

The Odessa Network

Mapping Facilitators of Russian
and Ukrainian Arms Transfers

—
by Tom Wallace & Farley Mesko

September 2013

ABOUT C4ADS

C4ADS is a 501c3 nonprofit research organization whose mission is to understand global conflict and security through on-the-ground research and data-driven analysis. We seek to alleviate the analytical burden carried by public sector institutions by applying manpower, depth, and rigor to questions of conflict and security.

Our approach to understanding the drivers and enablers of global conflict leverages nontraditional investigative techniques and emerging analytical technologies. We recognize the value of working on the ground in the field, capturing local knowledge, and collecting original data to inform our analysis. At the same time, we employ cutting-edge technology to manage and analyze that data. The result is an innovative analytical approach to conflict prevention and mitigation.

© 2013 C4ADS

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Tom Wallace is a Senior Analyst at C4ADS. He received his undergraduate degree from the University of Michigan in Political Science and Russian Studies, where he was named the National Russian Scholar Laureate, and is a Wolcott Fellow at the George Washington University, where he is currently receiving his master's in Security Policy Studies. Tom's research focuses primarily on government-private collaboration in Russian and Chinese foreign policy. Tom has lived in Russia and China, and speaks Russian and Mandarin.

Farley Mesko is the Chief Operating Officer, and a specialist on illicit maritime networks. He received his undergraduate degree in Natural Resource Policy and Economics from Bowdoin College. He is a consultant for World Bank, a freelance journalist, and sits on the Board of Directors of a Somali NGO. Prior to joining C4ADS, Farley ran a successful small commercial fishing business in Maine. His research focuses primarily on aid, governance, transnational crime, and conflict in Africa. Farley has worked and traveled extensively in East and West Africa, and speaks Arabic.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Palantir for their generous support; this project would not have been possible without it.

LEGAL DISCLAIMER

The mention of any individual, company, ship, or other entity in this report **does not** imply the violation of any law or international agreement, and need not be construed as such.

Executive Summary

A network of Ukraine-based individuals and logistics companies—referred to herein as the “Odessa Network” due to its key leadership being located in Odessa, Ukraine—is responsible for transporting weapons out of Russia and Ukraine on behalf of government sellers. Evidence suggests that some of these companies may transport weapons to the Assad regime in Syria, among other notorious violators of human rights.

The Odessa Network is a loose collection of logistics contractors for the governments of Russia and Ukraine, not independent arms dealers. Key companies and figures in Odessa include Kaalbye Group, Phoenix Trans-Servis, and their high-level political connections via key facilitators such as Boris Kogan. The companies work with state weapons export agencies such as Rosoboronexport and Ukrspetsexport. Odessa Network company leaders have personal and financial relationships with cabinet-level officials in the Russian and Ukrainian governments, including a personal advisor to Putin and senior Russian military-industrial figures. The Odessa Network centers on a group of Odessa-based private companies that regularly move large arms shipments. Affiliated EU and Russian shipping firms such as Briese Schiffahrts (and its subsidiary BBC Chartering) and Balchart play an important specialized role in transporting particularly large or sensitive shipments. The network is deeply interconnected. Personnel and equipment frequently cycle between different companies, and many network members are family members, close friends, former classmates, etc.

The vast majority of weapons shipments leave from the Ukrainian port of Oktyabrsk, which was specially built by the USSR to move weapons (for example, this was the point of origin for Cuba-bound missiles in 1963). Despite being located in Ukraine, Oktyabrsk is functionally controlled by Russia—the port manager is a former Russian navy captain, and the port owner is a Kremlin-linked oligarch. Russian state weapons export agencies and Odessa Network firms maintain offices and personnel in Oktyabrsk.

The Ukrainian firms also engage in

non-weapons business—freight brokering, crewing, chartering, etc.—and operate in global shipping centers such as Hamburg, Rio de Janeiro, Singapore, and Dubai. To protect their weapons shipments, some of the Ukrainian and Russian firms own or contract with multiple private maritime security companies, who also operate in African conflict zones.

Weapons and non-weapons shipping activities generate large profits for Odessa Network leaders. They put their money in both legitimate ventures and a well-known network of Panamamian shell companies and Latvian banks that have been used for money laundering by other entities, including the Sinaloa Cartel and Hezbollah. They also are active users of US and EU financial institutions.

Understanding and tracking Odessa Network activities is valuable in several ways. Since the Odessa Network consists of the prime transportation contractors for Russian and Ukrainian weapons export agencies, tracking their ship movements is an efficient way of determining weapon destinations. This is particularly helpful because Russia and Ukraine are the main weapons suppliers to countries such as Syria and the DRC. This report identifies over 20 previously undiscovered shipments of unknown cargo by Odessa Network-linked shipping companies from Oktyabrsk to Syria in 2012 and 2013. Finally, our report proposes an alternative hypothesis that examines the high volume of Syrian ships moving unknown cargo between Oktyabrsk and the Eastern Mediterranean in 2012.

The inclusion of any company in this report is not intended to imply participation in illegal activity, and a judgment as such is far beyond the purview of this research. Indeed, most of the activity described herein is perfectly legal. Rather, the goal of the report is simply to bring some measure of transparency to an otherwise opaque industry.

Table of Contents

	Table of Figures	6
1	Introduction	9
2	Weapons Shipment Data	12
3	Preliminary Insights	31
4	End-to-End Logistics Integration: Odessa	36
5	Government Connections: Moscow & Kiev	43
6	Port of Origin: Oktyabrsk	50
7	EU & Russian Shipping: Specialized Services	54
8	African Private Security Companies	60
9	Latvia: Financial Services & Laundering	62
10	The Odessa Network: Overview	66
11	Case Study: Syria	67
12	Conclusion	74
13	References	76

Table of Figures

FIGURE 1:	Odessa Network by Location & Function	9
FIGURE 2:	Tanks for Yemen	14
FIGURE 3:	Tanks for Yemen, Cont.	14
FIGURE 4:	APCs for Angola	14
FIGURE 5:	Tanks Being Loaded	15
FIGURE 6:	Ammunition for Uganda	19
FIGURE 7:	Tanks/APCs for Cambodia	21
FIGURE 8:	Tanks/APCs for Cambodia, Cont.	21
FIGURE 9:	Miscellaneous Arms to Venezuela	22
FIGURE 10:	Tanks for Ethiopia	26
FIGURE 11:	Patrol Boats for Vietnam	27
FIGURE 12:	Tanks & APCs for Cambodia	28
FIGURE 13:	Tanks & APCs for Cambodia, Cont.	28
FIGURE 14:	Assault Rifles to Libya	29
FIGURE 15:	Arms Shipment Count	31
FIGURE 16:	Countries in Our Dataset. All Possible Candidate Countries	31
FIGURE 17:	Ukrainian Defense Industrial Agency	32
FIGURE 18:	Ukrainian Defense Industrial Agency	32
FIGURE 19:	Shipment Counts	34
FIGURE 20:	Port of Oktyabrsk	34
FIGURE 21:	Descriptive Statistics	35
FIGURE 22:	Geographic & Functional Map of Odessa Network	36
FIGURE 23:	Kaalbye Shipment Events	37
FIGURE 24:	Kaalbye Subsidiaries, Shells, etc.	38
FIGURE 25:	Kaalbye Guards	38
FIGURE 26:	Dual-Listed Personnel	39
FIGURE 27:	Varamar/Nortrop Shipment Events	40
FIGURE 28:	Varamar Office	40
FIGURE 29:	Barwil Shipment Events	40
FIGURE 30:	Phoenix Shipment Events	41
FIGURE 31:	Phoenix Office	41
FIGURE 32:	Alperin Shipment Events	41
FIGURE 33:	Alperin & Ukr. Intelligence (Mykola Malomuzh, Head of SZR)	42
FIGURE 34:	Hares Youssef (Second From Right), with Rinat Akhmetov & Vadim Novinsky to His Left)	42
FIGURE 35:	Vadim Alperin & Faina	42
FIGURE 36:	Waterlux Official Seal & Signature	42
FIGURE 37:	Levitin & Putin	43
FIGURE 38:	Igor Urbansky	44
FIGURE 39:	Ministry of Transportation	44
FIGURE 40:	Kogan Connections	45
FIGURE 41:	Russian Technologies Subsidiaries (Non-Exhaustive)	45
FIGURE 42:	Olerskiy & Levitin	46
FIGURE 43:	Aleksandr Annenkov	46
FIGURE 44:	Political Connections of the Odessa Network	49
FIGURE 45:	Oktyabrsk Versus St. Petersburg Transit Times	49
FIGURE 46:	FSU Weapons Export Pathways	51
FIGURE 47:	Andrei Yegorov	52
FIGURE 48:	Excerpt From Ukrainian Newspaper Article	52
FIGURE 49:	Vadim Novinsky with President of Ukraine	52
FIGURE 50:	Oktyabrsk Photographs	53
FIGURE 51:	Eide Ships	54
FIGURE 52:	Eide Shipment Events	55
FIGURE 53:	Briese Shipment Events	55

Table of Figures Cont.

FIGURE 54:	Briese Ad In Odessa	55
FIGURE 55:	Primetransport & Kaalbye	55
FIGURE 56:	Briese Corporate Structure	56
FIGURE 57:	Beluga Shipment Events	57
FIGURE 58:	Spliethoff & Eckhoff Shipment Events	57
FIGURE 59:	Balchart Shipment Events	58
FIGURE 60:	Balchart Current & former Employees	58
FIGURE 61:	FEMCO Shipment Events	59
FIGURE 62:	North-Western Shipment Events	59
FIGURE 63:	Westberg Shipment Events	59
FIGURE 64:	Moran Security	60
FIGURE 65:	Private Maritime Security Companies	61
FIGURE 66:	Statement of Russian Official On Money Laundering	62
FIGURE 67:	EU Statement	63
FIGURE 68:	ABLV Sponsorship of Odessa Event	64
FIGURE 69:	Waterlux AG Court Documents	64
FIGURE 70:	Waterlux AG Court Documents, Cont.	65
FIGURE 71:	# Weapons Shipments By Facilitator	66
FIGURE 72:	Syria-Oktyabrsk Maritime Traffic, 2012	70-73

Glossary of Terms

AIS	Automatic Identification System. Remote tracking system used on ships.
AGL	Automatic grenade launcher
APC	Armored personnel carrier
ASM	Anti-ship missile
ATGM	Anti-tank guided missile
BVI	British Virgin Islands
DWT	Deadweight tonnage. A ship's maximum weight capacity.
EXIF	Exchangeable image file format. Stores metadata about a digital image.
FSB	Federalnaya Sluzhba Bezopasnosti; Russian domestic intelligence agency
FSU	Former Soviet Union
GOR	Government of Russia
GOU	Government of Ukraine
GRU	Glavnoe Razvedyvatelnoe Upravlenie; Russian military intelligence agency
GT	Gross tonnage. A measure of a ship's cargo volume capacity.
HEAT	High explosive anti-tank round
IMO	International Maritime Organization
IMOID	International Maritime Organization ID. Ship unique identification code.
IRISL	Islamic Republic of Iran Shipping Lines
MMSI	Maritime Mobile Service Identity. Ship unique identification code.
MV	Motor vessel. Appended in front of a ship's name, e.g. MV Victoria.
ROE	Rosoboronexport; main Russian state weapons export agency
RO-RO	Roll on, roll off. Cargo ship with a specialized ramp for wheeled vehicles.
SALW	Small arms and light weapons
SAM	Surface to air missile
SIPRI	Stockholm International Peace Research Institute
SBU	Sluzhba Bezpeky Ukrayiny; Ukrainian state security agency
SDN	Specially designated national
SMG	Somalia Monitoring Group
SPB	St. Petersburg, Russia.
SVR	Sluzhba Vneshney Razvedki; Russian foreign intelligence agency
SZR	Sluzhba Zovishnoi Razvedki; Ukrainian foreign intelligence agency
SWIFT	Society for Worldwide Interbank Financial Telecommunications.
TEU	Twenty-foot equivalent unit. Standard unit of measurement for cargo.
USE	Ukrspetsexport; one of Ukraine's state weapons export agencies

1 Introduction

OVERVIEW

When an arms deal is negotiated between Russian officials and foreign dignitaries, little attention is paid until months later, when newspaper articles announce that the purchaser has received the weapons. Pundits examine the political impact (*why is Putin antagonizing the West?*), and assess its impact on military capability (*how does the S-300 affect a potential intervention in Syria?*). The question not asked, and the fundamental goal of this investigation, is how did the arms transfer occur? What logistical, financial, and contractual processes are necessary for moving literally tons of military equipment worth millions or even billions of dollars halfway around the globe? Who performs these tasks?

This report is the culmination of a 10-month investigation into Ukrainian and Russian state arms transfers, with these questions in mind. Key findings include: previously unknown or under-reported arms transfers; specific companies and individuals facilitating these arms transfers; familial, financial, and professional links between key facilitators and the governments of Russia and Ukraine. In short, the report analyzes the web of entities and processes through which an arms transfer is executed. We collectively refer to this web as the **Odessa Network** on account of many of the key personnel and companies being based in Odessa, Ukraine.

The Odessa Network is not a hierarchical, unitary organization. It is better characterized as a "contacts market:" clusters of individuals and firms geographically concentrated in a particular area, and performing a specific sub-task of the weapons-export process. For example, the primary logistics contractors for Russian and Ukrainian weapons exports are shipping companies headquartered in the city of Odessa, while the financial services sometimes used to 'clean' profits are located in Latvia. We have categorized six main clusters, as shown in **Figure 1**. These clusters are both intra- and inter-linked. For example, the various Odessa-based shipping companies share common owners and equipment and coordinate with each other on projects, but also are connected to different clusters: powerful officials in Moscow and Kiev, port authorities at Oktyabrsk, etc.

LOCATION	ENTITY	FUNCTION
Moscow and Kiev	Government Agencies	Ownership of Weapons
Odessa, Ukraine	Shipping Companies	A-Z Logistics Integration
EU and Russia	Shipping Companies	Specialized Shipping Services
Oktyabrsk, Ukraine	Port and Port Authority	Loading of Weapons onto Ships
Africa and Middle East	Private Security Companies	Protection of Sensitive Cargo
Latvia	Banks	Financial Services

Figure 1: *Odessa Network by Location and Function*

INVESTIGATION ROADMAP

The analysis presented herein is centered on several datasets of Russian and Ukrainian weapons shipments, which were analyzed for patterns. **Chapter 2** presents this data. Our key assumption is that transporting state-sanctioned arms shipments to sensitive foreign customers such as Syria requires a great deal of trust between contractor and government. As such, these governments are likely to replicate the use of companies, ships, and patterns of behavior found in previous weapons exports. To identify patterns, we researched and assembled a database of Russian and Ukrainian arms shipments spanning 12 years and 22 recipient countries, some licit and documented and others undocumented (i.e. shipments to sanctioned states such as Syria, Myanmar, DPRK, etc.). Each shipment event has up to 12 properties, including ship name, ship owner, ship manager, cargo owner, port of origin, dates, etc.

