ASAS-SN; [Shappee et al.](#page-22-17) [2014\)](#page-22-17). The ASAS-SN data comprises 3,333 measurements (3,155 with good quality flags) in the q band, and $1,064$ measurements $(1,044)$ with good quality flags) in the V band, obtained between 2012 October 21 and 2024 September 23. A BLS transform of the ASAS-SN data was computed. The largest peak between periods of 1 and 10 days is at $P = 1.271884$ days, which differs from the TESS period by only one part in 83,000. Figure [2](#page-3-0) shows that when phase folding the 5- σ clipped ASAS-SN data on the TESS period, the ASAS-SN data shows a similar signal to that seen in the TESS data, but with a lower signal-to-noise ratio as expected. Remarkably, the transit depth, when averaged over more than a decade, is roughly consistent with that observed by TESS. This demonstrates that the dusty transits have persisted for at least the past decade.

3.2. Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope (LCOGT) Photometry

The TESS pixel scale is $21''$ pixel⁻¹ [\(Ricker et al.](#page-22-10) [2015\)](#page-22-10) and photometric apertures typically extend out

Figure 2. (a-b) Light curves of BD+05 4868 A from the All-Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae (ASAS-SN) folded at a period of 1.271869 days at the ephemerides determined from TESS data. The ASAS-SN light curves are binned over 75-minute intervals. The shaded bands indicate the formal and binned flux uncertainties added in quadrature. (c) A comparison between the TESS and ASAS-SN phase-folded light curves.

to roughly 1 arcminute, generally causing multiple stars to blend in the TESS aperture. To determine the true source of transit signals in the TESS data and improve the transit ephemerides, we conducted ground-based lightcurve follow-up observations of the field around BD+05 4868 A as part of the TESS Follow-up Observing $Program¹ Sub Group 1 (TFOP; Collins 2019).$ $Program¹ Sub Group 1 (TFOP; Collins 2019).$ The target has a comoving visual binary companion (BD+05 4868 B, TIC 2025181668, see Figure [3\)](#page-3-2) located 3.0′′ to the North-East of the target that is 3.1 mag fainter than the target in the TESS band and 2.9 mag fainter in the G band. We used the TESS Transit Finder, which is a customized version of the Tapir soft-

Figure 3. A zoomed-in view of the target, BD+054868A, and its fainter binary companion, BD+05 4868 B, located 3.0′′ to the North-East. This image is taken from one of the r_p -band frames obtained with LCO 2.0m/MuSCAT3 on the night of UT 2024 October 17. It shows that the two binary components were resolved in this observation. Figure [4](#page-4-0) shows the light curves extracted from the MuSCAT3 data obtained that night.

ware package [\(Jensen](#page-22-18) [2013\)](#page-22-18), to schedule our transit observations.

We observed a full transit window of BD+05 4868 A on UT 2024 October 17 using the Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope (LCOGT; [Brown et al.](#page-21-12) [2013\)](#page-21-12) 2 m Faulkes Telescope North at Haleakala Observatory on Maui, Hawai'i. The telescope is equipped with the MuS-CAT3 simultaneous multi-band imager [\(Narita et al.](#page-22-19) [2020\)](#page-22-19) that has a field of view of $9.1' \times 9.1'$ and a pixel scale of 0.265′′ per pixel. Observations were made infocus in order to resolve the binary companion, and the exposure times were 3, 1, 1, and 2 seconds in the g_p , r_p , i_p , and z_s bands, respectively. All images were calibrated by the standard LCOGT BANZAI pipeline [\(Mc-](#page-22-20)[Cully et al.](#page-22-20) [2018\)](#page-22-20) and differential photometric data were extracted using AstroImageJ [\(Collins et al.](#page-21-13) [2017\)](#page-21-13). We used circular photometric apertures with radius 1"9 that excluded most of the flux from the visual binary companion.

Figure [3](#page-3-2) shows one of the MuSCAT3 images in the r_p band, demonstrating that the two stars were well resolved. Figure [4](#page-4-0) shows the target light curve in each of the four bands, alongside the light curve of the visual binary companion BD+05 4868 B. The target light curve shows a similar shape to that of the TESS and ASAS-SN phase folded light curve, including a similar transit depth. If BD+05 4868 B were the origin of the transit signal, variability at the 10% level would be ex-

¹ https://tess.mit.edu/followup

Figure 4. LCO 2.0m/MuSCAT3 light curves of the target, BD+05 4868 A, and its fainter binary companion, BD+05 4868 B, obtained on UT 2024 October 17. (a) The light curves of each of the four bands are shown in the top panels, with the data in small gray points overplotted by binned light curves. The g_p, r_p, i_p , and z_s binned light curves are plotted in blue circles, green triangles, orange squares, and red diamonds, respectively. The BD+05 4868 A light curve is also shown in the bottom panel, indicating that it is similar in depth and overall shape to that of the TESS light curves (red solid line), although there is a small difference due the varying shape of the transits across each orbit (see Figure [8\)](#page-9-0). (e) A comparison of transits in the LCO light curve with the observed mean transit profile from TESS data. A small flux offset was applied to the LCO light curves in this panel to align them with the TESS transit profile.

pected as a result of blending with BD+05 4868 A in the TESS pixels and the brightness difference between the two stars. The light curve of the companion, however, does not show such variability, confirming that the transit signal originates from the primary in the visual binary system.

3.3. High Angular Resolution Imaging

To further rule out possible contamination by closely bound or line-of-sight companions, we observed BD+05 4868 A with NIRC2 adaptive optics (AO) imaging at the Keck Observatory. Observations were made on UT 2024 September 8 behind the natural guide star systems [\(Dekany et al.](#page-21-14) [2013;](#page-21-14) [Wizinowich et al.](#page-23-3)

[2000\)](#page-23-3) in the narrow band J continuum (Jcont; $\lambda_o =$ 1.2132; $\Delta \lambda = 0.0198$ µm) and the narrow band K continuum (Kcont; $\lambda_o = 2.2706$; $\Delta \lambda = 0.0296$ μ m) filters. The narrow angle mode was used, providing a pixel scale of around $0.01''$ px⁻¹ and a full field of view of about 10′′. A standard three-point dither pattern was used to avoid the lower-left quadrant of the detector, which is typically noisier than the other three quadrants. The dither pattern has a step size of 3′′. Each dither position was observed three times, with $0.5''$ positional offsets between each observation, for a total of nine frames. The reduced science frames were combined into a single image with final resolutions of 0.04′′ and 0.05′′ at Jcont and Kcont, respectively.

The sensitivity of the final combined AO image was determined by injecting simulated sources azimuthally around the primary target every 20◦ at separations of integer multiples of the central source's FWHM [\(Furlan](#page-21-15) [et al.](#page-21-15) [2017\)](#page-21-15). The brightness of each injected source was scaled until standard aperture photometry detected it with 5- σ significance. The final 5- σ limit at each separation was determined from the average of all of the determined limits at that separation and the uncertainty on the limit was set by the root-mean-squared dispersion of the azimuthal slices at a given radial distance. The final images and sensitivities are shown in Figure [5.](#page-5-0) Other than BD+05 4868 B, no other nearby stellar companions are identified within our detection limits.

4. HOST STAR CHARACTERIZATION

4.1. NEID Spectroscopy

We obtained seven spectra of BD+05 4868 A with the NEID spectrograph [\(Schwab et al.](#page-22-21) [2016\)](#page-22-21) on the WIYN 3.5m Telescope at Kitt Peak Observatory. The spectra were collected in order to look for radial velocity (RV) variability and to measure the host star's spectral parameters.

NEID is a high-resolution spectrograph that covers a wide wavelength range from 380 to 930 nm. We observed in high resolution (HR) mode (R∼120,000) with exposure times of 600 seconds, resulting in a signal-tonoise ratio of $42 - 66$ per pixel at 5500 Å. The spectra were processed with the NEID Data Reduction Pipeline (DRP)[2](#page-4-1) . The NEID RVs are listed in Table [1.](#page-5-1) The seven RVs do not show any variability exceeding a few ms^{-1} , with a root-mean-square of 2.3 m s^{-1} and individual RV errors in the range of 1.3 -2.3 m s⁻¹. Through the injection and recovery of simulated RV signals of a circular orbit with a period and phase matching the transit

² <https://neid.ipac.caltech.edu/docs/NEID-DRP/>

Figure 5. Near-infrared adaptive optics imaging and corresponding sensitivity curves for BD+05 4868 A in (a) the narrow band J continuum (Jcont) and in (b) the narrow band K continuum (Kcont). The increased uncertainty at Δ arcsec ~ 3.0" is caused by the presence of BD+05 4868 B.

ephemerides, we place a 5- σ upper limit of 4.5 m s⁻¹ on the RV semi-amplitude, which corresponds to a mass of 6.2 M_{\oplus} given the host star's mass and the orbital period. Therefore, the transiting object must have a mass of a small terrestrial planet or smaller.

To determine the stellar spectroscopic parameters, we blaze-corrected the spectra using the NEID blaze function, shifted them to the solar rest frame according to the barycentric correction and RVs from the NEID DRP, and then stacked them using a signal-to-noise ratio weighted average. We applied the code SpecMatch-Emp [\(Yee et al.](#page-23-4) [2017\)](#page-23-4) to the stacked spectrum to identify the fundamental parameters of BD+05 4868 A through a search for matches across a library of empirical stellar spectra. The code retrieved a good match corresponding to a K-dwarf with T_{eff} = 4540 \pm 110 K, $R_{\star} = 0.75 \pm 0.08 R_{\odot}$, $\log(q) = 4.58 \pm 0.12 \text{ dex}$, and [Fe/H] $= -0.07\pm0.09$ dex. In addition, we used SpecMatch-Syn [\(Petigura](#page-22-22) [2015\)](#page-22-22) to match the spectra to the [Coelho et al.](#page-21-16) [\(2005\)](#page-21-16) synthetic spectral library, incorporating a broadening kernel that includes contributions from the intrinsic instrumental line profile (measured empirically from telluric lines), macroturbulent broadening assuming the [Valenti & Fischer](#page-22-23) [\(2005\)](#page-22-23) relation, and rotational broadening. The algorithm determined $v \sin i = 1.9 \pm 1.0$ km s^{-1} , which we interpret as an upper limit of 3 km s^{-1} following [Masuda et al.](#page-22-24) [\(2022\)](#page-22-24). The NEID DRP additionally extracts activity indices in the form of Ca II H&K S-indices [\(Duncan et al.](#page-21-17) [1991,](#page-21-17) tabulated in Table [1\)](#page-5-1), from which we identified no statistically significant correlation with the RVs.