A cursory analysis of this dataset reveals several key preliminary insights, which are presented in **Section 3**. Importantly, the Russian and Ukrainian governments appear to be the dominant owner and seller of weapons leaving their respective countries. The

popular image of post-Soviet private individuals and criminal groups smuggling major weapons systemsⁱ is a relic of the 1990s, when state control of strategic assets was considerably weaker. Our data also shows that relatively few shipping companies are responsible for facilitating a high percentage of arms transfers, implying there is a persistent group of trusted contractors upon whom Moscow and Kiev rely. Lastly, our data shows the importance of Oktyabrsk, Ukraine as a port of origin for a high percentage of Russian weapons shipments, again implying some sort of special relationship with the Russian and Ukrainian governments.

Having derived these big-picture insights, **Chapters 4–10** go on to investigate and analyze the weapons export process in greater detail. We identify key individuals, companies, and locations, drawing upon a wide range of open-source data: local media reports in Arabic, Spanish, Russian, and Ukrainian, court cases and contract disputes from Russia, Ukraine, and the United States, incorporation documents made available as exhibits in those cases, and business directories from Europe, the Middle East, Africa, and more. These sections make use of the Palantir Gotham analytical platform to perform network and link analysis on key entities. The overarching finding is that there is a persistent, predictable network by which Moscow and Kiev export weapons: a certain group of people own the ships, a certain group of people handle the money, and so on.

There are several applications of this data and analysis. Identifying patterns of weapons shipping should lead to better predictions of weapons flows out of the Former Soviet Union. Put simply, observing a known weapons transporter leaving a known port of origin for weapons, headed for a country known to buy Russian and Ukrainian weapons leads to stronger predictions about the nature of otherwise unknown cargo. **Chapter 11** applies this inductive analytical approach to the issue of Syria. Russian material support for the Assad regime is well known, but exactly how the Kremlin funnels military equipment into Syria is less well understood. The key question for this section is how to identify arms shipments, or at least narrow the field of candidate shipment events, from among the thousands of shipsⁱⁱ transiting the Eastern Mediterranean each year. To answer this question, we built a large dataset of Automated Information System (AIS)ⁱⁱⁱ logs for all of Russia, Ukraine, and Syria in 2012. This log of 60,000+ port calls and 10,000+ ships very likely contains weapons shipments from Russia or Ukraine to the Assad regime. We use our insights about the detectable signature of weapons transfers to find ships that are operated or covertly controlled by Odessa Network affiliates, and have recently delivered unknown cargo to Assad. The paper concludes in **Chapter 13**, which summarizes the hard facts uncovered about the Odessa Network and presents our definitive and inferential analytical judgments.

i. There is no doubt private entities still export small arms and ammunition without governmental knowledge, but submarines, tanks, missiles, etc. appear to generally be under state control.

ii. Of course, commercial ships are not the only vehicle for transporting weapons. Military vessels, airplanes, rail, truck, etc. are all viable options. With that said, there are significant drawbacks to these alternate vehicles that make commercial ships the best option in most cases. Planes can only carry cargo of relatively small volume and weight, and are under the jurisdiction of whatever country they happen to overfly, creating the risk of interdiction. For example, Turkish fighter jets interdicted a Syrian Air Airbus carrying weapons from Russia in October 2012. In contrast, specialized cargo ships have massive holds capable of carrying almost any equipment, and ships in international waters are generally under the jurisdiction of whatever country they are flagged in (often weak states like Panama or Liberia unlikely to interfere), meaning they can only be legally interdicted if they enter territorial waters. Rail and truck are similarly limited to relatively small equipment, as they must fit onto a standard rail gauge or highway. They also are vulnerable to interdiction as they pass through intermediary countries. Military vessels are obviously safe from interdiction, but are generally not designed for large cargo, and are highly conspicuous, making them a poor choice for covert arms transfers. For all these reasons, we focused our investigation on commercial vessels.

iii. A ship-based transponder that records port calls at a particular date and time, and the stated destination for all ships on their journey past a series of land-based antennas.

GOALS

This report has two goals. The first is to empower global policymakers. As the 2nd and 10th largest arms exporters in the world, respectively, Russia and Ukraine sell to many countries of interest to the international community. In particular, some of their best customers are states with poor human rights records or active sanctions: Venezuela, Sudan, etc. Russian support (including but not limited to weapons) has kept the Assad regime armed and viable through two years of brutal civil war. Russian anti-ship and anti-air missiles shield Assad from international intervention; Russian bullets and bombs kill Free Syrian Army members, jihadis, and civilians alike. Some weapons shipments from Russia to Syria have been publicly exposed—e.g. the ships *Alaed*, *Professor Katsman*, and *Chariot*, and various Russian warships¹—but these logically must be simply the tip of the iceberg. The sheer quantity of materiel required to fight a conventional war for over two years dictates there must be far more Russian weapons flowing to Syria than these few ships.² Policymakers armed with better information about arms transfers from the former Soviet Union to sanctioned states and conflict zones like Syria will be better able to monitor, shape, deter, or publicize these weapons flows. If limiting access to FSU weapons is a policy priority, the Odessa Network is a useful place to start.

Second, this report demonstrates the utility of combining open-source data, foreign language and regional expertise, and cutting-edge technology in answering complex research questions, and bridging the gap between tactical data and strategic insight. The best policy-level insights are those derived from tactical data that has been traced upwards into abstraction. For example, deep investigation into the weapons export process provides not just names and locations, but also political insights into the workings of Putin's Russia. Abstract themes find detailed expression in the Odessa Network: reassertion of state control over strategic assets, keeping regime stakeholders loyal through sanctioned corruption, “power vertical” relationships, and fusion of public and private entities.

DISCLAIMER

This project was conceived, funded, and carried out independently. It has received no external funding, public or private. All data was obtained open-source or commercially purchased. Although the authors travelled to Ukraine (including Kiev, Odessa, Nikolaev, and Oktyabrsk) for field research, the vast majority of work was done in the US. This paper examines both licit and illicit weapons transfers. We use the term illicit to describe those transfers that could be perceived as contrary to accepted international norms, not to imply violation of any international laws or agreements. We make no claims to determine the legal status of any particular transfer.

2 Weapons Shipment Data

OVERVIEW

This section presents our dataset on Russian and Ukrainian weapons shipments, and the ships, companies, and ports used to facilitate them. **Preliminary insights derived from this dataset are presented in Chapter 3.**

Countries and shipments were determined by comparing lists of recipient countries to values of arms transfers from the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) Arms Transfers and Military Expenditures databases, and searching for international media coverage of shipment events (for those that were of sufficient size or nature to warrant international media coverage), and native-language local media coverage of the arrivals of shipments. This database draws heavily on unconventional open data sources such as photos posted by local Venezuelan military bloggers or Cambodian activists of ships unloading weapons. Ship or owner names were determined from pictures (if visible) or from searching for unique IMOID identifiers (if visible).

A note on terms: *exporting country* refers to the country that owned and sold the weapons (i.e. Russia or Ukraine). *Government control* refers to whether the Russian or Ukrainian government was the owner and broker of the sale; if not explicitly stated in our sources, we assumed this was the case if the arms transfer could be corroborated with known government sales. *Port of origin* refers to the port from which the shipment of weapons originated. This is often different than exporting country; for example, Russian arms sales are often shipped from Ukrainian ports. Similarly, *importing country* refers to the country which purchased the weapons, which often is different than *port of arrival*; for example, landlocked countries (e.g. South Sudan) may use their neighbor's ports (e.g. Mombasa, Kenya) to receive weapons shipments. Lastly, *date* should be treated as only a rough indication of when a weapons shipment took place. Different sources use different dates; some only report when a shipment was loaded, others when it was off-loaded, and still others when it was interdicted by a 3rd party. Given such noisy data, this paper treats *date* as generally indicative of when a shipment took place, not an exact value.

EVENT #1

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Ukraine ³
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Iran
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	No (Corrupt Official)
CARGO	Kh-55 (NATO: AS-15 Kent) cruise missiles. US intelligence officials are quoted as saying 6 missiles were shipped, ⁴ while Ukrainian sources have said 12. ⁵
PORT OF ORIGIN	-
PORT OF ARRIVAL	-
DATE	2000 or 2001
SHIP	-
IMOID	-
FLAG	-
GROSS TONNAGE	-
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	-
SHIP OWNER	Kaalbye Shipping ⁶
SHIP OPERATOR/BROKER	Kaalbye Shipping
NOTES	<i>Covert deal brokered by corrupt Ukrainian and Russian officials, including from Ministry of Defense and State Security Service. Used fabricated contract with Russian defense export firm Rosvooruzhenie and series of front companies (including "Progress" and "Far West Ltd.") to export missiles inherited from USSR and held in Ukraine. All parties involved except Igor Urbansky (of Kaalbye Shipping) died under mysterious circumstances: then-head of Ukrspetsexport Valeri Maleev died in a car crash on 6 March 2002, Australian-Iranian Haider Sarfraz died in a car crash in 2004, Oleg Orlov was arrested in the Czech Republic and extradited to Ukraine, where his throat was slit in prison, Sergei Petrov died in a car bombing in Africa in 2004,⁷ and Vladimir Filin disappeared.⁸</i>

THE ODESSA NETWORK

EVENT #2

EXPORTING COUNTRY Ukraine
 IMPORTING COUNTRY China
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL No (corrupt officials)
 CARGO 6 Kh-55 cruise missiles.
 PORT OF ORIGIN -
 PORT OF ARRIVAL -
 DATE 2000 or 2001
 SHIP -
 IMO ID -
 FLAG -
 GROSS TONNAGE -
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE -
 SHIP OWNER/OPERATOR Kaalbye Shipping
 NOTES *Carried out by same group as Event #1.*

EVENT #3

EXPORTING COUNTRY Russia (Rosvooruzhenie)⁹
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Angola (some sources report the government acting through state firm Simportex was the purchaser, while others report the rebel group UNITA)^{10,11}
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes
 CARGO 636 tons of assault rifles, ammunition, grenades, mortar shells, NVGs, and more.¹²
 PORT OF ORIGIN Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev, Ukraine¹³
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Intended for Luanda, Angola; intercepted in Las Palmas, Spain¹⁴
 DATE 24 February 2001
 SHIP *MV Anastasia*
 IMO ID -
 FLAG St. Vincent and Grenadines/Georgia
 GROSS TONNAGE -
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE -
 SHIP OWNER/MANAGER Kaalbye Shipping¹⁵
 NOTES *Igor Urbansky, founder of Kaalbye, was directly involved. Ship was intercepted in Las Palmas in the Canary Islands, where Spanish officials uncovered weapons and impounded the ship. After an \$800,000 bail was paid, the ship continued on to Angola and delivered its cargo. Ship was flying the Georgia flag, but in fact was registered in St. Vincent and Grenadines.*

EVENT #4

EXPORTING COUNTRY Ukraine
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Myanmar
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL -
 CARGO -
 PORT OF ORIGIN Unknown, Ukraine
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Rangoon, Myanmar¹⁶
 DATE 25 February 2003
 SHIP -
 IMO ID -
 FLAG -
 GROSS TONNAGE -
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE -
 SHIP OWNER/MANAGER -


EVENT #5

EXPORTING COUNTRY Ukraine
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Angola and Yemen?
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes

EVENT #5 CONT.

CARGO	32 T-72 tanks for Yemen and 11 BTR-80 APCs for Angola?
PORT OF ORIGIN	Unknown, Ukraine
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Unknown
DATE	15 October 2003 ¹⁷
SHIP	-
IMOID	-
FLAG	-
GROSS TONNAGE	-
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	-
SHIP OWNER	-
SHIP MANAGER	-
NOTES	

Phoenix Trans-Servis (Odessa, Ukraine) brokered the shipment. Data on this shipment was obtained by locating several pictures on Phoenix's website showing various military equipment—including tanks and APCs—being loaded onto one or more unidentified ships.¹⁸ The tanks and APCs appear to be loaded on the same ship. EXIF data reveals the pictures are from 15 October 2003. We cross-referenced SIPRI arms transfer databases¹⁹ for tanks and APCs delivered from Russia or Ukraine to a foreign customer for whom maritime transport would be necessary (i.e. not a landlocked neighbor like Uzbekistan or Kazakhstan). Based on this dataset, Yemen is the only plausible candidate for the tanks, and Angola the only plausible candidate for the APCs. Nevertheless, it is possible this delivery was not previously detected and hence not included in the SIPRI dataset, in which case we do not know to whom the arms were sold.



Figures 2 & 3: Tanks for Yemen



Figure 4: APCs for Angola

EVENT #6

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Russia (Rosoboronexport)
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Eritrea
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Yes
CARGO	Pechora-2 SAMs

EVENT #6 CONT.

PORT OF ORIGIN	Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev, Ukraine
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Massawa, Eritrea
DATE	Contract was signed 12 May 2004
SHIP	-
IMOID	-
FLAG	-
GROSS TONNAGE	-
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	-
SHIP OWNER	-
SHIP MANAGER	-
NOTES	<i>Involved parties included Rosoboronexport, Gorizont, and Almaz-Antei. Data gathered from Russian court case²⁰ that contains a wealth of information on ROE contracting procedures. SIPRI does not contain information for this arms transfer; either it never occurred, or was previously undetected.</i>

EVENT #7

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Ukraine or Russia
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Georgia? Algeria? South Korea?
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Yes
CARGO	T-72 or T-80 tanks
PORT OF ORIGIN	Unknown, Ukraine
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Unknown
DATE	7 May 2005 ²¹
SHIP	<i>MV Clipper Century</i>
IMOID	9252826
FLAG	Bahamas
GROSS TONNAGE	6714
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	8729
SHIP OWNER	Clipper Project Shipping Ltd.
SHIP MANAGER	Clipper Project Shipping Ltd. ²²
NOTES	<i>Data was uncovered by locating a picture on Nortrop's website of tanks being loaded onto a ship whose name and IMOID were visible, allowing identification of ship ownership and management.²³ EXIF data revealed the picture's date and time. Querying SIPRI databases for tanks delivered from Ukraine or Russia in 2005 revealed three possible candidates: Algeria (received 21 T-72 tanks from Ukraine in 2005), Georgia (received 90 T-72 tanks from Ukraine between 2005 and 2007), and South Korea (received 10 T-80 tanks from Russia between 2005 and 2006.) It is possible this was a previously undetected shipment to an unknown customer.</i>

Figure 5:
Tanks being loaded



EVENT #8

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Russia
IMPORTING COUNTRY	China ²⁴
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Yes
CARGO	2 Kilo-class attack submarines ²⁵
PORT OF ORIGIN	Severodvinsk, Russia ²⁶

THE ODESSA NETWORK

EVENT #8 CONT.

PORT OF ARRIVAL	Unknown, China
DATE	Submarines loaded 30 May 2006
SHIP	<i>MV Eide Transporter</i>
IMOID	8030130
FLAG	Bahamas
GROSS TONNAGE	19579
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	11434
SHIP OWNER	Eide Marine Services AS ²⁷
SHIP MANAGER	Eide Marine Services AS
NOTES	-

EVENT #9

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Ukraine (Ukroboronservis) ²⁸
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Democratic Republic of Congo (Ministry of Defense)
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Yes
CARGO	Significant quantities of ammunition and armored vehicles
PORT OF ORIGIN	Unknown, Ukraine
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Unknown
DATE	26 July 2006
SHIP	<i>MV BBC Singapore</i>
IMOID	9137727
FLAG	Gibraltar
GROSS TONNAGE	4078
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	4900
SHIP OWNER	Juister Balje (listed at same address as Briese Schifffahrts) ²⁹
SHIP MANAGER	Briese Schifffahrts KMBH and Co.
NOTES	-

EVENT #10

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Russia (Rosoboronexport) ³⁰
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Morocco
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Yes
CARGO	Likely repaired and serviced tanks
PORT OF ORIGIN	Oktyabrsk, Ukraine
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Unknown, Morocco
DATE	Late 2006
SHIP	-
IMOID	-
FLAG	-
GROSS TONNAGE	-
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	-
SHIP OWNER	-
SHIP MANAGER	-
NOTES	-

EVENT #11

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Russia (Rosoboronexport) ³¹
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Algeria and South Korea
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Yes
CARGO	For South Korea, some portion of the "Red Bear-2" deal that included 2000 AT-13 anti-tank missiles, 37 BMP-3 IFVs, 23 Il-103 aircraft, 7 Ka-32 helicopters, 3 Murena landing craft, and 10 T-80U tanks. For Algeria, unknown.
PORT OF ORIGIN	Oktyabrsk, Ukraine
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Unknown
DATE	Late 2006

THE ODESSA NETWORK

EVENT #11 CONT.

SHIP -
 IMOID -
 FLAG -
 GROSS TONNAGE -
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE -
 SHIP OWNER -
 SHIP MANAGER -
 NOTES -

EVENT #12

EXPORTING COUNTRY Ukraine
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Reported as Iraq, actual purchaser unknown
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes (Confirmed by Ukrainian government)
 CARGO Fagot ATGMs
 PORT OF ORIGIN Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev, Ukraine
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Reported as Um Qasr, Iraq, actual destination unknown
 DATE 21 July 2007
 SHIP *MV Slavyanin*
 IMOID 8300169
 FLAG St. Vincent and Grenadines
 GROSS TONNAGE 6327
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE 6258
 SHIP OWNER Starway Management Property (listed at same address as AnShip)³²
 SHIP MANAGER Anship LLC (subsidiary of AnRussTrans, a major Russian transportation company owned by Aleksandr Annenkov, a former Russian Ministry of Transportation deputy minister).³³
 NOTES -

EVENT #13

EXPORTING COUNTRY Russia
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Vietnam³⁴
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes
 CARGO 2 *Tarantul*-class missile corvettes, each armed with 16 SS-N-25 anti-ship missiles.³⁵
 PORT OF ORIGIN Unknown, Russia
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Cam Ranh, Vietnam
 DATE 21 December 2007
 SHIP *MV Eide Transporter*
 IMOID 8030130
 FLAG Bahamas
 GROSS TONNAGE 19579
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE 11434
 SHIP OWNER Eide Marine Services AS³⁶
 SHIP MANAGER Eide Marine Services AS
 NOTES -

EVENT #14

EXPORTING COUNTRY Ukraine
 IMPORTING COUNTRY South Sudan
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes
 CARGO Confirmed as military shipment as part of overall arms transfer (see events #15 and #16), but exact cargo is not known.
 PORT OF ORIGIN Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev, Ukraine
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Mombasa, Kenya
 DATE Left port 14 September 2007, arrived 29 October 2007

THE ODESSA NETWORK

EVENT #14 CONT.

SHIP *MV Radomyshl*
 IMOID 7415527
 FLAG Ukraine
 GROSS TONNAGE 4966
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE 5657
 SHIP OWNER Ukrainian Danube Shipping Company³⁷
 SHIP MANAGER Ukrainian Danube Shipping Company
 NOTES *Marine Energy Trading Company Ltd. (representing Milltown Corporate Services and Ireland & Overseas Acquisition Company) and Phoenix Trans-Servis involved in chartering and brokering. Use of Latvian proxies (detailed in later chapter.)³⁸*

EVENT #15

EXPORTING COUNTRY Ukraine
 IMPORTING COUNTRY South Sudan
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes
 CARGO 42 T-72 tanks, 138 packages of spare parts for them, 15 ZU-23-2 anti-aircraft guns, 90 packages of spare parts for them, 26 packages of RPG-7 weapons and spare parts, 2 BM-21 122 multiple launch rocket launchers on Ural wheelbase, 10,000 AKM assault rifles.
 PORT OF ORIGIN Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev, Ukraine
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Mombasa, Kenya
 DATE 21 December 2007
 SHIP *MV Beluga Endurance*
 IMOID 9312169
 FLAG Antigua and Barbuda
 GROSS TONNAGE 9611
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE 12714
 SHIP OWNER Martin Schifffahrts (listed at same address as Heino Winter)³⁹
 SHIP MANAGER Heino Winter GmbH and Co. KG
 NOTES *Operated by Beluga Shipping. Marine Energy Trading Company Ltd. (representing Milltown Corporate Services and Ireland & Overseas Acquisition Company), Phoenix Trans-Servis, and Ace Shipping involved in chartering and brokering. Use of Latvian proxies (detailed in later chapter.)*

EVENT #16

EXPORTING COUNTRY Ukraine^{40 41 42}
 IMPORTING COUNTRY South Sudan
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes
 CARGO 33 T-72 tanks, 8,926 rounds of VOF-36 high explosive fragmentation 125 mm tank ammunition, 5,000 rounds of VDK-10 HEAT 125 mm tank ammunition, 73 packages of spare parts for tanks, 6 ZPU-4 AA guns, 36 packages of RPG-7V launchers and spare parts, 6 BM-21 122 mm multiple launch rocket launchers on Ural wheelbase.
 PORT OF ORIGIN Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev, Ukraine
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Mombasa, Kenya
 DATE Left port 1 September 2008, captured by pirates 25 September 2008, arrived 10 February 2009
 SHIP *MV Faina*
 IMOID 7419337
 FLAG Belize
 GROSS TONNAGE 10931
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE 9019
 SHIP OWNER Waterlux AG
 SHIP MANAGER Almar
 NOTES *Ship is widely reported to actually be owned and operated by Kaalbye Shipping and Tomex Team (closely linked to Ukrainian businessman Vadim Alperin). The crewing*

THE ODESSA NETWORK

EVENT #16 CONT.

NOTES CONT.

agency is Carvel Shipping Ltd. Phoenix Trans-Servis and Ace Shipping Ltd. and Marine Energy Trading Company Ltd. (representing Milltown Corporate Services and Ireland & Overseas Acquisition Company) involved in chartering and brokering. Political connection was Hares Youssef, who acted as the agent of President Yushchenko and contacted Alperin.⁴³

EVENT #17

EXPORTING COUNTRY Ukraine (Ukrinmash)
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Uganda (Ministry of Defense)
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes
 CARGO 1000 tons of ammunition
 PORT OF ORIGIN Oktyabrsk, Ukraine
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Dar es Salaam, Tanzania
 DATE 24 June 2008
 SHIP *MV Ann Scan*
 IMOID 9145126
 FLAG Antigua and Barbuda
 GROSS TONNAGE 2528
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE 3526
 SHIP OWNER Hasetal Mardex Schiffahrts⁴⁴
 SHIP MANAGER Held Bereederungs GmbH and Co.
 NOTES *Barwil Ukraine involved in shipment. Same ship was later used for cigarette smuggling into Britain.*⁴⁵

Figure 6:
Ammunition for Uganda

PKB No.	PKB Date	Depositor Name	Shipper Name	Shipper Address	Shipper Contact	Port of Origin	Port of Destination	Goods Description	Weight (KGS)	Tare (KGS)	Net Weight (KGS)	Seal No.	Seal Date	Seal Time	Seal Location
1		STATE SELF-SUPPORTING FOREIGN TRADE AND INVESTMENT FIRM UKRINMASH 38, DEGTYAREVSKA STR, KYIV, UKRAINE	Ukrinmash	Vladimir Zaidornikov		OKTYABRSK, UKRAINE PORT	DAR ES SALAAM, TANZANIA PORT	62X20' DV FCL/FCL CONTAINER AT SHIPPER'S STOW, LOAD AND COUNT	120 PCS, 12800 KGS	15040	15040	7PHL8811847	23	06	0611
2								Military items as per final invoice part (4) dated 20/04/2007 Contract (058-2006), 8800/2006 (1), 8800/2006 (1)	120 PCS, 12800 KGS	15000	15000	05TU02041878	23	06	0611
3								SAID TO CONTAIN 120mm NA210F HE rocket for BM-21 Grad COMBAT vehicle, AS PER CONTRACT NO. 3/08-K.D.H.TED 25 05 2008 ADD NO.4	120 PCS, 12800 KGS	15180	15180	05ML2186287	23	06	0611
4									120 PCS, 12800 KGS	15100	15100	05MU1054694	23	06	0611
5									120 PCS, 12800 KGS	15100	15100	05MU1054694	23	06	0611
6									120 PCS, 12800 KGS	15170	15170	05AL2084916	23	06	0611
7									120 PCS, 12800 KGS	15170	15170	05AL2084916	23	06	0611

EVENT #18

EXPORTING COUNTRY Ukraine
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Myanmar
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes
 CARGO APC components, AGLs, ATGMs, and tank machine guns.⁴⁶
 PORT OF ORIGIN Unknown, Ukraine
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Unknown, Myanmar
 DATE Mid-August 2009
 SHIP *MV Beluga Eternity*

THE ODESSA NETWORK

EVENT #18 CONT.

IMOID	9283966
FLAG	Antigua and Barbuda
GROSS TONNAGE	9611
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	12806
SHIP OWNER	Beluga Eternity GmbH and Co. (listed at same address as Beluga Shipping) ⁴⁷
SHIP MANAGER	Beluga Fleet Management GmbH and Co.
NOTES	-

EVENT #19

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Ukraine
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Algeria
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Unknown
CARGO	6.9 tons of ammonium nitrate
PORT OF ORIGIN	Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev, Ukraine ⁴⁸
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Skidda, Algeria
DATE	18 December 2009
SHIP	<i>Lady Juliet</i>
IMOID	8225204
FLAG	St. Vincent and Grenadines
GROSS TONNAGE	12296
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	15896
SHIP OWNER	White Albatross Ltd. (listed at same address as Kaalbye Shipping) ⁴⁹
SHIP MANAGER	Kaalbye Shipping
NOTES	<i>Ship was dead in the water in Dardanelles.⁵⁰ Ship previously was victim of attempted pirate hijacking off the coast of Somalia, but ship was defended by armed personnel aboard.⁵¹</i>

EVENT #20

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Russia
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Vietnam
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Yes
CARGO	Two <i>Svetlyak</i> -class patrol boats ⁵²
PORT OF ORIGIN	Unknown, Russia
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Unknown, Vietnam
DATE	2010
SHIP	<i>MV Eide Transporter</i>
IMOID	8030130
FLAG	Bahamas
GROSS TONNAGE	19579
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	11434
SHIP OWNER	Eide Marine Services AS ⁵³
SHIP MANAGER	Eide Marine Services AS
NOTES	-

EVENT #21

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Ukraine ⁵⁴
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Democratic Republic of Congo
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Yes
CARGO	20 T-72 tanks, 100 lorries, 60 anti-aircraft guns, 10,000 Kalashnikov assault rifles, several hundred thousand rounds of ammunition
PORT OF ORIGIN	Oktyabrsk, Ukraine
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Matadi, DRC
DATE	6 March 2010
SHIP	<i>BBC Romania</i>
IMOID	9195420

EVENT #21 CONT.

FLAG Gibraltar
 GROSS TONNAGE 3198
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE 4304
 SHIP OWNER Briesesee Briese Schiffahrts
 SHIP MANAGER Briese Schiffahrts
 NOTES *Deal reportedly worth \$80 million. Other ships likely involved.*

EVENT #22

EXPORTING COUNTRY Unknown, presumed Russia
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Sudan and Singapore⁵⁵
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Unknown
 CARGO Tanks for Sudan, explosives for Singapore⁵⁶
 PORT OF ORIGIN Unknown port in Norway⁵⁷
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Intercepted while docking at Limassol, Cyprus⁵⁸
 DATE 11 June 2010
 SHIP *MV Santiago*
 IMOID 9145114
 FLAG Antigua and Barbuda
 GROSS TONNAGE 2528
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE 3525
 SHIP OWNER Pewsum Briese Schiffahrts. Listed at same address in Germany as Briese Schiffahrts⁵⁹
 SHIP MANAGER Briese Schiffahrts GmbH and Co.
 NOTES *Crew was 4 Russians and 5 Ukrainians.*⁶⁰



Figures 7 & 8:
 Tanks/APCs for Cambodia

EVENT #23

EXPORTING COUNTRY Czech Republic and Poland, or Ukraine
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Cambodia⁶¹
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes
 CARGO 50 T-55 tanks and 40 PTR-26 APCs⁶²
 PORT OF ORIGIN Unknown
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Sihanoukville, Cambodia
 DATE 10 September 2010
 SHIP *MV BBC Pacific*
 IMOID 9427081
 FLAG Antigua and Barbuda
 GROSS TONNAGE 5261
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE 6192
 SHIP OWNER Norderloog. Listed at same address in Germany as Briese Schiffahrts
 SHIP MANAGER Briese Schiffahrts GmbH and Co.⁶³
 NOTES *Ship identity was ascertained through leaked pictures of it unloading tanks at Sihanoukville port. Some sources indicate the tanks came from the Czech Republic and the APCs from Poland,⁶⁴ while others indicate they all came from Ukraine.⁶⁵*

EVENT #24

EXPORTING COUNTRY Russia
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Vietnam
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes
 CARGO One *Gepard*-class frigate⁶⁶
 PORT OF ORIGIN Unknown
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Cam Ranh, Vietnam
 DATE 5 March 2011
 SHIP *MV Eide Transporter*
 IMOID 8030130
 FLAG Bahamas

EVENT #24 CONT.

GROSS TONNAGE 19579
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE 11434
 SHIP OWNER Eide Marine Services AS⁶⁷
 SHIP MANAGER Eide Marine Services AS
 NOTES -

EVENT #25

EXPORTING COUNTRY Ukraine
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Iraq
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes
 CARGO 26 BTR-4 APCs, two maintenance and repair vehicles, and two armor trainers⁶⁸
 PORT OF ORIGIN Unknown, Ukraine
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Um Qasr, Iraq
 DATE Left 20 April 2011, arrived 29 May 2011
 SHIP -
 IMOID -
 FLAG -
 GROSS TONNAGE -
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE -
 SHIP OWNER -
 SHIP MANAGER -
 NOTES -



Figure 9:
 Miscellaneous Arms to Venezuela

EVENT #26

EXPORTING COUNTRY Russia⁶⁹
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Venezuela
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes
 CARGO 35 T-72 tanks, 16 BMP-3 IFVs, 32 BTR-80A APCs, 4 1B152 armored command vehicles, 13 2c23 Nona-SVK self-propelled 120 mm artillery, 24 2C12 Sani 120 mm artillery, 24 BM-21 truck-mounted rocket launchers, unknown quantity of ZI-23-2 AA guns, and unknown quantity of military automobiles
 PORT OF ORIGIN Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev, Ukraine
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Puerto Cabello, Venezuela
 DATE 25 May 2011
 SHIP *MV Sluisgracht*
 IMOID 9202522
 FLAG Netherlands
 GROSS TONNAGE 16639
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE 21250
 SHIP OWNER Sluisgracht Rederij (listed at same address as Spliethoff)⁷⁰
 SHIP MANAGER Spliethoff
 NOTES *Use pictures. Spliethoff also is contracted to transport weapons for the US Military Sealift Command; for example, its ship MV Schippersgracht transported military cargo from the United States to Egypt in March 2012.*⁷¹

EVENT #27

EXPORTING COUNTRY Russia
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Vietnam
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes
 CARGO One *Gepard*-class frigate
 PORT OF ORIGIN Unknown, Russia
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Cam Ranh Bay, Vietnam⁷²
 DATE 25 July 2011
 SHIP *MV Eide Transporter*
 IMOID 8030130

THE ODESSA NETWORK

EVENT #27 CONT.