Table 1. NEID radial velocity (RV) measurements and the associated Ca II H&K S-indices as output by the NEID Data Reduction Pipeline. σ_{RV} and $\sigma_{\text{S}-\text{index}}$ correspond to the uncertainties of the RV and S-index measurements, respectively.

Time	$\rm RV$	$\sigma_{\rm RV}$	S-index	$\sigma_{\text{S-index}}$
BJD	$\mathrm{m\ s}^{-1}$	$\mathrm{m\ s}^{-1}$		
2460605.635696	$-25,285.0$	1.8	0.34	0.01
2460606.588164	$-25,288.1$	1.4	0.36	0.01
2460607.577608	$-25,289.9$	2.3	0.36	0.02
2460608.583141	$-25,286.1$	1.5	0.36	0.01
2460611.581506	$-25,285.7$	1.3	0.36	0.01
2460615.609390	$-25,286.0$	1.5	0.35	0.01
2460619.610952	$-25,290.9$	2.0	0.33	0.01

4.2. Binary Star Spectral Energy Distribution (SED)

We modeled the spectral energy distribution of the BD+05 4868 AB binary system using Exofastv2 [\(East](#page-21-18)[man et al.](#page-21-18) [2019\)](#page-21-18), which fits observed broadband photometry to bolometric correction tables derived for the MIST grid of stellar models [\(Choi et al.](#page-21-19) [2016\)](#page-21-19). We used photometry from the Tycho [\(Høg et al.](#page-21-20) [2000\)](#page-21-20), Gaia DR3 [\(Gaia Collaboration et al.](#page-21-21) [2021\)](#page-21-21), 2MASS [\(Cutri et al.](#page-21-22) [2003;](#page-21-22) [Skrutskie et al.](#page-22-25) [2006\)](#page-22-25), and WISE catalogs [\(Cutri](#page-21-23) [2012\)](#page-21-23). Given the 3′′ separation of the binary system, we assumed that the Tycho, 2MASS, and WISE photometry were blended. Gaia resolved the pair and provided G-band magnitudes for the two stars individually, but reported only G_{BP} and G_{RP} photometry for the primary. We imposed error floors of 0.02 mag on the Gaia photometry to account for systematic uncertainties in the absolute calibration of model photometry. We also

Figure 6. Spectral energy distribution (SED) of BD+05 4868 AB. The crosses show the observed broadband photometry, with horizontal widths indicating the filter bandwidths. The circles represent the model fluxes in the corresponding bands. Colors denote whether the observation corresponds to the primary star (green), secondary star (yellow), or the blended pair (blue). The bottom panel shows the deviations between the data and the model divided by the photometric uncertainties. For illustrative purposes, we also show the [Kurucz](#page-22-26) [\(1993\)](#page-22-26) model atmospheres for the bestfitting stellar models. These models were not used directly in the fit, although they were used to generate the MIST bolometric correction tables.

made use of the differential magnitudes in Jcont and Kcont obtained from the AO imaging in Section [3.3.](#page-4-2)

In modeling the two stars, we imposed a prior on the primary star's [Fe/H] based on our spectroscopic analysis (§[4.1\)](#page-4-3), as well as a prior on both stars' distances from their respective Gaia parallaxes, corrected for the known zero-point error [\(Gaia Collaboration et al.](#page-21-21) [2021\)](#page-21-21). We assumed no interstellar extinction $(A_V = 0)$, given the proximity of the system at ≈ 44 pc. We required the two stellar models to have the same age and initial metallicity.

The resulting fit is shown in Fig. [6,](#page-6-1) with the fitted parameters tabulated in Table [2.](#page-7-0) The mass of the primary — the planet host — is $M_{\star} = 0.70 \pm 0.02 M_{\odot}$, with T_{eff} , R_{\star} , and [Fe/H] fully consistent with the spectroscopically-derived parameters. Its near solarmetallicity and sub-solar mass, combined with the lack of observed stellar rotation and activity characteristic of young stars, suggests an age comparable or older to that of the Sun. Hereafter, we adopt the stellar parameters derived from SED fitting as the definitive set for our analyses of the host star.

The secondary star BD+05 4868 B was determined by the SED fitting to have a mass of $0.43 \pm 0.03 M_{\odot}$ and T_{eff} = 3480 \pm 70 K, consistent with the properties of an M-dwarf. The similarity of the two stars' proper motions and radial velocities in Table [2](#page-7-0) are consistent with their nature of being gravitationally bound to one another, with a projected physical separation of 132.037 ± 0.002 AU. To get an estimate of a 3D separation between both stars, we fitted orbital parameters to their Gaia DR3 astrometry using Orbits For The Impatient (OFTI, [Blunt et al.](#page-21-24) [2017\)](#page-21-24) as implemented by [Pearce et al.](#page-22-27) [\(2020\)](#page-22-27). A semi-major axis of 185^{+97}_{-61} AU is reported^{[3](#page-6-2)} from the posterior distribution of of $10,000$ accepted orbital fits, which corresponds to a binary orbital period of ∼1,000-4,000 years. We additionally adopted the approach by [Zasche et al.](#page-23-5) [\(2023,](#page-23-5) their Section 5.2), which adopts a Monte Carlo approach to find semimajor axes that solves the energy equation for the orbit. We found that the range of separations yielded by this alternative approach are consistent with those estimated by OFTI. We assert, however, that these results on the binary system's orbit are indicative only, given that BD+05 4868 B's high RUWE value of 1.5 may suggest a poor fit to its existing astrometric measurements.

5. LIGHT CURVE MODELING

5.1. TESS Light Curves

Models of dusty transit profiles (e.g., [Brogi et al.](#page-21-2) [2012;](#page-21-2) [Budaj](#page-21-3) [2013;](#page-21-3) [van Lieshout et al.](#page-22-5) [2014;](#page-22-5) [van Werkhoven](#page-23-6) [et al.](#page-23-6) [2014;](#page-23-6) [van Lieshout et al.](#page-23-7) [2016;](#page-23-7) [Garai](#page-21-25) [2018;](#page-21-25) [Baka](#page-21-26) [& Schlawin](#page-21-26) [2021\)](#page-21-26) consider the distribution of extinction cross-section in the azimuthal direction of the planet's orbit to be the primary contributor to the transit shape. As described by [Rappaport et al.](#page-22-7) [\(2014,](#page-22-7) their Appendix C.2), the angular decay of this cross-section can be modeled in the form of an exponential distribution given a number of assumptions related to the dust's sublimation properties and dynamics (e.g., [van Lieshout et al.](#page-22-5) [2014\)](#page-22-5). The exponential formulation, however, describes a decay over time, while a fit to the observed transits measures a decay over distance, which depends on both time and the properties of the dust grains. Therefore, we adopt a transit model that offers greater flexibility than an exponential function to model the dust profile as a function of its position, x , along the stellar disk:

$$
\mathcal{Y}(d) = \begin{cases} E_f \exp[-(d/x_f)^g], & \text{for } d > 0\\ E_b \exp[-(|d|/x_b)^g], & \text{for } d \le 0 \end{cases}
$$
 (1)

where $d = x - x_p$ is the angular distance of a dust grain at x from the angular location of the planet at x_p . These

³ The reported uncertainties are the 16th and 84th percentiles of the marginal distribution.

Table 2. Properties of the BD+05 4868 AB system and the planet BD+05 4868 Ab. The adopted astrometric measurements are from Gaia DR3 [\(Gaia Collaboration et al.](#page-21-21) [2021\)](#page-21-21). Numerical quantities in parentheses represent uncertainties in the last decimal place.

BD+054868 A Properties					
TIC ID	466376085				
Gaia DR3 Source ID	2700378125204437760				
Gaia G-band Magnitude	9.84				
2MASS K-band Magnitude	7.45				
Distance (pc)	43.67 ± 0.03				
μ_{α^*} (mas yr ⁻¹)	205.60 ± 0.02				
μ_{δ} (mas yr ⁻¹)	106.36 ± 0.01				
Gaia DR3 Radial Velocity (km s ⁻¹)	$-25.6 + 0.2$				
$T_{\rm eff, spect}$ (K)	4540 ± 110				
$T_{\rm eff,SED}$ (K)	4596^{+65}_{-64}				
$[Fe/H]_{\text{spect}}$ (dex)	-0.07 ± 0.09				
$[Fe/H]_{SED}$ (dex)	$-0.05^{+0.08}_{-0.05}$				
$\log(g)_{\text{spect}}$ (dex)	4.58 ± 0.12				
$\log(g)_{\text{SED}}$ (dex)	$4.60^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$				
$v \sin i \, (\mathrm{km \, s}^{-1})$	< 3				
Mass, M_{\star} (M_{\odot})	0.70 ± 0.02				
Radius, $R_{\star}(R_{\odot})$	$0.69 + 0.02$				
Luminosity, L_{\star} (L_{\odot})	0.192 ± 0.005				
Age (Gyr)	$11.1^{+1.7}_{-3.0}$				
BD+054868 B Properties					
TIC ID	2025181668				
Gaia DR3 Source ID	2700378125203895808				
Gaia G-band Magnitude	12.77				
Distance (pc)	43.2 ± 0.12				
μ_{α^*} (mas yr ⁻¹)	212.16 ± 0.08				
μ_{δ} (mas yr ⁻¹)	112.17 ± 0.04				
Gaia DR3 Radial Velocity (km s ⁻¹)	-24.6 ± 1.6				
$\log(g)_{\rm SED}$ (dex)	4.83 ± 0.03				
Mass, M_{\star} (M_{\odot})	0.43 ± 0.03				
Radius, $R_{\star}(R_{\odot})$	0.42 ± 0.02				
Luminosity, L_{\star} (L_{\odot})	0.023 ± 0.001				
BD+054868 Ab Properties					
Period, $P(d)$	1.271869(1)				
Transit Epoch, t_0 (BJD-2457000)	3556.2892(3)				
Transit Depth, δ	$0.8 - 2.0\%$				
Mean Transit Depth, $\langle \delta \rangle$	1.2%				
Semi-major Axis, a (AU)	0.0208(3)				
Scaled Semi-major Axis, a/R_{\star}	6.4 ± 0.2				
Equilibrium Temperature, T_{eq} (K) [†]	1820 ± 45				
[†] $T_{\text{eq}} = T_{\text{eff,SED}} \cdot \sqrt{R_{\star}/a}$					

quantities are expressed in units of the host star's radius R_{\star} . The orbital phase of the planet at x_p is taken to be zero when the planet crosses the central longitude of the host star^{[4](#page-7-1)}. Altogether, Eqn. [1](#page-6-3) describes a superposition of profiles from the leading and trailing tails, which are modeled individually as Generalized Gaussian distributions sharing a common exponent g. These distributions are a generalization of the exponential distribution (i.e., $g = 1$) and can represent steeper or shallower decay profiles. The Generalized Gaussian for the leading (trailing) tail profile is parameterized by its amplitude $E_f(E_b)$ and its scale length $x_f(x_b)$.