FLAG	Bahamas
GROSS TONNAGE	19579
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	11434
SHIP OWNER	Eide Marine Services AS ⁷³
SHIP MANAGER	Eide Marine Services AS
NOTES	-

EVENT #28

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Russia
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Syria
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Yes
CARGO	Between 35 and 60 tons of munitions and explosives ⁷⁴
PORT OF ORIGIN	St. Petersburg, Russia
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Intended for Al Ladaqiyah, Syria, detained in Cyprus
DATE	Left SPB on 9 December 2011, detained in Limassol, Cyprus on 10 January 2012 ⁷⁵
SHIP	<i>MV Chariot</i>
IMOID	8302882
FLAG	St. Vincent and Grenadines
GROSS TONNAGE	845
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	922
SHIP OWNER	Westberg Management AG (listed at same address as Westberg Ltd.) ⁷⁶
SHIP MANAGER	Westberg Ltd.
NOTES	<i>Shipment chartered by Balchart.</i>

EVENT #29

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Russia
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Venezuela
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Yes
CARGO	See notes
PORT OF ORIGIN	Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev, Ukraine
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Puerto Cabello, Venezuela
DATE	20 March 2012 ⁷⁷
SHIP	<i>MV Ocean Voyager</i>
IMOID	9111216
FLAG	St. Vincent and Grenadines
GROSS TONNAGE	7249
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	7278
SHIP OWNER	Unicorn Enterprises (listed at same address as Kaalbye) ⁷⁸
SHIP MANAGER	Kaalbye Shipping Ukraine KSU
NOTES	<i>Part of group shipment consisting of Events #29–30 and #32–34, consisting of S–300 SAMs, Buk-M1–2 SAMs, BM–30 Smerch heavy rocket multiple launcher, and SSC–6 Sennight coastal defense missile system (including the SS-N–25 Switchblade missile.) It is unclear which weapons were on which ship.</i>

EVENT #30

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Russia
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Venezuela
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Yes
CARGO	See notes
PORT OF ORIGIN	Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev, Ukraine
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Puerto Cabello, Venezuela
DATE	20 March 2012 ⁷⁹
SHIP	<i>MV Ocean Fortune</i> ⁸⁰
IMOID	9512434
FLAG	Liberia

THE ODESSA NETWORK

EVENT #30 CONT.

GROSS TONNAGE 6479
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE 8058
 SHIP OWNER Ocean Fortune Ltd. (listed at same address as Kaalbye)
 SHIP MANAGER Kaalbye Shipping Ukraine
 NOTES *Part of group shipment consisting of Events #29-30 and #32-34, consisting of S-300 SAMs, Buk-M1-2 SAMs, BM-30 Smerch heavy rocket multiple launcher, and SSC-6 Sennight coastal defense missile system (including the SS-N-25 Switchblade missile.) It is unclear which weapons were on which ship.*

EVENT #31

EXPORTING COUNTRY Russia
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Venezuela
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes
 CARGO T-72 tanks, after having undergone renovations and modernization at the 103rd Tank Factory in Atamanovka (Zakbaykaysky Krai)
 PORT OF ORIGIN Kronshadt, Russia (Near St. Petersburg)
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Puerto Cabello, Venezuela
 DATE 27 March 2012
 SHIP *MV Sluisgracht*
 IMOID 9202522
 FLAG Netherlands
 GROSS TONNAGE 16639
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE 21250
 SHIP OWNER Sluisgracht Rederij (listed at same address as Spliethoff)
 SHIP MANAGER Spliethoff
 NOTES -

EVENT #32

EXPORTING COUNTRY Russia
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Venezuela
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes
 CARGO See notes
 PORT OF ORIGIN Oktaybrsk/Nikolaev, Ukraine
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Puerto Cabello, Venezuela
 DATE March 2012
 SHIP *MV Stade*
 IMOID 9535620
 FLAG Antigua and Barbuda
 GROSS TONNAGE 8059
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE 10872
 SHIP OWNER Stade BV
 SHIP MANAGER Eckhoff⁹¹
 NOTES *Part of group shipment consisting of Events #29-30 and #32-34, consisting of S-300 SAMs, Buk-M1-2 SAMs, BM-30 Smerch heavy rocket multiple launcher, and SSC-6 Sennight coastal defense missile system (including the SS-N-25 Switchblade missile.) It is unclear which weapons were on which ship.*

EVENT #33

EXPORTING COUNTRY Russia
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Venezuela
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes
 CARGO Unknown weapons
 PORT OF ORIGIN Unknown
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Puerto Cabello, Venezuela
 DATE 13 April 2012

THE ODESSA NETWORK

EVENT #33 CONT.

SHIP *MV Socol 6*⁸²
 IMOID 9004516
 FLAG Panama
 GROSS TONNAGE 6030
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE 9597
 SHIP OWNER Kaalbye Shipping Ukraine⁸³
 SHIP MANAGER Kaalbye Shipping Ukraine
 NOTES *Part of group shipment consisting of Events #29–30 and #32–34.*

EVENT #34

EXPORTING COUNTRY Russia⁸⁴
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Venezuela
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes
 CARGO 85 kilogram of Class I explosives (ammunition) and other unknown cargo⁸⁵
 PORT OF ORIGIN Unknown
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Puerto Cabello, Venezuela
 DATE March 2012
 SHIP *MV Socol 3*
 IMOID 9004487
 FLAG St. Vincent and Grenadines
 GROSS TONNAGE 6030
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE 9500
 SHIP OWNER Arcadia Shipping (listed at same address as Kaalbye)
 SHIP MANAGER Kaalbye Shipping Ukraine⁸⁶
 NOTES *Part of group shipment consisting of Events #29–30 and #32–34. Suffered mechanical failure in the Aegean Sea, had to stop for repairs and continued on to Venezuela. All 23 crewmembers were Ukrainian.⁸⁷ Prior to 2001 ship was owned by Rosvooruzhenie, a Russian state weapons export agency. Kaalbye Shipping became the manager in March 2003, at which point Oboronprom (a Russian state defense holding) became the owner.⁸⁸ Ownership was transferred to Arcadia Shipping (a Kaalbye shell company) in 2004. Ship was previously known as MV BBC Ukraine; BBC Chartering is a subsidiary of Briese.⁸⁹*

EVENT #35

EXPORTING COUNTRY Russia⁹⁰
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Syria
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Yes
 CARGO Rotor blades for helicopters, some reports of heavy weapons⁹¹
 PORT OF ORIGIN Kronshtadt (St. Petersburg), Russia
 PORT OF ARRIVAL Tartus, Syria
 DATE Departed Kronshtadt on 6 May 2012, arrived in Tartus on 26 May 2012⁹²
 SHIP *MV Professor Katsman*
 IMOID 9368261
 FLAG Russia
 GROSS TONNAGE 4970
 DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE 5465
 SHIP OWNER Rusich–12 Ltd. (listed at same address as and subsidiary of North-Western Shipping Co.)⁹³
 SHIP MANAGER North-Western Shipping Co. (ultimately owned by UCL Holding)
 NOTES *Cargo owner listed as Lira LLC. Formerly managed by INOK NV.⁹⁴*

EVENT #36

EXPORTING COUNTRY Russia⁹⁵
 IMPORTING COUNTRY Vietnam
 GOVERNMENT CONTROL Unknown

EVENT #36 CONT.

CARGO	Unknown weapons and explosives
PORT OF ORIGIN	Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev, Ukraine
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Unknown, Vietnam
DATE	Left port on 13 June 2012, crossed the Suez Canal on 19–20 June, stopped in India for repairs on 27 July
SHIP	<i>MV Socol 6</i> ⁹⁶
IMOID	9004516
FLAG	Panama
GROSS TONNAGE	6030
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	9597
SHIP OWNER	Kaalbye Shipping Ukraine ⁹⁷
SHIP MANAGER	Kaalbye Shipping
NOTES	<i>All crewmembers were Ukrainian.</i>

EVENT #37

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Russia ⁹⁸
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Syria
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Yes
CARGO	Refurbished Mi-25 attack helicopters and munitions ⁹⁹
PORT OF ORIGIN	Kaliningrad and St. Petersburg, Russia ¹⁰⁰
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Tartus, Syria
DATE	June and July 2012. Ship was originally forced to turn back after senior US officials and international media publicized its journey, and its British insurer revoked its insurance, but made another voyage under the protection of a Russian naval flotilla. ¹⁰¹
SHIP	<i>MV Alaed</i>
IMOID	9574999
FLAG	Russia
GROSS TONNAGE	7579
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	9000
SHIP OWNER	FEMCO-West Ltd. ¹⁰²
SHIP MANAGER	FEMCO Management
NOTES	<i>The Alaed also has been used to transport parts for Russian Navy ships under construction between different parts of the country.</i> ¹⁰³



Figure 10:
Tanks for Ethiopia

EVENT #38

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Ukraine ¹⁰⁴
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Ethiopia
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Yes
CARGO	Two T-72-UA1 tanks
PORT OF ORIGIN	Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev, Ukraine
PORT OF ARRIVAL	-
DATE	25 July 2012
SHIP	-
IMOID	-
FLAG	-
GROSS TONNAGE	-
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	-
SHIP OWNER	-
SHIP MANAGER	-
NOTES	<i>Part of a contract with Ethiopia for 200 T-72 tanks, worth \$100 million, signed in June 2011.</i> ^{105 106}

EVENT #39

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Russia ¹⁰⁷
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Vietnam
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Yes
CARGO	Two <i>Svetlyak</i> -class patrol boats
PORT OF ORIGIN	Vladivostok, Russia
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Unknown, Vietnam
DATE	16 August 2012
SHIP	<i>MV Eide Transporter</i>
IMOID	8030130
FLAG	Bahamas
GROSS TONNAGE	19579
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	11434
SHIP OWNER	Eide Marine Services AS ¹⁰⁸
SHIP MANAGER	Eide Marine Services AS
NOTES	-



Figure 11: *Patrol boats for Vietnam*

EVENT #40

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Ukraine (Ukrspetsexport and Ukroboronprom)
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Iraq
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Yes
CARGO	62 BTR—4 APCs ¹⁰⁹
PORT OF ORIGIN	Odessa, Ukraine ¹¹⁰
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Um Qasr, Iraq
DATE	13 September 2012
SHIP	Unknown
IMOID	-
FLAG	-
GROSS TONNAGE	-
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	-
SHIP OWNER	-
SHIP MANAGER	-
NOTES	<i>Part of \$550 million dollar deal.</i> ¹¹¹

THE ODESSA NETWORK

EVENT #41

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Unknown
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Nigeria
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	No
CARGO	14 AK-47 rifles and 3,643 rounds of ammunition, as well as 22 Benelli MR1 rifles with 4,955 rounds of ammunition ¹¹²
PORT OF ORIGIN	Unknown
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Detained in Lagos
DATE	20 October 2012 ¹¹³
SHIP	<i>MV Myre Seadiver</i>
IMOID	6505662
FLAG	Officially listed as Cook Islands, but witnesses indicate it was flying a Dutch flag at time of seizure ¹¹⁴
GROSS TONNAGE	541
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	315
SHIP OWNER	Midas Pete Ltd. ¹¹⁵
SHIP MANAGER	Westberg Ltd.
NOTES	<i>Operated and used by Moran Security Group, a Russian private security firm.¹¹⁶ All crew members were Ukrainians and Russians.¹¹⁷</i>

EVENT #42

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Ukraine ¹¹⁸
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Cambodia ¹¹⁹
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Yes
CARGO	100 tanks and 40 eight and six wheel APCs ¹²⁰
PORT OF ORIGIN	Unknown, Ukraine
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Sihanoukville, Cambodia
DATE	31 October 2012
SHIP	-
IMOID	-
FLAG	-
GROSS TONNAGE	-
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	-
SHIP OWNER	-
SHIP MANAGER	-
NOTES	<i>Pictures available, but unable to locate identifying characteristics.</i>



Figure 12: Tanks and APCs for Cambodia



Figure 13: Tanks and APCs for Cambodia cont.

EVENT #43

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Russia ¹²¹
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Libya
GOVERNMENT CONTROL	Yes
CARGO	AK-47 style assault rifles and Igla MANPADs.
PORT OF ORIGIN	Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev, Ukraine
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Tripoli, Libya

EVENT #43 CONT.

DATE	Unknown
SHIP	-
IMOID	-
FLAG	-
GROSS TONNAGE	-
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	-
SHIP OWNER	-
SHIP MANAGER	-
NOTES	<i>Pictures available, but unable to locate identifying characteristics.</i>




Figure 14: Assault Rifles to Libya

OUT OF SAMPLE DATA

The below events are excluded from our main weapons shipment dataset because they are not weapons sales from Russia or Ukraine to a foreign party. However, these ‘out of sample’ events are analytically useful, as they show the involvement of known weapons transporters in transporting other interesting cargos. For example, the German shipping company Beluga has carried out several weapons shipments, but also owned and operated the ship on which A.Q. Khan centrifuges were transported to Libya.

EVENT A

EXPORTING COUNTRY	A.Q. Khan network
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Libya
CARGO	Nuclear centrifuges and components thereof
PORT OF ORIGIN	Dubai, UAE
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Intercepted in Taranto, Italy, intending to go to Tripoli, Libya ¹²²
DATE	4 October 2003
SHIP	<i>MV BBC China</i> ¹²³
IMOID	9219082
FLAG	Antigua and Barbuda
GROSS TONNAGE	5548
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	6500
SHIP OWNER	Beluga Superstition ¹²⁴
SHIP MANAGER	Beluga Shipping GmbH
NOTES	<i>Chartered by BBC Chartering.</i> ¹²⁵

EVENT B

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Russia ¹²⁶
IMPORTING COUNTRY	France
CARGO	182 tons of Uranium

THE ODESSA NETWORK

EVENT B CONT.

PORT OF ORIGIN	St. Petersburg, Russia
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Le Havre, France
DATE	3 July 2009
SHIP	<i>MV Kapitan Lus</i>
IMOID	9077551
FLAG	Malta
GROSS TONNAGE	4998
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	4618
SHIP OWNER	Shipline Two Ltd. (listed at same address as NB Maritime)
SHIP MANAGER	NB Maritime Management Cyprus
NOTES	<i>Ship collided with another ship off the coast of Sweden and Denmark. NB Maritime Management Cyprus also was the owner of the MV Monchegorsk, which was interdicted in Cyprus for attempting to ship more than 2,000 tons of Iranian munitions to Syria.</i> ¹²⁷

EVENT C

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Egypt (Ministry of Defense)
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Democratic Republic of Congo (government)
CARGO	Thousands of grenades, 7,000 mortars, four million rounds of ammunition, and 700 rockets ¹²⁸
PORT OF ORIGIN	Alexandria, Egypt
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Unknown, DRC
DATE	June 2011
SHIP	<i>MV Chariot</i> ¹²⁹
IMOID	8302882
FLAG	St. Vincent and Grenadines
GROSS TONNAGE	845
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	922
SHIP OWNER	Westberg Management AG (listed at same address as Westberg Ltd.) ¹³⁰
SHIP MANAGER	Westberg Ltd.
NOTES	<i>Westberg and Chariot previously shipped weapons to Syria and the DRC, as described in the main dataset.</i>

EVENT D

EXPORTING COUNTRY	Russia
IMPORTING COUNTRY	Unknown
CARGO	Likely advanced military equipment
PORT OF ORIGIN	Jakobstad, Finland
PORT OF ARRIVAL	Unknown
DATE	24 July 2009
SHIP	<i>MV Arctic Sea</i>
IMOID	8912792
FLAG	Malta
GROSS TONNAGE	3988
DEADWEIGHT TONNAGE	4706
SHIP OWNER	Solchart ¹³¹
SHIP MANAGER	Solchart
NOTES	<i>The Arctic Sea was ostensibly loaded with timber in Finland bound for Algeria. The ship was hijacked off the coast of Sweden by 10 English-speaking men posing as counter-narcotics officers, who then turned off its AIS transponder and fled for points unknown. Russia dispatched two nuclear submarines and three warships from the Black Sea Fleet to recover it.¹³² The ship was located off the coast of Cape Verde, at which point it was taken back into Russian custody. This is widely believed to be a case of illicit arms smuggling, with numerous Russian sources and most foreign analysis stating their belief that the Arctic Sea was carrying advanced military equipment (most often named as S-300 SAMs) to Iran.¹³³</i>

3 Preliminary Insights

INSIGHT 1

Our weapons shipment dataset is broadly representative of overall Russian and Ukrainian seaborne weapons exports.

A basic research question is whether the sampled data (**Figure 15**) is representative of the larger population under study. We are interested in Russian and Ukrainian seaborne weapons exports, and while a complete listing of all shipment events (many of which go unreported) is not possible, our sample should be as large and diverse as possible. Our dataset includes 43 separate shipment events, and at least 21 different purchasing countries. Considering that Russia alone regularly exports to at least 57 countries yearly,¹³⁴ this would seem to indicate our data is not particularly representative.

A closer examination reveals otherwise. Many of Russia and Ukraine’s customers are geographic neighbors or landlocked, making it impractical or impossible to use sea transportation. For example, when Russia exports weapons to neighboring Kazakhstan it does so by plane, rail, or truck. Similarly, even distant customers may be purchasing military equipment that is typically not moved by sea. For example, Russia has a \$300 million contract to supply Su-30 MK2 and Su-27 SKM fighters to Indonesia, but these are transported on An-124 transport aircraft, not ships.¹³⁵ Similarly, Ukraine has a contract to supply BTR-3E1 APCs to Thailand, but previous shipments have been flown on an Il-76 to U-Tapao Airport, not shipped.¹³⁶

As a heuristic, **Figure 16** compares shipments in our dataset with countries to which Russia or Ukraine would plausibly export by sea (i.e. all known past purchasers of Russian or Ukrainian weapons, minus geographic neighbors and countries only purchasing equipment not typically shipped, such as helicopters or planes). The fact that they nearly match^{iv} leads us to believe our dataset is a reasonably representative sample of overall seaborne weapons exports.

Figure 15: Arms Shipment Count

DESTINATION	SHIPMENT COUNT
VENEZUELA	7
VIETNAM	6
SUDAN/SOUTH SUDAN	4
ANGOLA	3
SYRIA	3
ALGERIA	2
CAMBODIA	2
CHINA	2
DRC	2
IRAQ	2
MYANMAR	2
UNKNOWN	2
ERITREA	1
ETHIOPIA	1
IRAN	1
LIBYA	1
MOROCCO	1
NIGERIA	1
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	1
SINGAPORE	1
UGANDA	1
YEMEN	1





Figure 16: Countries in our dataset.



All possible candidate countries.

iv. India is the most glaring exception. New Delhi has become the largest purchaser of Russian weapons in recent years, but is absent from our dataset. Other notable omissions include Indonesia, Kuwait, Turkey, and potential customers like Saudi Arabia and Brazil.

INSIGHT 2

The Russian and Ukrainian governments own and sell the vast majority of advanced weapons leaving their countries.

Our dataset indicates government ownership and control of virtually all weapons being shipped abroad. In some cases there is direct evidence. For example, the cargo manifest for the *Ann Scan*, which shipped 1000 tons of ammunition to Uganda in 2008, lists state firm Ukrinmash as the owner; crates of ammunition uncovered in Libya have Rosoboronexport information printed on their side. In other cases, we cross-referenced weapons shipments in our dataset with acknowledged state arms transfers. For example, we did not obtain cargo manifests for the multiple ships carrying weapons to Venezuela in 2012, but extensive media reporting of multi-billion dollar arms sales between the Russian and Venezuelan governments during this time period leave us confident that this was not a private sale.¹³⁷ The only instance of advanced weapons systems definitely being sold without governmental knowledge was the chronologically first event in our dataset, the export of Ukrainian X-55 missiles by rogue Russian intelligence officers in collaboration with corrupt Ukrainian officials and Kaalbye Shipping to Iran and China in 2001.

We acknowledge potential selection bias. Heavy weapons—tanks, armored personnel carriers (APCs), artillery, etc.—are larger, easier for governments to keep track of, and presumably less likely to be illicitly sold than small arms and light weapons (SALW). Because heavy weapons are so conspicuous, they also are easier for researchers to track, and make up a high percentage of our shipment dataset. These factors mean it is quite possible that there are private arms dealers moving large quantities of small arms, which we did not detect. We also acknowledge the troublesome ambiguity of ‘governmental control,’ a term assuming both unity of purpose among state entities and a distinction between the public and private sectors, neither of which are very applicable to the often chaotic and corrupt Russian and (especially) Ukrainian political systems. For example, assessing whether defense-industrial officials who sell state-owned weapons but personally retain profits are acting under government mandate is problematic. Our decision criteria was the involvement of official state weapons export agencies (ROE, USE, etc.); such arms transfers could be categorized as institutional, not personal. We note that this does not preclude non-transparency or corruption, but that such behavior continually occurring under a government roof indicates it is in some sense sanctioned.



Figure 17: Ukrainian Defense Industrial Agency




Figure 18: Ukrainian Defense Industrial Agency

Under this definition, the weapons in our dataset are overwhelmingly government owned and sold, which has important implications. There is little evidence to support the hypothesis that private actors sell major military hardware to the highest bidder. The chaos and weakening of state control in Russia and Ukraine in the 1990s led many Western analysts to believe FSU organized crime groups would export weapons (nuclear was the most feared, but also conventional).¹³⁸ These fears were not unfounded, as arms smugglers like Victor Bout, Leonid Minin, and Arkadiy Gaydamak moved weapons to customers such as the RUF in Sierra Leone and FARC forces in Columbia.^{139 140 141} But our dataset, which largely coincides with the Putin era, indicates the Russian and Ukrainian governments have fairly good control over their major weapons systems; if they are exported, it is because someone in the government wishes it so.

Moscow and Kiev's dominant role in the weapons export process also indicates the facilitators of weapons shipments are an important foreign policy tool. Most analysts would agree that selling weapons is a Russian foreign policy lever, in particular to bolster states against the perceived threat of American intervention that Russia firmly opposes as hegemonic meddling. If this is so, then the facilitators of arms transfers are of critical importance for Russian foreign policy: they are the vehicle by which Moscow aids allied militaries and favored factions.

Governmental ownership of exported weapons since the early 2000s also confirms a narrative of Russian politics that emphasizes reassertion of state control over national assets (defense plants, oil and gas, industrial concerns, etc.) and profits resulting from sale of these assets being distributed to regime stakeholders via sanctioned corruption in exchange for political loyalty. As the story goes, the chaos of the 1990s and corrupt privatization of formerly Soviet assets meant a few oligarchs and criminals seized control, became incredibly rich and powerful, and ran the country into the ground while the ineffective Yeltsin and Kuchma governments dithered. Putin stabilized the country by marshalling a powerful coalition of military and intelligence officials and political conservatives (*siloviki*), which he used as a club to force oligarchs to return some national assets to the government, toe the United Russia political line, and perform useful services for the government when requested, creating a quasi-feudal system by which state loyalists control vast swathes of industry and government.^v

The danger in this system is centrifugal forces, i.e. those granted immense power deciding to use it to challenge the state. To mitigate this, officials, *siloviki*, and oligarchs are kept loyal by a mix of stick (e.g. crushing those who buck the system) and carrot (paying them off to make cooperation with the state more profitable than opposition). Thus, continued Putinist rule depends on maintaining the coercive power to punish defectors, the profitability of key industries, and the ability to funnel money from these industries to the regime loyalists in charge of them.^{vi} This narrative rings true for the energy industry: corrupt 1990s privatization under Yukos and Sibneft, the refusal of oligarchs Khodorkovsky and Berezovsky to relinquish control to the new Putin government and their subsequent destruction (jailed or exiled), and reassertion of state control via holding companies such as Gazprom and Rosneft, with regime stakeholders—Igor Sechin, Victor Zubkov, etc.—being placed in key positions and profiting handsomely from it.

The same is true for the defense industry, which remains one of Russia's largest export sectors. State control has been restored under holding corporations like Russian Technologies, Russian Aircraft, etc., and the profits from weapons exports are used as a feeding trough for military and intelligence stakeholders. Assuming that the loyalty of these actors is partially dependent on continued access to such rewards, then the facilitators of weapons exports are a critical element of domestic politics. As the logistical bridge linking Russian producers with foreign customers, facilitators allow the generation of profits that can be redistributed to regime stakeholders to ensure the continuation of Putinist rule. Given this, we should expect to find strong connections between high-ranking Russian officials and weapons shipment facilitators, a topic which is explored in depth in **Chapter 5**.

INSIGHT 3

A relatively small number of companies facilitate a high percentage of Russian and Ukrainian weapons exports. Most of these companies are based in Ukraine or the EU.

As shown in the table and chart below, Ukrainian firms (particularly Kaalbye and Phoenix) play a particularly prominent role, as well as EU firms Eide and Briese. Key research questions include: who are these companies, and their key personnel? What qualities do they possess that allow them to carry out so many arms shipments? Are these firms connected? If so, how? Do some firms have a particular specialty (i.e. a certain kind of weapon or customer)?

v. Stephen Blank terms this the boyar class, after the medieval Russian nobility. See "Russian Democracy Revisited", *International Journal of Security Affairs*, Spring 2007, No. 12.

vi. This implies money laundering is a similarly essential part of the Putin system, a topic which is covered in depth in Chapter 9.

SHIPMENTS BY FACILITATOR NATIONALITY




Figure 19: Shipment counts

COMPANY ^{vii}	COUNTRIES	COUNT
Kaalbye	UKRAINE	10
Eide	NORWAY	7
Phoenix Trans-Servis	UKRAINE	4
Briese	GERMANY	4
Westberg Ltd.	RUSSIA	2
Beluga Shipping	GERMANY	2
Spliethoff	NETHERLANDS	2
Nortrop	UKRAINE	1
Ukrainian Danube Shipping Co.	UKRAINE	1
Barwil Ukraine	UKRAINE	1
FEMCO	RUSSIA	1
North-Western Shipping Company	RUSSIA	1
AnRussTrans	RUSSIA	1
Balchart	RUSSIA	1
Eckhoff	GERMANY	1
Held Bereederungs	GERMANY	1
Clipper Group	DENMARK	1

INSIGHT 4

Most weapons shipments originate at the port of Oktyabrsk, Ukraine. This is true regardless of whether the weapons being exported are Russian or Ukrainian.

Our data on port of origin for weapons shipments is inconsistent. Sometimes sources list a specific port, other times they list only a country (e.g. *shipment left Russia on September 15*), and in some cases they entirely omit information. Regardless, it is unambiguous that Oktyabrsk is the most common port of origin for Russian and Ukrainian weapons shipments. Weapons shipments with a known port of origin were over four times as likely to originate in Oktyabrsk as the next most common port (St. Petersburg). For shipments in which at least the country (if not specific port) of origin was known, Ukrainian ports (primarily Oktyabrsk) accounted for nearly five times as many shipments as Russian ports (see **Figure 21** on the next page). This finding raises more questions. What is unique about Oktyabrsk that makes it the preferred port from which to export FSU weapons? If the governments of Ukraine and Russia ship so many weapons from there, what are their mechanisms for controlling and safeguarding this vital port? Since Oktyabrsk is

Figure 20: Port of Oktyabrsk




Oktyabrsk lies in Southern Ukraine on the Bug River, which feeds into the Black Sea. It is in the city of Nikolaev, which has its own port. Because the two ports are so close, we often refer to Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev as a single destination.

vii. This chart lists the number of shipments in which the listed company participated. Because multiple companies can collaborate on a single shipment, the total number of shipments listed here is higher than the actual number of shipments which occurred.

in Ukraine, how does the Kremlin exert influence over a port that lies outside of Russia *de jure*? **Chapter 5** addresses these questions.

One important exception to the general dominance of Oktyabrsk is that none of the publicly known maritime weapons shipments from Russia to Syria (i.e. the ships *Chariot*, *Professor Katsman*, and *Alaed*) originated at Oktyabrsk, instead departing from the northern Russian ports of St. Petersburg or Kaliningrad. We have two, non-mutually exclusive hypotheses to explain this anomaly. It could be that there are ships transporting Russian weapons from Oktyabrsk to Syria, and they simply have not been publicly exposed. It also could be that St. Petersburg has particular advantages for shipments to Syria: it is located inside Russia, making it relatively immune from foreign political pressures (as opposed to Oktyabrsk, in which case the Ukrainian government may be under significant political pressure from the West to disallow Russia’s Syria-bound weapons shipments).

SHIPMENTS BY COUNTRY OF PORT



SHIPMENTS BY PORT OF ORIGIN





Figure 21: Descriptive Statistics




4 End-to-End Logistics Integration: Odessa

The overarching hypothesis of this analysis is that the Odessa, Ukraine-based firms comprise the end-to-end logistics integration backbone behind many Russian and Ukrainian weapons shipments, and the key nodes of the network. There is some empirical evidence to motivate this assumption: Odessa-based firms are collectively responsible for the largest share of weapons shipments in our dataset. But to simply count shipments would ignore the Odessa companies' greatest asset: connections. Link and network analysis reveals that the Odessa companies and personnel are the center of a rich network of businesses and individuals who provide all the services necessary for a weapons shipment to occur. This hyper-connectedness includes both other Odessa companies and their partners abroad (e.g. other nodes of the Odessa Network.) We judge that Odessa companies' unique access to everything from heavy-lift vessels to skilled crews to powerful defense-industrial officials helps explain their prominence as weapons export facilitators.