To generate a model of the mean transit profile, $\mathcal{T}(\phi)$, we integrate $\mathcal{Y}(d)$ over the dust tail where it overlaps with the stellar disk, and repeating the integration in increments of 6 minutes as the dust tails cross the disk. We apply quadratic limb-darkening coefficients to the stellar disk by linearly interpolating the [Claret](#page-21-27) [\(2017\)](#page-21-27) grid of coefficients^{[5](#page-7-2)} to the star's spectroscopic T_{eff} and $log(g)$. In total, we fitted seven free parameters to the mean transit profile of BD+05 4868 Ab as tabulated in Table [3](#page-17-0) in Appendix [A.](#page-17-1) The joint posterior distributions, which are also presented there, were sampled using nested sampling [\(Higson et al.](#page-21-28) [2019\)](#page-21-28) in which light curves generated from each sampled set of parameters were evaluated by the χ^2 value of the fit to the observed data.

The main quantities of interest from the fit are x_b and x_f , the scale lengths of the trailing and leading tails, respectively. We estimated a ratio $x_b/x_f \simeq 6$, indicating a factor of six difference between the length of each tail. This is an important quantity that we will revisit in Section [6](#page-8-0) to constrain the dynamics of the dust tails. Meanwhile, the integrated area beneath each tail's attenuation profile (i.e., A_b and A_f in Fig. [7a](#page-8-1)) represents the collective extinction from the tail. Assuming that the optical properties of the grains are not highly disparate across both tails, and that the tails are not optically thick everywhere, the integrated area is indicative of the relative number density of grains within the tails (e.g., [van Lieshout et al.](#page-22-5) [2014\)](#page-22-5), suggestive of a trailing tail more highly populated in dust grains relative to the leading tail. From our fitted model, we also find that the time of minimum light occurs at $x_p = 0.97 R_{\star}$, which is when the planet has almost traversed the entire stellar disk (see Fig [7b](#page-8-1)).

⁴ This is distinct from the phase of the observed transit, which is taken to be zero at minimum light.

⁵ The grid of limb-darkening coefficients is based on the least square method applied to PHOENIX-COND solar-metallicity stellar atmosphere models [\(Husser et al.](#page-22-28) [2013\)](#page-22-28).

The high-cadence sampling of TESS data enables the detailed examination of individual transits from BD+05 4868 A's light curve, as shown in Fig. [8.](#page-9-0) We fit $\mathcal{Y}(d)$ separately to each transit, with the resulting measurements presented in Appendix [B.](#page-17-2) The variable transit depths are thought to arise from a limit cycle involving stellar insolation and mass loss that switches between low and high dust emission rates [\(Rappaport et al.](#page-22-2) [2012;](#page-22-2) [Perez-Becker & Chiang](#page-22-4) [2013;](#page-22-4) [Booth et al.](#page-21-1) [2023\)](#page-21-1). Intriguingly, the dust emission rates do not appear to follow an obvious pattern, and are instead hypothesized by [Bromley & Chiang](#page-21-4) [\(2023\)](#page-21-4) to originate from chaotic mass-loss rates that are driven by surface vapor pressure, atmospheric hysteresis, and temperature feedback from the planetary atmosphere's time-evolving optical depth.

Across individual transits, there is evidently variation not only in their depths, but also their ingress and egress morphology. These morphology variations are attributed to the angular distribution of dust grains relative to the planet's orbit as governed by the drift rate and the sublimation decay of grains over time. These aspects are explored in further detail in Section [6.1.](#page-8-2)

5.2. (A)chromaticity of Fading Events

The multi-wavelength photometry collected in Section [3.2](#page-2-0) enables a preliminary test of any distinct wavelength dependence of BD+05 4868 Ab's transits to set constraints on dust grain sizes (e.g., [Croll et al.](#page-21-6) [2014;](#page-21-6) [Bochinski et al.](#page-21-7) [2015;](#page-21-7) [Sanchis-Ojeda et al.](#page-22-6) [2015;](#page-22-6) [Schlawin et al.](#page-22-29) [2016;](#page-22-29) Colón et al. [2018\)](#page-21-8). We fitted $\mathcal{Y}(d)$ to the LCOGT photometry across the four wavelength bands (g_p, r_p, i_p, z_s) individually and measured the total dust attenuation from each light curve as the integrated area beneath the fitted transmission profile, as presented in Fig. [9a](#page-10-0). In addition to the total attenuation, we also report the measured transit depths in Fig. [9b](#page-10-0). A two-sided t-test revealed no statistically significant evidence at the 10% significance level for either an increase in attenuation or an increase in transit depths towards shorter wavelengths. Because the scattering efficiency of a dust grain diminishes as the grain circumference becomes less than the wavelength of incident light [\(Hansen](#page-21-29) [& Travis](#page-21-29) [1974\)](#page-21-29), these results suggest a minimum grain radius of $∼ 0.1$ microns, assuming a single grain size. [Ridden-Harper et al.](#page-22-30) [\(2018\)](#page-22-30), however, note that optically thick dust tails, resulting from high dust mass loss rates, may also produce achromatic transits. While we determine more robust constraints on grain sizes in Section [6.3,](#page-14-0) we note that these results on the transits' wavelength dependence are preliminary, given only a single multi-wavelength observation to date. Further follow-up

Figure 7. The fit to the mean transit profile of BD+054868 A using the model transit profile $\mathcal{Y}(d)$ (Eqn. [1\)](#page-6-3). (a) Decomposition of $\mathcal{Y}(d)$ as a superposition of two Generalized Gaussian distributions representing the trailing and leading tails of the dust cloud. The areas beneath the transmission profiles described by these distributions are indicated by A_b and A_f , respectively. (b) Comparison of the model fit with the observed mean transit profile from TESS data (red). The blue vertical line at $\phi = -0.024$ indicates when the planet crosses the central longitude of the host star, with the hatched region indicating the star's diameter.

observations across a broader wavelength range and at a higher photometric precision will allow us to make more robust conclusions regarding the presence of any transit chromaticity.

6. DUST DYNAMICS

6.1. Analytic Calculations of Forward vs. Trailing Dust Tails

The presence of forward and trailing dust tails for $BD+054868$ Ab provides unique constraints on β , the ratio of radiation pressure force to gravitational force for the dust grains. A dust grain that is released from the star-facing tip of the Hill sphere of the planet, R_H , with a velocity of \vec{v} will experience an effective gravi-

Figure 8. Individual transits of BD+05 4868 Ab from the TESS light curve. Transits are numbered based on the cycle count from the first transit at $t_{0,\#1} = 2459798.2587$ (BJD), taking into account the presence of gaps in the light curve. Transits 1-18 are from TESS Sector 55, while Transits 580-598 are from TESS Sector 82. The mean transit depth across these transits is 1.2%. Also indicated are the minimum (0.8%, dashed line) and maximum (2.0%, dotted line) transit depths across these observations. The red curve in each panel represents the model transit profile $\mathcal{T}(\phi)$ (Eqn. [1\)](#page-6-3) that is fit to each transit individually.

tational force reduced by $(1 - \beta)$, such that its specific energy is

$$
\mathcal{E} = -\frac{GM(1-\beta)}{a_o - R_H} + \frac{1}{2} |\omega(a_o - R_H)\hat{V} + \vec{v}|^2
$$

$$
\equiv -\frac{GM(1-\beta)}{2a_d},
$$
 (2)

where M is the mass of the host star and a_d is the semimajor axis of the grain's new eccentric orbit, while a_o , V, and ω are the radius, orbital velocity, and angular frequency of the planet's orbit, respectively. A caveat with this formulation is that it ignores the small residual gravitational potential energy due to the planet. This residual energy is vanishingly small if the dust emerges from the vicinity of the planet's Hill sphere, unless, as explored in Section [6.2,](#page-10-1) the dust returns to the vicinity of the planet as their orbits cross. By adopting $GM/a_o = V^2$ $GM/a_o = V^2$ and $\omega = V/a_o$, Eqn. 2 can be rearranged to become:

$$
\frac{a_d}{a_o} = \frac{1 - \beta}{2(1 - \beta)/\chi - \chi^2 - 2\xi\,\chi(v/V) - (v/V)^2},\tag{3}
$$

where $\chi = (1 - r_H)$ and $r_H \equiv R_H/a_o$ is the dimensionless Hill sphere radius. The parameter $\xi \equiv \sin \theta \cos \psi$ describes the angular dependence of the dust grain's initial vector with respect to the direction of the orbital motion \hat{V} . In particular, θ is the angle with respect to the line pointing from the planet to the host star, and ψ is the azimuthal angle around that vector, where $\psi = 0$ aligns with the orbital velocity vector. Using Equation [2,](#page-9-1) the fractional difference in orbital period of the planet (P_o) and the grain (P_d) can be computed:

$$
\frac{P_d}{P_o} = \left(\frac{a_d}{a_o}\right)^{3/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\beta}}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \frac{\Delta P_d}{P_o} = \frac{P_d}{P_o} - 1
$$
\n
$$
\approx 2\beta + 3\xi(v/V) - 6r_H,
$$
\n(4)

where the approximation leverages $v/V \ll 1, \beta \ll 1$, and $r_h \ll 1$. Here, we apply the assumption that the drift rates of the grains are approximately constant and may be described by $\Delta P_d/P_o$ in Equation [4.](#page-9-2) Since val-

⇒

Figure 9. Properties of the single transit observed with LCOGT multi-wavelength photometry. The points are plotted at approximately the central wavelength of the four MuS-CAT3 bandpasses. (a) Total absorption from each LCOGT light curve $(A_{\text{tot},\text{LCO}})$ relative to that measured from TESS $(A_{tot,TESS})$. The total absorption is the integrated area beneath the model transit profile $\mathcal{T}(\phi)$ that is fit to the observed data. (b) A comparison of measured transit depths across the four LCO bandpasses.

ues from the computed $\Delta P_d/P_o$ are generally small, the grains thus require numerous orbits around the host star to drift to angles as large as those observed. The angular drift of a dust grain from the planet (in units of 2π) is $\Phi = N \Delta P_d / P_o$, where N is the number of planetary orbits. Here, a positive value of Φ indicates a longer orbital period — material going into a trailing tail, and vice versa.

We consider a scenario whereby a Parker wind deposits material that condenses into the dust grains at the Hill sphere radius $r_H = (M_p/3 M)^{1/3} \approx 0.0032$, given a fiducial planet having mass $M_p = 0.02 M_{\oplus}$, radius 2,000 km, and escape velocity 3 km s[−]¹ . We adopt the prescription from the [Perez-Becker & Chiang](#page-22-4) [\(2013\)](#page-22-4) hydrodynamical wind models, whereby wind velocities approach the sound speed of the hot gas c_s at distances close to the Hill sphere radius. With $c_s \simeq \sqrt{k T_{\text{eq}}/\mu}$, we adopt a fiducial equilibrium temperature $T_{\text{eq}} = 1800 \,\text{K}$ and an olivine mean molecular weight $\mu = 150$ g to yield $v = c_s = 0.4 \,\mathrm{km \ s^{-1}}.$

Given the negligibly small magnitude of v/V $(\sim 0.4/180 \simeq 0.0022)$, Eqn. [4,](#page-9-2) which describes the drift of dust away from the planet, can be simplified to

$$
\Phi \simeq N(2\beta - 6 r_H),\tag{5}
$$

where as $\beta \rightarrow 0$, the maximum angular drift rate of grains in the leading tail, Φ_{lead} , reaches a value of $6 r_H$. Based on the modeling of the observed light curve in

Section [5,](#page-6-0) the observed ratio of tail lengths is known to be \sim 6. We thus seek to find the maximum angular drift of grains in the trailing tail, Φ_{trail} , which yields $\Phi_{\text{trail}}/\Phi_{\text{lead}} = 6$. This corresponds to a maximum β value (denoted β_{max}), such that

$$
\frac{\Phi_{\text{trail}}}{\Phi_{\text{lead}}} \simeq \frac{2\beta_{\text{max}} - 6 r_H}{6 r_H} = 6,\tag{6}
$$

which leads to $\beta_{\text{max}} \simeq 0.07$.

Because Φ describes the angular spread of the dust grains, Fig. [10a](#page-11-0) visualizes how an impulsive dust ejection event may evolve over a number of planetary orbits, assuming $\beta \sim \mathcal{U}(0, \beta_{\text{max}})$. The distribution of dust in both trailing and leading dust tails is seen to slowly expand and drift over time. Grains in the trailing tail, for instance, are predicted to spread by only $\Phi \sim 0.1$ per orbit, which suggests that the orbit-to-orbit variations in the shape of the egress tails at $\Phi \sim 0.2 - 0.5$ (see Figs. [8](#page-9-0) and [16\)](#page-19-0) are caused by attenuation from dust grains that were released 2-4 planetary orbits earlier, and have survived for that long. These dust grains, however, cannot survive indefinitely, because the observed transits experience an egress that transitions back to maximum flux in the light curve. As dust grains drift from the planet, they are expected to eventually sublimate at rates that depend on their sizes, compositions, and temperatures (see, e.g., [van Lieshout et al.](#page-22-5) [2014\)](#page-22-5). Consequently, steady-state analytical profiles of the dust tail require weighting by a decay function to allow grains to leave the system. In Fig. [10b](#page-11-0), we show qualitatively that decay functions in the form of exponential or Gaussian-like functions may yield dust density profiles whose decay with angular distance from the planet resembles those seen in the observed data. These functions are only illustrative, given the currently unknown dust grain size distribution and grain composition.

6.2. Numerical Simulations of Dust Tails

To visualize the dust tails, we performed a series of numerical simulations following the approaches presented by [Rappaport et al.](#page-22-2) [\(2012\)](#page-22-2) and [Sanchis-Ojeda et al.](#page-22-6) [\(2015\)](#page-22-6). In particular, we simulated the release of 50,000 dust grains and numerically integrated their trajectories in the co-rotating frame of the orbiting planet. We assumed a nominal planet with a mass of 0.02 M_{\oplus} and a radius of 2000 km that is orbiting a $0.7M_{\odot}$ host star at a scaled semi-major axis of a/R_* of 6.4.

Grains were released in random directions within a 1 sr emission cone centered on the direction of the host star. Similar to Section [6.1,](#page-8-2) we adopted an initial velocity equal to a sound speed of $c_s = 0.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ at the planet's Hill radius, as appropriate for a ther-

Figure 10. (a) Distribution of dust grain distances from the planet over time for an impulsive dust ejection event. The colored curves represent different numbers of elapsed orbits since the release. Values of β are drawn from a uniform distribution U , while H denotes the Heaviside function. (b) A steady-state dust tail profile, shown as the integral of the dust distribution over time weighted by a decay function $G(N)$, characterized by a scale number N_0 . The decaying profiles both use $N_0 = 2$, specifically chosen such that the resulting decay of the dust density visually resembles plausible transit profiles of BD+05 4868 A in Fig. [8.](#page-9-0)

mal Parker hydrodynamically driven outflow [\(Rappa](#page-22-2)[port et al.](#page-22-2) [2012;](#page-22-2) [Perez-Becker & Chiang](#page-22-4) [2013\)](#page-22-4). Instead of a spherical boundary from which the grains are released, we adopted the actual shape of the Hill 'sphere' following [Rappaport et al.](#page-22-31) [\(2016,](#page-22-31) their Appendix A), which describes the critical potential surface, which has a biconvex shape around the tidal axis (\hat{x}) . Upon launch, each grain's trajectory is integrated for 30 planetary orbits in 6000 steps per orbit using a $4th$ order Runge-Kutta integrator. During the simulation, any grain whose trajectory falls back onto the planetary

surface is removed as it will not contribute further to the dust tails.

6.2.1. Grain Motion in the Orbital Plane

An illustrative set of results is shown in Fig. [11.](#page-12-0) Panel (a) shows a view looking down on the orbital $(x - y)$ plane where it is evident that both leading and trailing dust tails readily form from the released grains. To match the angular extent of both tails from the observed data — approximately 180◦ in orbital phase from ingress to egress — we assume all grains have extinction crosssections with a fixed exponential decay rate comprising an e-folding time of 2 planetary orbits. A critical factor of these simulations is the assigned value of β for each grain, drawn from a uniform distribution whereby $\beta \sim U(0, 0.07)$. This is because the leading tail is only populated by grains with $\beta \leq 0.01$, consistent with the values that will yield $\Phi < 0$ in Eqn. [5.](#page-10-2) All grains with small β are initially released into smaller and faster orbits that will lead the planet in their motion—and they will remain in those orbits. Conversely, grains that experience higher levels of radiation pressure (higher $\beta \simeq 0.01 - 0.07$) experience a 'backflow' after release and are pushed into higher and slower orbits that will lag the planet — thereby forming the trailing tail.

Not only is the leading tail shorter than the trailing tail, but it is also more narrow in the radial direction. The widths of the tails are governed by the range of semi-major axes of the dust grains and their orbital eccentricities. The latter can be calculated analytically, but the expression is not particularly amenable to expansion for small β , v/V , and r_H . However, by evaluating the exact expression numerically and averaging over the emission directions (as described by the parameter ξ in equation [3\)](#page-9-3), we find that the eccentricity of the dust is given approximately by

$$
e_d \approx 0.01 - 0.86 \beta \text{ for } \beta \lesssim 0.01
$$

$$
e_d \approx -0.01 + 1.04 \beta \text{ for } \beta \gtrsim 0.01.
$$
 (7)

Because the dust grains in the leading tail have $\beta \lesssim 0.01$, the eccentricities in the leading tail range from $0.002 \leq$ $e_d \lesssim 0.01$. In comparison, grains in the trailing tail have eccentricities between $0.01 \lesssim e_d \lesssim 0.06$.

Altogether, the range of dust orbital distances from the host star (in units of a_o) will have two contributions: (i) the range of distances due to the eccentricity ($\simeq 2e_d$), and (ii) the range of semi-major axes. For the latter, equation [\(3\)](#page-9-3) yields

$$
\frac{a_d}{a_o} \simeq 1 + \beta - 4r_H + 2\xi v/V,
$$
 (8)

from which we find that the fractional variations in semimajor axes are given just by β if the v/V term is ne-

Figure 11. 3D simulation of 50,000 dust grains in the co-rotating frame of the orbiting planet, integrated for up to 30 planetary orbits. The host star is shown to scale, while the planet's size is inflated by a factor of 50 in panels (a) and (c) for visual clarity. (a) A view from above the planetary orbit, looking down at the $x - y$ plane in which the planet is orbiting counterclockwise. The trails indicate the accumulated trajectories of the dust grains over time. There are two distinct trails that correspond to the leading and trailing dust tails. (b) A view of the accumulated trajectories of the dust tails in the $z - \phi$ plane (an 'unrolled' dust tail), showing the azimuthal extent of the tails. For comparison, the subpanel beneath the color image shows the absorption profiles of the leading and trailing tails, which are based on fitting the mean transit profile $\mathcal{T}(\phi)$ to the TESS data in Fig. [7.](#page-8-1) (c) Visualization of the simulated dust tails projected onto the $z - y$ plane, with the host star shown in the background to demonstrate the extent of the dust tail's height. (d) A close-in view of the dust tail in the $x - y$ plane. Tracks correspond to trajectories of individual grains as they are launched from the boundary of the Hill 'sphere' of the planet. The region in cyan represents the outflowing Parker wind. Each grain is assigned a β value, which influences its trajectory and whether it ends up in the leading or the trailing tail.