Before identifying and analyzing this network in detail, we must make a few concessions to readability. The Odessa maritime industry is a bewildering sprawl of companies, subsidiaries, joint ventures, personnel (often working at one or more of said companies at the same or different times), shared facilities and equipment, common customers, family relations, and more. To some extent, this is simply how business works, licit, semi-licit, or illicit: companies try different strategies, people hop between different jobs in the industry, etc. However, the Odessa companies are transporting billions of dollars of weapons to embargoed conflict zones and US strategic competitors, and there is reason to believe some of their complex arrangements are to obscure attribution. To reduce this complexity to a manageable level, we have split up the network; this chapter is mostly devoted to introducing the key players of the Odessa Network. Successive chapters explore in detail their connections to government officials, the port of Oktyabrsk, EU shipping companies, etc.

Figure 22: Geographic and Functional Map of Odessa Network



THE KAALBYE GROUP

By far the most important Odessa Network firm is **Kaalbye**. Kaalbye has engaged in at least 10 separate weapons shipments over the course of a decade, and likely more. Founder **Igor Urbansky**, a former captain in the Soviet merchant fleet, created his first company Evas in 1992 before founding Kaalbye Shipping in 1996.¹⁴² It is important to understand this particular time and place, which spawned not just Kaalbye but many of the other entities we mention. The botched post-1991 privatization of formerly Soviet assets, in which a small group of businessmen and organized criminal figures (often working in concert) managed to control vast swathes of the new economy, created lucrative opportunities if one knew how to exploit them. Huge amounts of oil, gas, timber, and steel formerly locked away in Soviet holdings were suddenly on the free market. The overwhelming 1990s FSU business theme was getting one's hands on these newly privatized assets, and moving them out of the country to Western and Asian markets as fast as possible. Odessa has been a center of shipping and trade for centuries: much of the Soviet merchant fleet was based out of there, and multiple oil and gas pipelines terminated at the city. The race was on to grab old Soviet ships, privatize them into new companies, and use them as vehicles to export valuable resources that foreign countries and companies so dearly coveted.

Weak governance, vast stockpiles of Soviet weaponry, and foreign demand meant Odessa also was a hub of international arms shipping in the 1990s. One trafficker, Leonid Minin, was a major broker of arms to Charles Taylor in Liberia, the RUF in Sierra Leone,¹⁴³ the Ivory Coast, and even tried to sell an aircraft carrier to Turkey.¹⁴⁴ Another arms dealer, Arkady Gaydamak, funneled over \$500 million of Russian weapons to Angola during the same time period in partnership with the son of French President Mitterrand.¹⁴⁵ It is important to note that these businessmen were equal opportunists, exporting whatever held value: sometimes weapons, but sometimes not. For example, Minin also dealt in timber, oil, metal, and the like, primarily operating out of Odessa Port in conjunction with organized crime figures like Aleksandr Angert.¹⁴⁶ The situation was such that US intelligence figures singled out Odessa as the epicenter of post-Soviet arms trafficking.¹⁴⁷ Average Odessites and dock-workers interviewed by the authors confirmed that the city still is (or at least is perceived as being) a hub for contraband. Dockworkers maintain that they do not know the contents of the cargo they load or unload, but do know a significant portion of it is illicit. They also allege that one group with multiple front companies controls most contraband moving through the port.¹⁴⁸

The existence or extent of Kaalbye's involvement in the weapons trade during the 1990s is unknown, but it certainly engaged in the Odessa port trade writ large, with companies like Kaalbye Oil Services, Ukrainian Maritime Agency, and Kaalbye Shipping providing oil services, crewing, and cargo transport, respectively. This business diversification is a major theme of Kaalbye. Kaalbye certainly entered into the weapons trade by the early aughts, and stayed there for the next decade. Kaalbye's entry into the weapons transportation market coincided with the exit of traditional facilitators: Minin was arrested in Italy in 2000,¹⁴⁹ and Gaydamak indicted in France in April 1999 and June 2000.¹⁵⁰ It also coincided with the emergence of Putin, and reassertion of state control over major weapons systems and other national assets. The 2000 sale of Ukrainian X-55 cruise missiles to Iran and China by corrupt officials, which Kaalbye facilitated,¹⁵¹ is one of the very last instances of the private sale of major FSU weapons systems. Kaalbye clearly adapted to the new state-dominated weapons market, as its long list of subsequent shipments shows.

SHIPMENT EVENTS

#1	Cruise Missiles to Iran
#2	Cruise Missiles to China
#3	Assault Rifles and Grenades to Angola
#16	Tanks, RPGs, and More to South Sudan
#19	Explosives to Algeria
#29	Mega-shipment of SAMs, Rocket Launchers, ASMs, and More to Venezuela
#30	"
#33	"
#34	"
#36	Unknown Weapons to Vietnam

Figure 23: Kaalbye Shipment Events

Figure 24:
Kaalbye subsidiaries, shells, etc.

Kaalbye Shipping
 Kaalbye Shipping International
 Kaalbye Shipping Ukraine
 Kaalbye Shipping Cyprus
 Kaalbye Bulk
 Kaalbye Heavy Lift
 Kaalbye Projects
 Kaalbye Logistics
 Kaalbye Yachting
 Kaalbye Oil Services
 Kaalbye Group
 Kaalbye Group Holding
 Kaalbye Ltd.
 Kaalbye Agency Services
 Ukrainian Maritime Agency
 Deutschland Prime Transport
 New Challenge Ltd.
 Olva Shipping Ltd.
 Silver Streams Limited
 White Albatross Ltd.

DATA: RUSSIAN, UKRAINIAN, BVI, AND
 PANAMAMIAN BUSINESS RECORDS




Figure 25:
Kaalbye guards

There are three factors that explain Kaalbye's prominence. The most immediate is its government connections. Founder **Igor Urbansky** was a Deputy Minister of Transportation in Ukraine from 2006–2009, and is linked to senior Ukrainian defense officials. **Boris Kogan**, a co-founder of Kaalbye Shipping, is partner to some of the most powerful defense-industrial figures in Russia, including a deputy director of RosTech (the state defense industry holding firm—see **Chapter 5** for details). These personal connections are of immense importance, as government officials must have great trust in a facilitator's competence and honesty in order to feel comfortable handing over immensely valuable and powerful weapons systems to this private individual. Our weapons shipment dataset empirically shows that the Russian and Ukrainian governments are willing to let Kaalbye carry out even the most sensitive foreign policy maneuvers: the transfer of S-300s to bolster Venezuela against the US is a prominent example. We judge there is a direct correlation between the ties of senior Kaalbye personnel to organs of state power, and the lucrative weapons transportation contracts they receive.

Second is Kaalbye's business diversification. Kaalbye is not so much a company as an interlocking network. Through its subsidiaries, Kaalbye is one of the largest crewing (i.e. providing trained seamen for Ukrainian and foreign companies), chartering and freight forwarding (match-making of cargo owners with transportation companies), container shipping, bulk shipping (grain, coal, etc.), and project and heavy-lift (very large and unusually shaped cargo) firms in Ukraine. It even has a yacht division, which crews and manages luxury ships. This is relatively rare for the international shipping industry, in which many firms pick a specialty; it is even truer for the international *weapons* shipping industry. For example, Norwegian firm **Eide Marine Services** specializes in massive barges that can comfortably fit a warship or submarine. This means Eide is the preferred partner when Russia exports Kilo submarines to China or missile corvettes to Vietnam, but it also means Eide cannot handle any shipments outside of its lane. In contrast, Kaalbye has general cargo ships for crate-able military cargo, heavy-lift vessels for tanks and artillery, and more. This wide range of services lets it handle shipments of diverse military cargo end-to-end. The spread of weapon types and destinations that Kaalbye has shipped over the past decade is remarkable, including everything from advanced missile systems to boxes of rusty bullets, from well-heeled licit customers like Vietnam to third-world conflict zones like South Sudan. No other firm in our dataset has such a wide collection of cargo and destinations. Our assumption is that this ability to perform near end-to-end logistics integration for almost all cargos is a major reason why Moscow and Kiev turn to Kaalbye with such frequency.

The third factor is Kaalbye's external connectedness. If Kaalbye itself cannot perform a particular shipment for customers (either because all its ships are booked, or it does not have the requisite ships or personnel), it almost certainly knows someone either in Odessa or abroad who does. Virtually all of the other Odessa companies we examined are subsidiaries of Kaalbye, have collaborated with Kaalbye in the past, are staffed by Kaalbye alumni, or know Kaalbye personnel from other experiences (school, family, and the like). Kaalbye collaborated with **Tomex Team**, **Waterlux AG**, **Almar**, and **Phoenix Trans-Servis** to transport weapons to South Sudan between 2007 and 2009. Through its subsidiary **Primetransport Ltd.**, Kaalbye partners with German firm Briese, one of the most active shippers of FSU weapons. All these ties and more are examined in great detail in later chapters, but the overarching point is that Kaalbye is one of the only entities in the Odessa Network with plausible pathways for reaching out to all different corner of the network for needed services: Latvian financial services, German heavy-lift ships, Moscow officials, and more.

Kaalbye's offices take up most of a 10-story building called the Maritime Business Center in the heart of Odessa. Though one can enter the ground floor reception area at will, guards armed with AK-47s are posted at the foot of the stairs.

Kaalbye also is a contractor for US Military Sealift command.¹⁵²




Figure 26: Dual-listed Personnel

VARAMAR, WHITE WHALE, NORTROP, AND BARWIL

Aleksandr Varvarenko is a key connector in the Odessa Network, although his involvement in weapons transportation appears to be strictly licit, and contracts include Ukrainian, EU, and US arms shipments, not Russian. He was a director of Kaalbye Projects,¹⁵³ dating back to 2006 and continuing to at least 2009, although we believe the Projects division was not directly involved in weapons shipments. Aleksandr has been the owner and director of **Varamar/White Whale Shipping** since at least 2009. Varamar does have a track record of operating or chartering the *Alaed*, a ship caught delivering Russian weapons to Syria in 2012 (although Varamar was unaffiliated with that incident).¹⁵⁴ Varamar's office is located in one of the most prestigious and expensive areas of Odessa; its closest neighbors are a large consulate of the People's Republic of China and luxury restaurant.

Aleksandr's brother, **Andrei Varvarenko**, runs another Odessa maritime company, **Nor-**

SHIPPING EVENTS

#7 NORTROP Tanks to Unknown Customer

Note: Does not include current Varamar contract to deliver APCs to Iraq, organized by US government.

Figure 27:

Varamar/Nortrop Shipment Events

trop, which has shipped weapons in the past.¹⁵⁵ Their father, **Valentin Varvarenko**, is one of the most powerful men in the FSU transportation industry, and worked in Latvia and Belgium for decades before returning to Russia and Ukraine to run several national transportation companies.¹⁵⁶ Aleksandr and Andrei grew up in Latvia and Belgium,¹⁵⁷ and have extensive ties there: Aleksandr started his career after graduating from Odessa National Maritime University at Belgian firm OXL-Flamar, while Andrei's firm Nortrop is part owned by Belgian firm Noord Natie (where Valentin used to be a senior executive).¹⁵⁸



Figure 28:
Varamar office

Aleksandr's associate **Evgenii Poltavets** has formerly worked at Kaalbye, Beluga (a known German facilitator), Phoenix (another Odessa facilitator), is the commercial director of Varamar, and is a close personal associate of Varvarenko. He is interesting as a connecting figure but appears to be too junior to be involved in brokering major weapons shipments.

Vsevolod Ivanov is a Chartering Manager at Varamar.¹⁵⁹ Previously, he worked at Kaalbye Projects under Varvarenko, and before this was employed at **Barwil Ukraine**,¹⁶⁰ which shipped 1000 tons of ammunition to Uganda while he was employed there. While his direct involvement in this shipment is unknown, he worked out of the Nikolaev/Oktyabrsk office, which is known as a hub of weapons shipping and was the port of origin for the Uganda shipment.

Barwil Ukraine is a Ukrainian joint venture of Norwegian firm Wilh. Wilhelmsen Holding with an unknown FSU partner. Cargo manifests from a 2008 shipment of Ukrainian ammunition to the Ugandan Ministry of Defense show Barwil as the shipping agent.¹⁶¹ Barwil Ukraine has its main office in Odessa,¹⁶² but also maintains an office and personnel at the port of Oktyabrsk.¹⁶³ The general manager of Barwil Ukraine at the time of the Ugandan weapons shipment, Vsevolod Ivanov, now is a chartering manager at Kaalbye and Varamar.¹⁶⁴ Ivanov also is a graduate of Odessa National Maritime University, which he attended at the same time as Andrei Varvarenko.¹⁶⁵

BARWIL SHIPMENT EVENTS

#17 Ammunition to Uganda

Figure 29: *Barwil shipment events*

PHOENIX SHIPPING

Phoenix is an Odessa-based company specializing in military logistics, and has deep connections to Kaalbye and defense-industrial figures. Phoenix acts primarily as a freight forwarding firm, arranging for the transportation of goods along Ukrainian railways to port, onto ships, and on to their destination. Regular Phoenix customers include Ukrspetsexport, Ukrimash (a Ukrainian state holding company which controls much of the defense industry), the Ukrainian Ministry of Defense, and Israeli defense firm LR Avionics.¹⁶⁶ Phoenix is co-owned by Ukrainian nationals Yuri Tupchi and Igor Kolesov.¹⁶⁷ The three co-founders of Phoenix—Tupchi, Kolesov, and Aleksandr Tarasik—are reported to have served together in military maritime logistics posts in Odessa, with Phoenix continuing to act as a “soft landing” for retiring officers.¹⁶⁸ On this note, Igor Levitin, the Russian Minister of Transportation from 2004–2012 and current personal advisor to Putin, was a senior executive at Phoenix in the 1990s after retiring from the military (see **Chapter 5** “Government Connections” for more information), and may continue to exert influence.

Phoenix both directly collaborates on weapons shipments with Kaalbye and other Odessa maritime companies, and has personnel integrated into their structures. Yuri Tupchi is the founder of Ace Shipping,¹⁶⁹ an Isle of Man-registered company, which helped (along with Phoenix, Kaalbye, Tomex Team, and Almar) transport multiple weapons shipments from Ukraine to South Sudan between 2007 and 2009. A Phoenix spokesperson claims that Ace acts as a tax and accounting vehicle for Phoenix.¹⁷⁰ Sergei Nazarenko and Oksana Popova are listed in 2008 and 2010 as employees of Phoenix,¹⁷¹ and in 2012 as employees at maritime transportation firm Dealex.¹⁷³

Phoenix also has EU connections. It collaborated with German firm Beluga Shipping on arms transfers to Sudan in 2008; Beluga has also moved Ukrainian APCs to Myanmar in 2009. Common personnel may aid this business cooperation. Evgenii Poltavets was a chartering manager at Beluga from at least 2007–2009,¹⁷⁴ and still likely has contacts in the German heavy-lift industry. Being a junior employee he is unlikely to have brokered sensitive weapons deals, but he serves as a further example of how interconnected these companies are through their personnel.