Figure 12. Trajectories of simulated dust grains as viewed in the $x - y$ plane, with each trajectory colored by the β value of its corresponding grain. The parameters of this simulation are similar to those presented in Fig. [11,](#page-12-0) except that the trajectories here are numerically integrated across only 5 orbits, and the grains are not allowed to decay.

glected. Therefore, the combined variations in the radial width Δr are given roughly by

$$
\frac{\Delta r}{a_o} \approx \beta + 2e_d \approx 3e_d. \tag{9}
$$

Grains in the trailing tail with larger e_d will thus have Δr of ~10%-15%, as opposed to grains in the trailing with Δr of only a few percent. This result is illustrated in Fig. [12,](#page-13-0) where it is evident that high- β grains (in blue) contribute the most to Δr of the radially-wide trailing tail, whereas grains with the smallest β (in red) form the radially-thin leading tail.

6.2.2. Dust Tail Heights

The view of the simulated dust tail as it passes by the host star is shown across different scales in panels (b) and (c) of Fig. [11.](#page-12-0) The vertical extent of the trailing tail is about $\pm 0.05 R_{\star}$, while that of the leading tail is about a factor of three times smaller. A key question is: what determines the vertical height? Using the same approximations and assumptions used to derive equa-tions [\(2\)](#page-9-1) and [\(4\)](#page-9-2), the tilt μ , of an orbit with respect to the planet's orbital plane is given by

$$
\mu \simeq (v/V)\sin\theta\sin\psi < (v/V) \tag{10}
$$

Given that the orbital speed of the planet is \simeq 175 km s^{-1} and that of the Parker-wind outflow is roughly the

thermal speed $(c_s = 0.4 \text{ km s}^{-1})$, the maximum tilt is $\mu \lesssim 0.002$ rad. Meanwhile, the projected disk height in units of R_{\star} is given by

$$
\frac{h}{R_*} \simeq (v/V)(a/R_*) \simeq 0.014 \tag{11}
$$

This is consistent with the heights observed in the leading tails (Fig. [11b](#page-12-0)) and is barely adequate to explain the typical 1% deep transits that are observed. However, the trailing tail is more than sufficiently high to account for the observed transits. Fig. [11c](#page-12-0) shows the same view of the tail crossing the stellar disk, but in distance units that are identical across the y and z directions. This view demonstrates the narrowness of the dust tail's vertical extent.

Of particular interest is how the simulated trailing tail may gain a vertical extent up to $\sim 0.05R_{\star}$, given that $h \simeq 0.014 R_{\star}$. Fig. [11d](#page-12-0) examines the grains' trajectories in the vicinity of the planet, where the Hill "sphere" is shown to scale, with its proper shape. The emission cone that we assumed for the Parker wind is shaded in cyan, and the grains are all released on the Hill surface with speed v outward. The grains with small β glide into the leading tail (toward the top of the figure). In contrast, grains with $0.01 \lesssim \beta \lesssim 0.07$ experiences a 'backflow' as described previously, which causes the grains to drift back though the Parker wind outflow region an outcome we view as problematic for this particular launch scenario. Among these backflowing grains, those that initially have significant altitudes above the orbital plane are scattered by the planet, such that they are boosted (i.e., gravity assisted) to larger heights below the planet's orbital plane than would otherwise be attained — and vice versa. While this explains how the large (and necessary) heights are obtained in this simulation, it is challenging to accept because of the apparent conflict between the outflowing Parker wind and the back-tracking grains that form the trailing tail.

In Appendix [C,](#page-17-3) we explore varying the grain turn-on time as well as the initial launch velocity and location of the dust grains. We find that the properties of the simulated tails are generally sensitive to both of these initial conditions. More specifically, a scenario in which dust grains form half an orbit after launch — simulating a later decoupling of the dust grains from the outflowing gas — yields smaller tail heights (up to $\sim 0.02 R_{\star}$) and a leading tail with the same vertical height as the trailing tail. Such a scenario would require optically thick $(\tau \sim 2)$ dust grains to reproduce the observed transit properties of BD+05 4868 Ab and would not allow for transits deeper than $\sim 2\%$ should they be observed in the future.

In an alternate simulation, we assumed the dust grain release to be from the planetary surface with the surface escape velocity, similar to a scenario explored by [Ridden-Harper et al.](#page-22-30) [\(2018\)](#page-22-30). These conditions may form the requisite dust tails, but are incommensurate with a Parker wind scenario. Our simulations, while mainly illustrative, indicate that accurately reproducing the observed transit profiles will require not only the detailed modeling of outflow dynamics and dust formation processes [\(Perez-Becker & Chiang](#page-22-4) [2013;](#page-22-4) [Croll et al.](#page-21-6) [2014;](#page-21-6) [Booth et al.](#page-21-1) [2023\)](#page-21-1) but also a detailed consideration of the post-release behavior of dust grains [\(Ridden-Harper](#page-22-30) [et al.](#page-22-30) [2018\)](#page-22-30) and variations in optical depth along the dust tail [\(Campos Estrada et al.](#page-21-30) [2024\)](#page-21-30).

6.3. Inferences on Grain Size

From our inferred distribution of β , we may constrain the sizes of the dust grains. This follows from the definition of β :

$$
\beta \equiv \frac{F_{\text{rad}}}{F_{\text{grav}}} = \left[\frac{\sigma_{\text{rp}}(r,\lambda)L}{c 4\pi d^2}\right] \left[\frac{GmM}{d^2}\right]^{-1}
$$

$$
= \frac{3\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{rp}}(r,\lambda)L}{c 16\pi \rho rGM},\tag{12}
$$

where $\sigma_{\rm rp}(r,\lambda)$ is the radiation pressure cross-section for a dust grain (assumed to be spherical) of size r at wavelength λ , $\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{rp}}(r, \lambda)$ is the dimensionless form of the cross-section in units of πr^2 , and $\rho = 3 \text{ g cm}^3$ is the assumed bulk density of the grain material. To calculate $\sigma_{\rm rp}$, we use the miepython^{[6](#page-14-1)} package, which follows the Mie scattering procedures outlined by [Wiscombe](#page-23-8) [\(1979\)](#page-23-8). We first calculated $\sigma_{\rm rp}$ for generic materials with the real part of their indices of refraction, $n_r = 1.65$, having four different values of the imaginary part of the index of refraction, $k_r \in [0.0001, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1]$ that are assumed to be independent of λ . For comparison, we also show curves for several materials representative of minerals within a planetary crust and mantle (e.g., [Hirose et al.](#page-21-31) [2013;](#page-21-31) [Mahapatra et al.](#page-22-32) [2017\)](#page-22-32). For iron, quartz (fused silica, SiO₂), and corundum (Al₂O₃), we determine n_r and k_r by evaluating such optical constants from the [RefractiveIndex.INFO](https://refractiveindex.info/) repository [\(Polyanskiy](#page-22-33) [2024\)](#page-22-33) at the host star's peak wavelength of $\simeq 700$ nm. For fayalite $(F_{2}SiO_4)$, we retrieved the corresponding optical constants from [Fabian et al.](#page-21-32) [\(2001\)](#page-21-32).

Because values of k generally vary with λ , the β -to grain size relations in Fig. [13b](#page-14-2) should be taken as illustrative rather than definitive. Nonetheless, Fig. [13b](#page-14-2) shows that $0.0025 < \beta < 0.07$ requires either large grain

Figure 13. (a) Radiation pressure cross-sections calculated using Mie theory. The cross-sections are normalized by the geometric cross section of the dust grain and are displayed as a function of the grain (sphere) size parameter $X = 2\pi r/\lambda$, where r is the grain size (radius) and λ is the wavelength of the radiation. Solid curves show cross-sections for illustrative materials with a real part of the index of refraction $n_r = 1.65$ across a range of k , the imaginary part of the index of refraction. Cross-sections for representative astronomical minerals are displayed as non-solid curves. (b) Calculated values of β from Eqn. [12](#page-14-3) as a function of grain size for the same materials presented in (a). The purple band corresponds to a plausible range of $0.0025 < \beta < 0.07$ for grains in the trailing tail, as inferred from BD+05 4868 Ab's transits.

sizes $(1 \mu m \lesssim r \lesssim 10 \mu m)$ for essentially any grain composition or small grain sizes ($\lesssim 0.05 \,\mu\text{m}$) requiring very specific mineral compositions. Small grains tend to readily absorb the short wavelengths of the host star but radiate weakly in the infrared. As a result, they sublimate much quicker than larger grains (see, e.g., [Xu et al.](#page-23-9)

⁶ <https://miepython.readthedocs.io>

[2018\)](#page-23-9), which suggests that the dust grains emanating from BD+05 4868 Ab are predominantly large.

6.4. Mass Loss Rate

We can provide rough estimates of the mass loss rate in dust from the planet, given the inferred range of β and measurements of the dust tail's length. We first determine the dust lifetime, T_d , by estimating the characteristic length for the observed trailing tail (distance to its 1/e point) to be $\phi_c \sim 0.1$, or about 10% of the circumference of the planetary orbit (Appendix [B\)](#page-17-2). The average drift of dust grains in the trailing tail is $\langle \Phi_{\text{trail}} \rangle \simeq (2 \langle \beta \rangle - 6 r_H) N$, where, again, N is the number of orbits since launch, $\langle \beta \rangle \simeq 0.03$, and $6r_H \simeq 0.02$. Thus, $\langle \Phi_{\text{trail}} \rangle \simeq 0.04N$. Finally, if we equate 0.04N to 0.1 (orbital circumferences), we find a mean lifetime for the dust grains of ∼2.5 orbits, or about 3 days.

The mass of dust at a given instant in the trailing tail can be estimated by 'unrolling' the tail into a sheet of dust of height H , length \mathcal{L} , and depth \mathcal{D} . In the optically thick limit, $\mathcal{H} = 2 \cdot \langle \delta \rangle \cdot R_{\star}$, where $\langle \delta \rangle = 0.012$ is the average transit depth. Meanwhile, $\mathcal{L} = \phi_c \cdot 2\pi R_{\star} \cdot (a/R_{\star}),$ and $\mathcal{D} \approx 2 r = 10 \,\mu\text{m}$, where $r \approx 5 \,\mu\text{m}$ is an adopted average grain radius. Assuming $\rho = 3 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ as the bulk density of the grain, we find that the approximate mass in the dust ribbon is

$$
M_{\text{dust}} \approx \rho \mathcal{H} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{D}
$$

$$
\approx 6 \times 10^{17} \left(\frac{s}{5 \,\mu\text{m}}\right) \left(\frac{\rho}{3 \,\text{g cm}^3}\right) \left(\frac{R_\star}{0.7 \,R_\odot}\right) \text{ grams}
$$
 (13)

As the sublimated grains in the tail are steadily replenished by mass loss from the planet, the rate of mass loss from the planet is calculated as:

$$
\dot{M}_{\text{dust}} \approx M_{\text{dust}} \cdot T_d^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
\approx 2 \times 10^{12} \text{ grams/s} \simeq 10 M_{\oplus} \text{Gyr}^{-1}.
$$
\n(14)

To estimate a remaining lifetime for the planet, we again assume a fiducial planet mass of $0.02 M_{\oplus}$. This choice of planetary mass is reasonably well-justified, given that [Perez-Becker & Chiang](#page-22-4) [\(2013\)](#page-22-4) demonstrate that planets with high \dot{M} are generally those in the low-mass regime $(M_p \leq 0.02 M_{\oplus})$ in their final, short-lived evaporating phase. Based on our estimates of \dot{M} , we thus infer a remaining lifetime of $0.002 \text{ Gyr} = 2 \text{ Myr}$ for BD+05 4868 Ab, indicating only a brief duration before the planet's complete disintegration.

7. DISCUSSION AND OUTLOOK

BD+05 4868 Ab presents an extreme case of a catastrophically evaporating rocky planet. Compared to the three other known disintegrating planets, BD+05 4868 Ab has the deepest transit depths and longest tails, indicative of a rapidly vaporizing planet emanating copious amounts of dust. Of particular interest is its long orbital period and low equilibrium temperature, $T_{eq} = 1820 \pm 45$ K relative to the other disintegrating planets ($T_{\text{eq}} \sim 2100 \text{ K}$), which may be suggestive of dust properties (e.g., composition, sublimation conditions) that are distinct from other such systems.

Unique also to BD+05 4868 Ab is the clear presence of extended trailing and leading tails, each contributing to the net absorption of starlight from the host star. It is this property that has enabled us to develop a framework for estimating the range of β (i.e., up to 0.07) — and subsequently to infer large grain sizes of $\approx 1 - 10$ microns — based on the drift of dust grains along both tails. As demonstrated by our simulations in Section [6.2,](#page-10-1) the added presence of the leading tail can also be particularly valuable for constraining the dynamics of the dust tail and for testing dust formation scenarios.

Notable in BD+05 4868 A's light curve, as well, is the absence of a forward scattering peak, a feature seen in the transits of the other disintegrating planets Kepler-1520 b and $K2-22$ b. It is likely that the flux enhancement from forward scattering is canceled out by the light extinction of the transit. More specifically, micron-sized grains are mainly scattered within a narrow cone in the forward direction to an observer, thus remaining within highly absorbing regions of the transit. In addition, [DeVore et al.](#page-21-33) [\(2016\)](#page-21-33) estimated that the contrast between the scattering amplitude and transit depth scales with $\pi (R_{\star}/a)^2$. Any scattering feature within BD+05 4868 A's light curve would be confined within the deepest parts of the transit, with an amplitude that is about a factor of 15 smaller than the depth of the transit.

We show an illustrative example in Fig. [14](#page-16-0) of the possible effect of forward scattering on the transit light curve. The black curve represents what the dust extinction profile might look like during a typical transit. The orange curve is the corresponding observed absorption profile after the dust tail has been convolved with the limbdarkened stellar disk. The blue curve represents the forward scattering contribution under the assumption that the dust optical thickness is ≤ 0.5 everywhere in the tails. A larger optical thickness would only diminish the forward scattering peak further. The net observed transit after the forward scattering is added to the absorption profile is shown by the red curve and demonstrates that discerning the presence of any forward scattering within the observed transit would be difficult.

Figure 14. Simulated contributions to the transit profile. The black, orange, blue, and red curves are the dust extinction profile, the absorption profile of the transit, the forward scattering peak, and the net observed transit profile. These results were computed using a representative value of $r/\lambda = 6$, and are generally insensitive to varying r/λ between 1-10.

Based on the models by [Perez-Becker & Chiang](#page-22-4) (2013) , it is plausible that BD+054868 Ab began with an initial mass greater than Mercury's, but experienced evaporative mass-loss spanning several Gyr. The lack of observational evidence for strong stellar activity suggests a quiescent host star, which when coupled with its derived isochronal age in Table [2,](#page-7-0) suggest a planetary system older than the Sun. While the formation scenarios for disintegrating rocky planets may be similar to those proposed for USPs [\(Winn](#page-23-10) [et al.](#page-23-10) [2018,](#page-23-10) and references therein), one possibility, as suggested by [Sanchis-Ojeda et al.](#page-22-6) [\(2015\)](#page-22-6), is the role of a binary companion in driving the planet toward the host star via von Zeipel–Lidov-Kozai cycles with tidal friction [\(von Zeipel](#page-23-11) [1910;](#page-23-11) [Lidov](#page-22-34) [1962;](#page-22-34) [Kozai](#page-22-35) [1962\)](#page-22-35). Notably, BD+05 4868 Ab is the second disintegrating planet whose host is part of a known wide binary system. The other is the $K2-22$ system, in which the host star primary orbits another M-dwarf at a projected physical separation of \sim 430 AU. The discovery of more disintegrating rocky planets with TESS and potentially from PLATO [\(Rauer et al.](#page-22-36) [2014\)](#page-22-36) will be helpful to determine if this occurrence is incidental or if binarity indeed has a role in the demise of these planets.

BD+05 4868 Ab presents a compelling target for exoplanet mineralogy studies because its dusty effluents form from the mineral vapor that evaporated off the planetary surface. Transmission spectroscopy of the dusty effluents therefore provides an opportunity to directly measure the surface composition of a small, rocky exoplanet. Considerable effort has been expended for

ground-based follow-up observations to probe the mineral composition of the dust (or gas) emanating from two of the three previously known disintegrating planets (e.g., [Croll et al.](#page-21-6) [2014;](#page-21-6) [Bochinski et al.](#page-21-7) [2015;](#page-21-7) Colón et al. [2018;](#page-21-8) [Gaidos et al.](#page-21-9) [2019;](#page-21-9) [Ridden-Harper et al.](#page-22-9) [2019\)](#page-22-9). Such observations, however, have been challenging due to the faintness of those host stars in tandem with their small transit depths ($\sim 0.5\%$). At optical wavelengths, BD+05 4868 A is \sim 100 times brighter than K2-22, and is \sim 250 times brighter than Kepler-1520. The relatively deep transits of this system, which have an average depth of $\sim 1.2\%$, are expected to provide high signalto-noise measurements from existing ground-based facilities. Furthermore, the weak, yet variable transits of previously known disintegrating planets sometimes produce observational intervals with no detectable transits [\(van Werkhoven et al.](#page-23-6) [2014;](#page-23-6) [van Lieshout & Rappaport](#page-23-1) [2018\)](#page-23-1). This is in contrast to the consistently deep and long transits shown by BD+05 4868 Ab, which greatly increases their detectability as evidenced by our followup measurements in this work (Fig. [4\)](#page-4-0).

Beyond ground-based efforts, observations of BD+05 4868 Ab from the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) may reveal exceptional new insights on planetary interiors. Low-resolution spectra from JWST's Mid-Infrared Instrument (MIRI) are well-suited for detecting resonant features expected from minerals comprising terrestrial planets. A transit observation having $a \sim 1\%$ depth even from targets as faint as K2-22 may already reveal the presence of mineral species characteristic of specific interior layers of terrestrial planets [\(Bodman et al.](#page-21-34) [2018;](#page-21-34) [Okuya et al.](#page-22-37) [2020\)](#page-22-37). Higher signalto-noise ratio transmission spectra — as expected from $BD+054868Ab$ — may enable even more refined geological differentiation (e.g., oceanic versus continental crust) based on distinct elemental ratios measured from minerals detectable in the mid-infrared like Fe, Mg, and Si (e.g., [Rudnick & Gao](#page-22-38) [2003\)](#page-22-38).

Finally, we note that the relative brightness of BD+05 4868 Ab opens up possibilities for polarization measurements of light scattered from its dust tails, which may provide additional or complementary information to photometry and spectroscopy regarding the structure of the dust tail (e.g., [Stinson et al.](#page-22-39) [2016\)](#page-22-39) as well as the size and optical properties of its constituent dust grains (e.g., [Zubko et al.](#page-23-12) [2015\)](#page-23-12). The detection of polarization would further demonstrate the existence of the forward scattering feature in the dust tail, which is currently obscured within the larger absorption regions of the transit.

18 Hon ET AL.

APPENDIX

A. FITS TO MEAN TRANSIT PROFILE

In this appendix, we present details of the fits to the mean transit profile observed by TESS. The corner plot displaying the joint posterior distribution is presented in Figure [15,](#page-18-0) while the description and summary statistics of each fitted parameter are tabulated in Table [A.](#page-17-1)

We additionally fitted a model which superimposes upon $\mathcal{Y}(d)$ the transit profile of a solid-body planet, with planetary radii sampled from uniform distribution of $R_p/R_* \sim \mathcal{U}(10^{-5}, 1)$. From the fits, we identified an upper limit of $R_p/R_* \simeq 0.03$ or $R_p \simeq 3.6$ R_{\oplus} , above which the attenuation by the solid body resulted in progressively poorer fits to the observed mean transit profile. The inclusion of a solid body transit resulted in a statistically significant improvement in the fit, as indicated by the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) values ($\Delta BIC = 15.12$). However, the improvements in fits were focused at the transit minimum, which shows the strongest variations from transit to transit. Therefore, it is more likely that the model is overfitting the observed data, rather than a solid body transit signal being truly present.

Table 3. Best-fit parameters for the model of the mean transit profile (Equation [1\)](#page-6-3) when fit to the mean transit profile from TESS data. Quantities related to length and position are in units of R_{\star} . The prior distribution for each parameter is uniform (denoted as U) across an interval whose boundaries are specified by the quantities in parentheses. Uncertainties of the median posterior values correspond to the $16th$ and $84th$ percentiles, respectively.