The authors visited Phoenix’s reported offices in Odessa, which were closed at 3 PM on a working day, and consisted of a single, unlabeled door in an alleyway far off the main drag. This is somewhat understandable, as brokering companies such as Phoenix make their living through connections (i.e. matching cargo owners with ship owners), and therefore do not possess significant physical assets. With that said, the relative squalor of Phoenix, as well as the presence of so many former military and transportation officials, leads us to believe the company’s ‘real’ business is located elsewhere.

VADIM ALPERIN AND AFFILIATES

Vadim Alperin is a Ukrainian-Israeli businessman prominent in Odessa, and famous for his connection to the *Faina*, a ship carrying Ukrainian weapons for Sudan which was hijacked by Somali pirates in 2008.¹⁷⁵ ¹⁷⁶ As his stamp and signature below show, Alperin is the director of Waterlux AG, the Panama-registered company which owns the *Faina*,¹⁷⁷ and also controls Tomex Team, another Panama-registered company which operates the *Faina*. **Victor Murenko** is head of Tomex.¹⁷⁸ Together, these two men control a wide range of Panama-registered but Odessa-based maritime companies, including Almar Shipping, Stonehill Maritime, and Lyumar Odessa. Alperin also is a former Party of Regions deputy in the Odessa city council, and owns many other businesses in real estate, transportation, and cargo brokering, including the firms Temp-Progress,¹⁷⁹ Logistic Servis,¹⁸⁰ and Metalproduct.¹⁸¹ Alperin also is reported to be business partners with Aleksandr Oiberman, another influential Israeli-Ukrainian Odessa businessman and deputy on the Odessa City Council.¹⁸²

Interestingly, Alperin appears to have ties to Syria. It was widely reported that when the

PHOENIX SHIPMENT EVENTS

#4	Tanks and APCs to Yemen and Angola
#14	Tanks, RPGs and Ammunition to South Sudan
#15	"
#16	"

Figure 30: Phoenix shipment events



Figure 31: Phoenix office

ALPERIN SHIPMENT EVENTS

#16	Tanks, RPGs and Ammo to South Sudan
-----	-------------------------------------

Figure 32: Alperin shipment events



Figure 33:
Alperin and Ukrainian Intelligence
(Mykola Malomuzh, head of SZR)



Figure 34:
Hares Youssef (second from right), with
Rinat Akhmetov and Vadim Novinsky to his left



Figure 35:
Vadim Alperin and Faina

Ukrainian government wanted to export weapons to South Sudan in 2007, it was **Hares Youssef**, a Syrian businessman living and operating in Ukraine¹⁸³ and personal advisor to then-President Victor Yushchenko,¹⁸⁴ who selected Alperin and the *Faina* as the facilitators of the shipment,¹⁸⁵ possibly indicating a pre-existing relationship. Youssef founded the conglomerate Hares Group, and is a business partner of some of FSU's most powerful oligarchs. For example, he co-owns a large steel factory in Moldova along with Alisher Usmanov and Vadim Novinsky, among others.¹⁸⁶ Youssef been the subject of intense Arab and FSU media scrutiny for his alleged ties to the international arms trade, Semen Mogilievich (for whom he is accused of being a representative), smuggling of antiquities, business partnership with Syrian-Slovakian metals trader Akhtar Barakat, and ties to Maher al-Assad. Vadim Alperin appears to have additional contacts in Syria beyond Youssef. For example, he recently leased his ship *Etel* to an unnamed Syrian businessman using it for trade with Libya.^{187 188}

Appendix No.6
to the CLAIM FOR DAMAGES dated November 18, 2008

Calculation of costs for m/v. "Etel"
in the voyage Arzew - Alexandria - Ilyichevsk

Description	Quantity	Amount, USD
Voyage time	13	
Running cost		45 845.93
Bunker consumption at sea (IFO)	139.3	115 711.91
Bunker consumption at sea (MGO)	23.1	28 729.00
Bunker consumption at port (IFO)	0	0.00
Bunker consumption at port (MGO)	0	0.00
Disbursement account at Alexandria		8 072.00
Disbursement account for transit Turkish straits		1 353.67
Disbursement account at Ilyichevsk		15 091.42
Lashing/unlashing		556.50
Total:		215 360.43

Comments: running cost calculated as per budget, bunker price are actual price of bunker on board at the moment of voyage, disbursement expenses are actual expenses

Director of "Waterlux AG"
WATERLUX AG
CORPORATE
SEAL
PANAMA
WATERLUX AG
Alperin

Figure 36:
Waterlux official seal and signature

5 Government Connections: Moscow & Kiev

The Odessa Network firms are logistics contractors for the Russian and Ukrainian governments, not independent arms merchants. State agencies such as Rosoboronexport and Ukrspetsexport own the weapons and broker almost all foreign sales. The Odessa Network companies play a critical role in making these arms transfers happen, but they only do so on behalf of powerful customers in Moscow and Kiev.

The key assumption is that there must be persistent links and contractual relationships between the Odessa Network and government officials. The Odessa Network has moved billions of dollars of advanced military hardware, which indicates a high level of government trust in its competency and honesty, implying contact between leaders on both sides. The need to keep track of what weapons are being shipped where and when necessitates contracts between state agencies and the Odessa Network. This hypothesis is supported by Rosoboronexport court cases specifying detailed contractual arrangements with other (i.e. non-Odessa Network) facilitators.¹⁸⁹ The Odessa shipping companies must be compensated for their services, implying exchange of bank account information, payment schedules, etc. Although we lack direct evidence for most of these links, we have uncovered multiple personal relationships between Odessa Network personnel and Russian and Ukrainian officials, including cabinet level ministers and senior defense-industrial personnel. These relationships may be the pathways along which information and trust flows.

IGOR LEVITIN

Phoenix Trans-Servis is an Odessa shipping firm that has brokered multiple weapons shipments, and openly advertises its connections to Russian and Ukrainian defense industrial concerns.¹⁹⁰ One former Phoenix employee is Igor Levitin, who served as the Russian Minister of Transportation from 2004–2012 and currently works as a personal advisor to Vladimir Putin, in addition to stints on the board of directors at Sheremetevo Airport and Aeroflot.¹⁹¹ Levitin served in the Soviet and then Russian army from 1970 to 1994, primarily as a logistics and transportation specialist, before being cashiered in 1994. Between 1995 and 1996 he worked at Phoenix Trans-Servis, where he served as Vice-President.¹⁹² Levitin is the most high profile, but by no means only military-logistics figure connected to Phoenix. The three co-founders of Phoenix—Yuri Tupchi, Aleksandr Tarasik, and Igor Kolesov—are reported to have served together in military maritime logistics posts in Odessa, with Phoenix continuing to act as a “soft landing” for retiring officers.¹⁹³ Although we have no data on whether Levitin continues to have contact with Phoenix, it is interesting that one of the most powerful men in Russia worked at one of the major exporters of FSU weapons.



Figure 37:
Levitin & Putin

IGOR URBANSKY

Igor Urbansky is the founder of Kaalbye, served as Deputy Minister of Transport in Ukraine from 2006 to roughly 2009, and enjoys extensive contacts among the Ukrainian defense establishment and Party of Regions clique.

The son of a Soviet KGB colonel, Urbansky was born in Georgia, where one of his close friends grew up to become former Georgian President Eduard Shevardnadze's personal bodyguard.¹⁹⁴ Urbansky was educated at Moscow State Institute of International Relations (MGIMO).¹⁹⁵ MGIMO is the diplomatic school of the Soviet (and then Russian) Ministry of Foreign Affairs, and widely perceived as a training ground for political, intelligence, and economic elites. Urbansky served as a captain in the Soviet cargo fleet before starting his first business, Evas, in Odessa in 1992. Urbansky went on to found Kaalbye Shipping in 1996, followed by a string of subsidiaries and offshores: Kaalbye Oil Service, Kaalbye Shipping Cyprus, Kaalbye Marine Service, and more.¹⁹⁶ It is worth noting that during the 1990s Odessa Port was a hub of weapons trafficking and general smuggling; local mafiosos such as Leonid Minin (whose list of deeds ranges from bullets to the Ivory Coast and Angola to attempting to sell an aircraft carrier to Turkey)¹⁹⁷ used it as a base for operations. It is possible two of the leading figures in Odessa maritime transportation did not know each other, but unlikely. The existence or extent of Urbansky's weapons transportation activities in the 1990s is unknown, but he was certainly involved by the aughts. Urbansky was involved in the sale of Kh-55 cruise missiles to Iran and China in 2000 (in cooperation with corrupt Ukrainian and Russian intelligence officials), and shipping military equipment to Angola in 2001.¹⁹⁹

Whatever its origins, Urbansky's wealth and power allowed him to become a political figure in Ukraine. From 2006 to 2009 Urbansky served as Deputy Minister of Transportation under two separate Ministers, Nikolai Rudkovsky and Josef Vinsky.²⁰⁰ Urbansky apparently resigned as general of director at Kaalbye prior to taking this post; the extent of his continued connection to the firm is unknown.²⁰¹ Urbansky continues to serve as a deputy in the Verkhovna Rada [Ukrainian legislative body] to the present day.²⁰² Urbansky is closely linked to military and intelligence figures in Ukraine. For example, in 2007 he personally paid for then-Minister of Defense Vasilii Tsushko, who he knew from serving together in the Odessa Socialist Party,²⁰³ to be flown to Germany for medical treatment.²⁰⁴ The sophistication and quantity of weapons in deals personally attended to by Urbansky—cruise missiles, 636 tons of equipment, etc.—leaves little doubt of his connections to defense officials.



Figure 38:
Igor Urbansky



Figure 39:
Ministry of Transportation

BORIS KOGAN

Boris Kogan is one of the cofounders of Kaalbye Shipping Ukraine and serves as a director and senior manager of the company.²⁰⁵ He is a close business partner of Kaalbye co-founder Igor Urbansky.²⁰⁶ Kogan is also on the board of directors of a Russian company, RT-Logistika. RT-Logistika is 51% owned by Russian Technologies, the enormous state holding firm (headed by Putin's former KGB colleague and close ally Sergei Chemezov) which owns a variety of industrial companies, including Rosoboronexport and much of the Russian defense industry. RT-Logistika is deeply involved in transporting weapons. For example, in October 2012 RT-Logistika arranged a cargo plane to transport sensory equipment for Syrian Pantsir SAM complexes from Moscow to Damascus, which the Turkish Air Force intercepted.²⁰⁷ Kogan is the only RT-Logistika board member who is *not* a senior Russian defense-industrial figure.

The list of government officials affiliated with RT-Logistika includes:²⁰⁸ **Valerii Yemets**, director of logistics at Rosoboronexport; **Igor Zavyalov**, deputy director of Russian Technologies, board member at Rosoboronexport,²⁰⁹ and close connection of Sergei Chemezov, a key Putin ally and one of the most powerful men in Russia; **Andrei Shipilov**, **Sergei Kulikov**, and **Vladimir Litvin**, all of whom are senior executives at Russian Technologies; many other senior Russian defense-industrial figures, including **Aleksandr Kuzhakin** (an executive at Vladikinski Factory and Avtovaz),²¹⁰ **Yuri Churchen**, **Aleksandr Kuznetsov**, **Aleksandr Vetrivskii**, and more.

The significance of this is difficult to overstate: Boris Kogan personally knows and works with some of the most senior defense-industrial figures in the Russian government. The company linking them, RT-Logistika, actively moves Russian weapons to Syria. The other company Kogan is involved with (Kaalbye) is by far the most frequent facilitator of Russian and Ukrainian weapons shipments. Taken together, this data indicates a convincing hypothesis about Kaalbye's connections to the Kremlin, and possible role in arming Assad. At the very least, it seems probable that Kogan is a go-between for the Odessa Network and government agencies.



Figure 40: *Kogan Connections*
Igor Zavyalov, Deputy Director at Russian Technologies, and Sergei Kulikov, Executive Director. Kogan knows and works with both.

-
- ROSOBORONEXPORT
State weapons export agency
 - RUSSIAN HELICOPTERS
Military helicopters
 - VYSOKOTOCHNYE KOMPLEKSY
Missile targeting systems
 - KB MASHINOSTROENIYA
Tactical ballistic missiles
 - ORION
Military satellites and communications
 - AIRCRAFT ENGINEERING CONCERN
Fighter jets
 - UNITED ENGINE CORPORATION
High-performance military turbofans
 - MACHINE ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGIES
Artillery and rocket launchers
 - IZHMASH
One of world's largest small arms maker

Figure 41: *Russian Technologies Subsidiaries*
(Non-Exhaustive)

VIKTOR OLERSKIY

Viktor Olerskiy is the current Russian Deputy Minister of Transportation.²¹¹ Before entering this position in 2009, Olerskiy was a long-time member of the board of directors at North-Western Shipping, a large Russian company which managed the *Professor Katsman* when it delivered weapons to Syria in 2012.²¹² Olerskiy confirmed this fact in an interview with a Russian newspaper.²¹³ This leads us to believe Olerskiy is one of the vectors by which the Russian government can coordinate with private shipping companies such as North-Western to facilitate weapons transfers.

Some sources also report Olerskiy as being a former director of Inok, a Belgian company which was a previous manager of the *Professor Katsman*.²¹⁴ The company has strenuously denied any connections to North-Western Shipping, the Kremlin, or weapons shipping. While we have no definitive judgments here, multiple other North-Western Shipping executives currently serve as executives at Inok,²¹⁵ and Olerskiy was previously a Belgian citizen (he renounced it when he assumed governmental office),²¹⁶ ²¹⁷ lending credence to the claims that he worked for Inok. These facts would seem to contradict Inok's claims, and indicate relationships to Russia.

North-Western Shipping is owned by UCL,²¹⁸ a holding company of oligarch Vladimir Lisin.



Figure 42: Olerskiy and Levitin

From left to right: two unidentified Russian military officers, Viktor Olerskiy, Igor Orlov (governor of Archangelsk), and Putin's personal advisor Igor Levitin (previously covered in this section).

ALEKSANDR ANNENKOV

Aleksandr Annenkov is the Chairman of the Board of Directors at AnRussTrans,²¹⁹ the ultimate owner of the *Slavyanin*,²²⁰ which delivered weapons supposedly bound for Iraq to some unknown customer in 2007. Annenkov was a long-time bureaucrat in Russian transportation ministries, rising to the rank of Deputy Minister of Transportation in 1999-2000.²²¹ Annenkov also owns Morskoi Bank.²²² Annenkov is based out of Sevastopol, which is home of the Russian Black Sea Fleet. Annenkov's current connections either to the Russian or Ukrainian governments, or to Odessa Network leaders, are unknown. Despite the paucity of data on him, we believe that using Annenkov as a transporter for weapons confirms our hypothesis about the strong requirement for trust between state weapons agencies and their logisticians; Annenkov's long service as a government transportation specialist likely played some role.