	Definition	Prior	Posterior Median
x_p	Angular location of planet relative to transit minimum	$U(-2.0, 1.2)$	$-0.97^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$
E_f	Forward tail amplitude	$\mathcal{U}(0,0.1)$	0.009 ± 0.001
E_b	Trailing tail amplitude	$\mathcal{U}(0,0.1)$	0.0088 ± 0.0002
x_f	Forward tail scale length	U(0.15, 5)	0.58 ± 0.02
x _b	Trailing tail scale length	U(0.15, 5)	$3.6 + 0.1$
\mathfrak{g}	Generalized-Gaussian	U(0.25, 5.25)	0.85 ± 0.01
	exponent		
\boldsymbol{h}	Impact parameter	$\mathcal{U}(0,1)$	$0.05^{+0.02}_{-0.05}$

B. FITS TO INDIVIDUAL TRANSITS

In addition to fitting the mean transit profile of BD+05 4868 A's TESS light curve (Section [5\)](#page-6-0), we also fitted individual transits in the light curve using $\mathcal{Y}(d)$ (Eqn. [1\)](#page-6-3). The fits to the individual transits are presented as the red curves in Fig. [8.](#page-9-0) By fitting $\mathcal{Y}(d)$ to each transit, we measured the properties across the leading and trailing tails from transit to transit, such as the combined attenuation per transit $(A_{\text{tot}}, F$ ig. [16a](#page-19-0)), the relative amount of attenuation between the trailing and leading tails $(A_b/A_f, Fig. 16b)$ $(A_b/A_f, Fig. 16b)$ $(A_b/A_f, Fig. 16b)$, and the ratio of the tail scale lengths between the trailing and leading tails $(x_b/x_f$, Fig. [16c](#page-19-0)). For completeness, we calculated additional metrics per transit, including the angular distance required for the fitted attenuation profile for the trailing tail to reduce to $1/e$ (Fig. [16d](#page-19-0)) and the depth of each transit (Fig. [16e](#page-19-0)).

C. VARYING SIMULATION INITIAL LAUNCH PARAMETERS

In this appendix, we show results from repeating the simulations in Section [6.2](#page-10-1) but using different initial conditions for the release of the dust grains. In the top row of Fig. [17,](#page-20-0) we release dust grains at the Hill surface but set $\beta = 0$ for all grains during the first half-orbit of the grains' trajectories (i.e., about 15 hrs). During this time window, a significant fraction of the grains may freely populate the leading tail. As the effects of β are introduced after the first half-orbit, grains are blown into the trailing tail at distances sufficiently far from the planet, such that they do not experience the vertical launch assist described in Section [6.2.](#page-10-1) As a result, the trailing tail maximum height is now much lower at $\sim 0.02 R_{\star}$, implying that the dust tails will need to have moderately large optically depths ($\tau \sim 2$) in

Figure 15. Corner plot of the posterior distribution to the fit to the mean transit profile of BD+05 4868 A's TESS light curve.

order to reproduce the observed $1-2\%$ transit depths. This launch scenario would be incompatible if a deeper (e.g., \sim 3%) transit is ever observed for BD+05 4868 Ab.

In the bottom row of Fig. [17,](#page-20-0) we present the scenario whereby dust grains are launched from the planetary surface at a velocity equal to the planet's escape velocity of 3 km s[−]¹ . While this scenario successfully reproduces the requisite tail heights, the assumption of such a high instantaneous launch speed at the surface is incommensurate with a Parker wind scenario that assumes gradual acceleration by gas pressure.

Funding for the TESS mission is provided by the NASA Explorer Program. We acknowledge the use of public TESS data from pipelines at the TESS Science Office. This paper includes data collected by the TESS mission that are publicly available from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). M.H. acknowledges support from NASA grant 80NSSC24K0228. KAC and CNW acknowledge support from the TESS mission via subaward s3449 from MIT. The postdoctoral fellowship of KB is funded by F.R.S.-FNRS grant T.0109.20 and by the Francqui Foundation. DRC acknowledges partial support from NASA Grant 18-2XRP18 2-0007. This work is partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP24H00017 and JSPS Bilateral Program Number JPJSBP120249910. This research was carried out in part at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (80NM0018D0004). This work makes use of observations from the

Figure 16. Measured properties from the fitting of individual transits from the TESS light curves in Fig. [8,](#page-9-0) with a similar labeling scheme for the transit number. The vertical lines indicate gaps within the light curve; double lines indicate the gap between Sectors 55 and 82. The errors are computed from the 16th and 84th percentiles of the posterior distribution from model fits of $\mathcal{Y}(d)$. (a) The total integrated area under the transmission profiles of each transit (A_{tot}) , normalized by the corresponding value from the mean transit profile $(A_{\text{tot,mean}})$. (b) Ratio of the integrated area under the trailing tail's transmission profile with that from the leading tail. (c) Ratio of Generalized Gaussian scale lengths between the trailing and leading tails. (d) The trailing tails' e-folding length in units of ϕ , assuming an exponential decay. (e) Transit depth, measured as the minimum flux level in the transit profile.

LCOGT network. Part of the LCOGT telescope time was granted by NOIRLab through the Mid-Scale Innovations Program (MSIP). MSIP is funded by NSF. This research has made use of the Exoplanet Follow-up Observation Program (ExoFOP; DOI: 10.26134/ExoFOP5) website, which is operated by the California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under the Exoplanet Exploration Program. This paper is also based on observations made with the MuSCAT instruments, developed by the Astrobiology Center (ABC) in Japan, the University of Tokyo, and Las Cumbres Observatory (LCOGT). MuSCAT3 was developed with financial support by JSPS KAKENHI (JP18H05439) and JST PRESTO (JPMJPR1775), and is located at the Faulkes Telescope North on Maui, HI (USA), operated by LCOGT. MuSCAT4 was developed with financial support provided by the Heising-Simons Foundation (grant 2022-3611), JST grant number JPMJCR1761, and the ABC in Japan, and is located at the Faulkes Telescope South at Siding Spring Observatory (Australia), operated by LCOGT. Some of the

Half-orbit Delayed Dust Turn-on After Launch, $v = 0.4$ km s⁻¹

Figure 17. Same as Fig. [11,](#page-12-0) but using different initial launch parameters for the dust grains. In the top row, the grains are simulated to form only at a distance past the Hill sphere by setting $\beta = 0$ for half of the first orbit after release. In the bottom panel, the grains are released from the surface of a $0.02M_{\oplus}$ planet with a radius of 2000 km with a velocity corresponding to the planet's escape velocity (in isolation) of 3 km s^{-1} .

data presented herein were obtained at Keck Observatory, which is a private 501(c)3 non-profit organization operated as a scientific partnership among the California Institute of Technology, the University of California, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The Observatory was made possible by the generous financial support of the W. M. Keck Foundation. The authors wish to recognize and acknowledge the very significant cultural role and

22 Hon ET AL.

reverence that the summit of Maunakea has always had within the Native Hawaiian community. We are most fortunate to have the opportunity to conduct observations from this mountain.

Facilities: TESS, LCOGT

Software: AstroImageJ [\(Collins et al.](#page-21-13) [2017\)](#page-21-13), TAPIR [\(Jensen](#page-22-18) [2013\)](#page-22-18), Dynesty [\(Speagle](#page-22-40) [2020\)](#page-22-40)

REFERENCES

Baka, K., & Schlawin, E. 2021, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2111.12688, doi: [10.48550/arXiv.2111.12688](http://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2111.12688)

Blunt, S., Nielsen, E. L., De Rosa, R. J., et al. 2017, AJ, 153, 229, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aa6930](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa6930)

Bochinski, J. J., Haswell, C. A., Marsh, T. R., Dhillon, V. S., & Littlefair, S. P. 2015, ApJL, 800, L21, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/800/2/L21](http://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/800/2/L21)

Bodman, E. H. L., Wright, J. T., Desch, S. J., & Lisse, C. M. 2018, AJ, 156, 173, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aadc60](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aadc60)

Booth, R. A., Owen, J. E., & Schulik, M. 2023, MNRAS, 518, 1761, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stac3121](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac3121)

Borucki, W. J., Koch, D., Basri, G., et al. 2010, Science, 327, 977, doi: [10.1126/science.1185402](http://doi.org/10.1126/science.1185402)

Brogi, M., Keller, C. U., de Juan Ovelar, M., et al. 2012, A&A, 545, L5, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201219762](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219762)

Bromley, J., & Chiang, E. 2023, MNRAS, 521, 5746, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stad932](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad932)

Brown, T. M., Baliber, N., Bianco, F. B., et al. 2013, PASP, 125, 1031, doi: [10.1086/673168](http://doi.org/10.1086/673168)

Budaj, J. 2013, A&A, 557, A72, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201220260](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201220260)

Campos Estrada, B., Owen, J. E., Jankovic, M. R., Wilson, A., & Helling, C. 2024, MNRAS, 528, 1249, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stae095](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae095)

Choi, J., Dotter, A., Conroy, C., et al. 2016, ApJ, 823, 102, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/823/2/102](http://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/823/2/102)

Claret, A. 2017, A&A, 600, A30, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201629705](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629705)

Coelho, P., Barbuy, B., Meléndez, J., Schiavon, R. P., & Castilho, B. V. 2005, A&A, 443, 735, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20053511](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20053511)

Collins, K. 2019, in American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts, Vol. 233, American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts #233, 140.05

Collins, K. A., Kielkopf, J. F., Stassun, K. G., & Hessman, F. V. 2017, AJ, 153, 77, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/153/2/77](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/153/2/77)

Colón, K. D., Zhou, G., Shporer, A., et al. 2018, AJ, 156, 227, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aae31b](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aae31b)

Croll, B., Rappaport, S., DeVore, J., et al. 2014, ApJ, 786, 100, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/786/2/100](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/786/2/100)

Curry, A., Booth, R., Owen, J. E., & Mohanty, S. 2024, MNRAS, 528, 4314, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stae191](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae191)

Cutri, R. M., Skrutskie, M. F., van Dyk, S., et al. 2003, VizieR Online Data Catalog: 2MASS All-Sky Catalog of Point Sources (Cutri+ 2003), VizieR On-line Data Catalog: II/246. Originally published in: University of Massachusetts and Infrared Processing and Analysis Center, (IPAC/California Institute of Technology) (2003) Cutri, R. M. e. 2012, VizieR Online Data Catalog, II/311 Dekany, R., Roberts, J., Burruss, R., et al. 2013, ApJ, 776, 130, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/776/2/130](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/776/2/130) DeVore, J., Rappaport, S., Sanchis-Ojeda, R., Hoffman, K., & Rowe, J. 2016, MNRAS, 461, 2453, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw1439](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw1439) Duncan, D. K., Vaughan, A. H., Wilson, O. C., et al. 1991, ApJS, 76, 383, doi: [10.1086/191572](http://doi.org/10.1086/191572) Eastman, J. D., Rodriguez, J. E., Agol, E., et al. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1907.09480. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.09480> Fabian, D., Henning, T., Jäger, C., et al. 2001, A&A, 378, 228, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20011196](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20011196) Fritsch, F. N., & Butland, J. 1984, SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing, 5, 300, doi: [10.1137/0905021](http://doi.org/10.1137/0905021) Furlan, E., Ciardi, D. R., Everett, M. E., et al. 2017, AJ, 153, 71, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/153/2/71](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/153/2/71) Gaia Collaboration, Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., et al. 2021, A&A, 649, A1, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202039657](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039657) Gaidos, E., Hirano, T., & Ansdell, M. 2019, MNRAS, 485, 3876, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz693](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz693) Garai, Z. 2018, A&A, 611, A63, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201629676](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629676) Hansen, J. E., & Travis, L. D. 1974, SSRv, 16, 527, doi: [10.1007/BF00168069](http://doi.org/10.1007/BF00168069) Higson, E., Handley, W., Hobson, M., & Lasenby, A. 2019, Statistics and Computing, 29, 891, doi: [10.1007/s11222-018-9844-0](http://doi.org/10.1007/s11222-018-9844-0) Hirose, K., Labrosse, S., & Hernlund, J. 2013, Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 41, 657,

[//doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-050212-124007](http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-050212-124007)

doi: [https:](http://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-050212-124007)

Høg, E., Fabricius, C., Makarov, V. V., et al. 2000, A&A, 355, L27

- Hon, M., Huber, D., Kuszlewicz, J. S., et al. 2021, ApJ, 919, 131, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ac14b1](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac14b1)
- Howell, S. B., Sobeck, C., Haas, M., et al. 2014, PASP, 126, 398, doi: [10.1086/676406](http://doi.org/10.1086/676406)
- Huang, C. X., Vanderburg, A., Pál, A., et al. 2020a, Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society, 4, 204, doi: [10.3847/2515-5172/abca2e](http://doi.org/10.3847/2515-5172/abca2e)
- —. 2020b, Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society, 4, 206, doi: [10.3847/2515-5172/abca2d](http://doi.org/10.3847/2515-5172/abca2d)
- Husser, T. O., Wende-von Berg, S., Dreizler, S., et al. 2013, A&A, 553, A6, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201219058](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219058)
- Jensen, E. 2013, Tapir: A web interface for transit/eclipse observability, Astrophysics Source Code Library. <http://ascl.net/1306.007>
- Kite, E. S., Fegley, Jr., B., Schaefer, L., & Gaidos, E. 2016, ApJ, 828, 80, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/828/2/80](http://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/828/2/80)
- Kovács, G., Zucker, S., & Mazeh, T. 2002, A&A, 391, 369, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20020802](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20020802)
- Kozai, Y. 1962, AJ, 67, 591, doi: [10.1086/108790](http://doi.org/10.1086/108790)
- Kunimoto, M., Tey, E., Fong, W., et al. 2023, Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society, 7, 28, doi: [10.3847/2515-5172/acbc13](http://doi.org/10.3847/2515-5172/acbc13)
- —. 2022, Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society, 6, 236, doi: [10.3847/2515-5172/aca158](http://doi.org/10.3847/2515-5172/aca158)
- Kurucz, R. L. 1993, VizieR Online Data Catalog: Model Atmospheres (Kurucz, 1979), VizieR On-line Data Catalog: VI/39. Originally published in: 1979ApJS...40....1K
- Lamers, H. J. G. L. M., & Cassinelli, J. P. 1999, Introduction to Stellar Winds (Cambridge University Press), doi: [10.1017/cbo9781139175012](http://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781139175012)
- Léger, A., Grasset, O., Fegley, B., et al. 2011, Icarus, 213, 1, doi: [10.1016/j.icarus.2011.02.004](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2011.02.004)
- Lidov, M. L. 1962, Planet. Space Sci., 9, 719, doi: [10.1016/0032-0633\(62\)90129-0](http://doi.org/10.1016/0032-0633(62)90129-0)
- Mahapatra, G., Helling, C., & Miguel, Y. 2017, MNRAS, 472, 447, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx1666](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1666)
- Masuda, K., Petigura, E. A., & Hall, O. J. 2022, MNRAS, 510, 5623, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stab3650](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab3650)
- McCully, C., Volgenau, N. H., Harbeck, D.-R., et al. 2018, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 10707, Proc. SPIE, 107070K, doi: [10.1117/12.2314340](http://doi.org/10.1117/12.2314340)
- Narita, N., Fukui, A., Yamamuro, T., et al. 2020, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 11447, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, 114475K, doi: [10.1117/12.2559947](http://doi.org/10.1117/12.2559947)
- Okuya, A., Okuzumi, S., Ohno, K., & Hirano, T. 2020, ApJ, 901, 171, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/abb088](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/abb088)
- Pearce, L. A., Kraus, A. L., Dupuy, T. J., et al. 2020, ApJ, 894, 115, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab8389](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab8389)
- Perez-Becker, D., & Chiang, E. 2013, MNRAS, 433, 2294, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt895](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt895)
- Petigura, E. A. 2015, PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley
- Polyanskiy, M. N. 2024, Scientific Data, 11, doi: [10.1038/s41597-023-02898-2](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-023-02898-2)
- Rappaport, S., Barclay, T., DeVore, J., et al. 2014, ApJ, 784, 40, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/784/1/40](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/784/1/40)
- Rappaport, S., Gary, B. L., Kaye, T., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 458, 3904, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw612](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw612)
- Rappaport, S., Levine, A., Chiang, E., et al. 2012, ApJ, 752, 1, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/752/1/1](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/752/1/1)
- Rauer, H., Catala, C., Aerts, C., et al. 2014, Experimental Astronomy, 38, 249, doi: [10.1007/s10686-014-9383-4](http://doi.org/10.1007/s10686-014-9383-4)
- Ricker, G. R., Winn, J. N., Vanderspek, R., et al. 2015, Journal of Astronomical Telescopes, Instruments, and Systems, 1, 014003, doi: [10.1117/1.JATIS.1.1.014003](http://doi.org/10.1117/1.JATIS.1.1.014003)
- Ridden-Harper, A. R., Keller, C. U., Min, M., van Lieshout, R., & Snellen, I. A. G. 2018, A&A, 618, A97, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201731947](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731947)
- Ridden-Harper, A. R., Snellen, I. A. G., Keller, C. U., & Mollière, P. 2019, A&A, 628, A70, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201834433](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834433)
- Rudnick, R. L., & Gao, S. 2003, Treatise on Geochemistry, 3, 659, doi: [10.1016/B0-08-043751-6/03016-4](http://doi.org/10.1016/B0-08-043751-6/03016-4)
- Sanchis-Ojeda, R., Rappaport, S., Pallè, E., et al. 2015, ApJ, 812, 112, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/812/2/112](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/812/2/112)
- Schlawin, E., Herter, T., Zhao, M., Teske, J. K., & Chen, H. 2016, ApJ, 826, 156, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/826/2/156](http://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/826/2/156)
- Schwab, C., Rakich, A., Gong, Q., et al. 2016, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 9908, Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy VI, ed. C. J. Evans, L. Simard, & H. Takami, 99087H, doi: [10.1117/12.2234411](http://doi.org/10.1117/12.2234411)
- Shappee, B. J., Prieto, J. L., Grupe, D., et al. 2014, ApJ, 788, 48, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/788/1/48](http://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/788/1/48)
- Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163, doi: [10.1086/498708](http://doi.org/10.1086/498708)
- Speagle, J. S. 2020, MNRAS, 493, 3132, doi: [10.1093/mnras/staa278](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa278)
- Stinson, A., Bagnulo, S., Tozzi, G. P., et al. 2016, A&A, 594, A110, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201527696](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201527696)
- Valenti, J. A., & Fischer, D. A. 2005, ApJS, 159, 141, doi: [10.1086/430500](http://doi.org/10.1086/430500)
- van Lieshout, R., Min, M., & Dominik, C. 2014, A&A, 572, A76, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201424876](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201424876)
- van Lieshout, R., & Rappaport, S. A. 2018, in Handbook of Exoplanets, ed. H. J. Deeg & J. A. Belmonte, 15, doi: [10.1007/978-3-319-55333-7](http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-55333-7_15) 15
- van Lieshout, R., Min, M., Dominik, C., et al. 2016, A&A, 596, A32, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201629250](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629250)
- van Werkhoven, T. I. M., Brogi, M., Snellen, I. A. G., & Keller, C. U. 2014, A&A, 561, A3, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201322398](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201322398)
- von Zeipel, H. 1910, Astronomische Nachrichten, 183, 345, doi: [10.1002/asna.19091832202](http://doi.org/10.1002/asna.19091832202)
- Winn, J. N. 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2410.12905, doi: [10.48550/arXiv.2410.12905](http://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2410.12905)
- Winn, J. N., Sanchis-Ojeda, R., & Rappaport, S. 2018, NewAR, 83, 37, doi: [10.1016/j.newar.2019.03.006](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.newar.2019.03.006)
- Wiscombe, W. 1979, Mie Scattering Calculations: Advances in Technique and Fast, Vector-speed Computer Codes, Tech. rep., doi: [10.5065/D6ZP4414](http://doi.org/10.5065/D6ZP4414)
- Wizinowich, P., Acton, D. S., Shelton, C., et al. 2000, PASP, 112, 315, doi: [10.1086/316543](http://doi.org/10.1086/316543)
- Xu, S., Rappaport, S., van Lieshout, R., et al. 2018, MNRAS, 474, 4795, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx3023](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx3023)
- Yee, S. W., Petigura, E. A., & von Braun, K. 2017, ApJ, 836, 77, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/836/1/77](http://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/836/1/77)
- Zasche, P., Borkovits, T., Jayaraman, R., et al. 2023, MNRAS, 520, 3127, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stad328](http://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad328)
- Zilinskas, M., van Buchem, C. P. A., Miguel, Y., et al. 2022, A&A, 661, A126, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202142984](http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142984)
- Zubko, E., Videen, G., Hines, D. C., et al. 2015, Planet. Space Sci., 118, 138, doi: [10.1016/j.pss.2015.08.002](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2015.08.002)