Figure 43: Aleksandr Annenkov

CONNECTIONS TO FACILITATORS AND EACH OTHER

As shown on **Figure 44** on the next page, almost all the major Odessa-based facilitators are connected to organs of Russian and Ukrainian state power through the personal connections covered in this section. We believe that the fact that the most active shippers of weapons are also the most politically connected is no coincidence. Such connections are presumably vital to establishing the trust for the state to hand over advanced weapons systems to ostensibly private transporters, to communicating what weapons need to go where, and to verifying that they have done.

Interestingly, the government officials examined in this section not only know and work with the facilitators, but also with each other. For example, as co-founders of Kaalbye and long-time business partners, Igor Urbansky and Boris Kogan indisputably know each other and collaborate on weapons shipments. Victor Olerskiy served as Deputy Minister of Transportation under Igor Levitin for three years; this and photographic evidence in **Figure 42** make it clear they also have a working relationship.

6 Port of Origin: Oktyabrsk

The port of Oktyabrsk is the point of origin for almost all Russian and Ukrainian weapons exports in our dataset. Located in the city of Nikolaev in Ukraine, and specially built by the Soviet Union to ship weapons, Oktyabrsk possesses a number of qualities making it well-suited for arms exports: advantageous geography, specialized equipment, transportation infrastructure to major FSU defense-industrial plants, and more. It is for this reason that many of the previously mentioned Odessa shipping companies (including Kaalbye and Nortrop) and Russian state weapons exports agencies maintain offices and personnel in Oktyabrsk. Our data suggests that Moscow exerts significant control over Oktyabrsk, despite technically being in Ukraine: the port’s owner and operators have close ties to the Russian military and Kremlin. The government owns the weapons and facilitators operate the ships, but Oktyabrsk is where a weapons ship’s journey physically begins.

Oktyabrsk’s geographic position is advantageous for weapons exports. Because Russia and Ukraine are near the top of the Northern Hemisphere, virtually all their customers are located to the south. Ships leaving northern ports like St. Petersburg and Murmansk must traverse great distances to reach destinations in Africa, Asia, and South America, if they can depart at all—winter ice slows or shuts down these ports for part of the year. In contrast, Oktyabrsk’s location on the Black Sea, nearly 1000 miles south of busier ports such as St. Petersburg or Kaliningrad, allows ships departing from there to reach typical weapons export destinations much more quickly. After transiting the Bosphorus, they can continue through the Mediterranean or Suez Canal, entering the Indian Ocean and gaining access to sub-Saharan Africa and Asia. The economics of maritime transport make the time and distance savings of leaving from Oktyabrsk very significant. From a shipper’s perspective, every extra mile and day eats away profit—more fuel costs, more crew costs, and more time spent fulfilling one contract, when profitability often depends on fitting as many voyages into a year as possible.



LOCATION	ALGERIA	SYRIA	INDIA	VIETNAM
ST. PETERSBURG	19.9 DAYS	28.2 DAYS	36.9 DAYS	44.6 DAYS
OKTYABRSK	10.8 DAYS	9.7 DAYS	23.6 DAYS	35.4 DAYS

Figure 45:
Oktyabrsk versus
St. Petersburg transit times

Oktyabrsk also possesses specialized infrastructure for shipping weapons discreetly and safely, and is widely known as a hub of arms export.²²³ The Soviet Union specially built Oktyabrsk to move weapons from the USSR to Communist allies across the world. For example, many of the Soviet missiles sent to Cuba in Operation Anadyr, which triggered the Cuban Missile Crisis, departed from Oktyabrsk.²²⁴ It still is heavily used for weapons exports; a senior Ukrainian government official estimates 40 weapons shipments a year,²²⁵ and the photo gallery on the port's official website contains several shots of military cargo.²²⁶ Oktyabrsk possesses heavy cranes, which are necessary for handling military cargo such as tanks or missiles. There also are special ammunition storage buildings separated by dirt berms, which would absorb the blast of accidentally exploding ammunition and prevent the entire stockpile from going up.

Workers at Oktyabrsk are reputed to earn much higher wages and perform at a much higher level than other ports, perhaps reflecting unusual demands on competency and secrecy.²²⁷ Although Oktyabrsk is no longer a completely closed port, as it was during Soviet times, only trusted personnel work there and the flow of information is controlled.²²⁸ The port was clearly engineered for operational security. Located six kilometers south of Nikolaev amidst empty farmland, there is little traffic near the port. In addition, a thick man-made forest provides a multi-kilometer buffer. Guard towers, armored bunkers, and floodlights are scattered throughout the facility. Armed guards are stationed at a single entrance, and all cars are searched.

The Soviet legacy also means there is excellent rail infrastructure running from Russian defense-industrial centers to Oktyabrsk and Nikolaev. Rosoboronexport court documents regarding arms transfers to Eritrea indicate that light weapons shipments are carried by rail from Russia to Nikolaevskaya rail station, unloaded, and then shipped out of Nikolaev port. Heavy weapons are brought by rail from Russia to Zhovtenaya rail station, unloaded, and shipped out of Oktyabrsk port.²²⁹ This is confirmed by another court case involving the export of heavy weapons to South Korea and Algeria; all goods are listed as being delivered to Zhovtenaya Station in Oktyabrsk before being loaded onto ships.²³⁰ A 2001 Kaalbye shipment of 636 tons of military equipment to Angola was moved by train to Zhovtenaya before embarking.²³¹ Yet another Rosoboronexport court case refers to weapons being transported directly from Ulyanovsk (home to several major defense plants) to Oktyabrsk for further export to Morocco.²³²




Figure 46: FSU Weapons Export Pathways



Figure 47:
Andrei Yegorov

The background of Oktyabrsk's leadership adds to the perception that the Kremlin functionally controls the port. Port manager Andrei Yegorov was born in Sochi (now part of Russia), served as a submarine commander in the Russian Navy Black Sea Fleet until 2000 and achieved the rank of Captain, is a graduate of multiple elite Soviet/Russian military academies, and only received Ukrainian citizenship in 2000.²³³ Yegorov is reported to be a tool of the Russian-Ukrainian oligarch Vadim Novinsky, who is reputed to own Oktyabrsk.²³⁴

Novinsky, whose wealth has been estimated between \$1.9 and \$4.4 billion,^{235 236} is a co-owner of Smart-Holding, through which he controls a wide range of primarily metallurgical and shipbuilding businesses in Ukraine and Russia. Novinsky is a business partner of and closely linked to Party of Regions figures such as Rinat Akhmetov (with whom he co-owns multiple steel and agricultural holdings),^{237 238} Dmitrii Firtash, and Victor Yanukovich, who in turn are closely tied to organized crime and the Kremlin. Novinsky also co-owns a steel factory in Rybnita, Moldova, along with Hares Youssef, Alisher Usmanov, and Grigori Surkis.²³⁹ Novinsky was born in Russia and only acquired Ukrainian citizenship in 2012, when President Yanukovich personally granted him citizenship for unnamed services.²⁴⁰ He splits his time between St. Petersburg and Kiev. Although "FSB-spotting" is somewhat of a media sport in Ukraine, Novinsky has come under particular scrutiny for his perceived ties to Russian power ministries: a recent Ukrainian article entitled "Is Murky Businessman Vadim Novinsky a Stooge of Russian Intelligence?" gives some indication of this distrust.^{241 242}

Novinsky's control of Oktyabrsk is particularly interesting with additional context. The Ukrainian government recently privatized or granted private concessions on most of the country's major ports.²⁴³ Because Ukraine has emerged as a transportation hub for Eastern Europe and FSU, control of these ports is highly lucrative. Unsurprisingly, the privatization process has been marred by charges of corruption, with government officials collaborating with oligarchs for sweetheart deals and kickbacks. There has been fierce competition between competing factions for control of the busiest ports. Yet Oktyabrsk, which moves hundreds of thousands of tons of cargo a month²⁴⁴ and generates enough revenue to be among the top taxpayers in the entire province,²⁴⁵ has been largely exempt from this competition. No government official or oligarch has made a move on Oktyabrsk or challenged Novinsky's control.^{246 247} No other port has been so exempted. We interpret this as recognition of the Kremlin's special interest in Oktyabrsk, i.e. that the Russian government has communicated to oligarchs that it will not tolerate disruptions or intrigue (ownership change, personnel turnover, etc.) that

Since the Soviet era, weapons shipments to various countries of the world have gone through exactly this port [Oktyabrsk.] The port has preserved this specialty even after Ukrainian independence. How this relates to the unfolding privatization of Ukrainian ports is unclear, especially if a certain fact is taken into consideration: Vadim Novinsky is a citizen of Russia.

Figure 48:
Excerpt from Ukrainian Newspaper Article



Figure 49:
Vadim Novinsky with President of Ukraine

could impede the multi-billion dollar flow of weapons through Oktyabrsk. There are few forces that can tame the chaotic, no-holds-barred competition of post-Soviet big business, but a highly motivated Russian power ministry is one of them.

Virtually all of the Odessa Network-linked shipments uncovered during this investigation departed from Oktyabrsk. The one persistent exception is weapons leaving Russia bound for the Assad regime. On multiple occasions, Russian ships have attempted or succeeded at delivering military equipment to Tartus. We are unaware of any Syria-bound weapons shipments that did not originate in St. Petersburg, and possibly Kaliningrad. As an anomaly, this deserves further examination. The reasons why *not* to use St. Petersburg are clear. Its extreme northern location adds roughly 3,000 nautical miles and 10 days of travel to an average arms shipment, as compared to Oktyabrsk. A ship leaving St. Petersburg must pass through the North Sea, which thanks to the Cold War is ringed by long-time US allies (Germany, UK, Denmark, Netherlands, etc.) and extensive surveillance equipment. These states can and have interfered with Syria-bound shipments; for example, the *Alaed*, which was carrying helicopter parts for Assad, had its insurance revoked by the British underwriter. Lastly, St. Petersburg is one of the busiest ports in the former Soviet Union. Maintaining secrecy or operational security in such an environment would be highly challenging. Lastly, it also is possible that Western governments aware of the Kremlin's use of Oktyabrsk as a weapons port have placed significant pressure on the government of Ukraine (perhaps using its EU membership bid as a bargaining chip) to disallow Syria-bound shipments from transiting Ukrainian ports.




Figure 50:
Oktyabrsk Photographs

7 EU & Russian Shipping: Specialized Services

Although Odessa-based companies carry out the majority of Russian and Ukrainian weapons shipments, EU and Russia-based companies are responsible for a significant minority. We believe they play a complementary role to the Odessa-based facilitators by providing specialized services outside of Kaalbye or Phoenix’s competency if necessary. Specifically, we judge that they provide specialized heavy-lift ships for unusually large shipments (warships, submarines, etc.), surge capacity during periods of high demand, or, knowingly or unknowingly, illicit shipments. Based in cities like Hamburg, Rotterdam, and St. Petersburg, these companies load weapons at Oktyabrsk and deliver them to locations like Myanmar, Libya, and Sudan. This section profiles the EU and Russian companies known to facilitate weapons transfers, and explains in detail their role in the overall weapons export process, including their connections to Odessa Network leaders.

EIDE MARINE SERVICES (NORWAY)

Warship and Submarine Transportation

The Norwegian firm Eide Marine Services is the second most frequent weapons transporter in our dataset (after Kaalbye). Eide is a perfect example of how EU firms provide specialized ships to handle large or unusual cargo the Odessa firms cannot, such as warships and submarines. If the Russian government has multiple billion-dollar contracts to deliver Kilo attack submarines to Asian customers, it is not immediately obvious how exactly to deliver a 242 foot long, 32 foot wide steel tube from European Russia to the Pacific Rim. Delivering the submarine under its own power is out of the question, given the expensive wear and tear it would inflict on a brand new machine. Most cargo ships—including those owned by trusted logistics contractors in Odessa—are unable to accommodate such huge, irregularly shaped cargo. Cargo ships are typically designed to handle granular cargo such as grain or coal (i.e. bulk carriers), liquids (i.e. tankers), 20-foot long intermodal containers (i.e. container ships), or small wheeled vehicles (i.e. ro-ros and car carriers.) Only a submersible barge, which has a single huge flat cargo bay designed to sink into the water, could handle the Kilo submarine. Eide is one of the few firms which possesses exactly such a ship, the *Eide Transporter*, which has been used multiple times to move unusual Russian military cargo to foreign customers. This includes *Tarantul*-class missile corvettes, *Gepard*-class frigates, and *Svetlyak*-class patrol boats to Vietnam, and *Kilo*-class submarines to China.

Eide’s connections to either the Kremlin or Odessa shipping companies are unclear. Given how often Eide has moved Russian



Figure 51: Eide Ships

From top to bottom: two Gepard frigates, two Kilo attack submarines, one Tarantul missile corvette

EIDE SHIPMENT EVENTS

- #8 Attack submarines to China
- #13 Missile corvettes to Vietnam
- #20 Patrol boats to Vietnam
- #24 Frigate to Vietnam
- #27 Frigate to Vietnam
- #39 Patrol Boats to Vietnam

Figure 52: Eide shipment events

weapons, it clearly has some relationship with Russian weapons export agencies, but details of this are unknown to us. We speculate that Eide interacts with Rosoboronexport directly, and does not go through the Odessa firms. This judgment is motivated in part by the lack of data on Eide-Odessa connections. While our research uncovered personal and financial ties between many EU shipping firms and Odessa, we did not find any for Eide. Thinking more broadly, Eide’s specialized role also explains the lack of ties to Odessa: it operates in an entirely different market situation. Any ship can transport boxes of bullets or radar components; the value-add for shipping “typical” exports like guns or tanks is trustworthiness (implying connections with government officials) and general competency. A normal EU shipping company—i.e. one with ro-ros, container ships, etc.—seeking to enter the Russian and Ukrainian weapons market would do well to establish a relationship with Odessa companies such as Kaalbye in order to leverage their unique political connections. But Eide’s distinction is functional, not political: its barge ships allow it move large military cargo that few others can. Eide does not need access to Odessa’s political connections to find customers: given that Eide has moved military cargo not just for Russia, but also for the Canadian Navy,²⁴⁸ US Navy,²⁴⁹ Swedish Navy,²⁵⁰ it is fair to say that it is a service which sells itself. Thus, our final judgment on Eide is that while it is an important logistics contractor for moving Russian weapons, it is not truly a part of the Odessa Network.

BRIESE, BBC, BELUGA, SPLIETHOFF, ECKHOFF, & CLIPPER (GERMANY AND NETHERLANDS)

Heavy-Lift Transportation

The German firm Briese Schifffahrts GmbH and Co KG is another transporter of Russian and Ukrainian weapons. Based in Leer, Germany, Briese is one of the largest shipping companies in Germany and among the largest heavy-lift shippers in the world, with a fleet of over 140 ships. Heavy lift ships typically carry their own cranes, and so can move large, heavy, and unusually shaped cargo such as tanks, artillery, etc. Bulk carriers and normal cargo ships—such as those owned by Kaalbye Shipping—cannot do so. This ability becomes even more useful if the port of discharge lacks proper infrastructure for handling such bulky cargo, since the ship can use its own crane rather than relying on old or non-existent port equipment. We believe that this gives Briese (and other EU heavy-lift firms discussed later) an important functional role in the Odessa Network: heavy weapons shipments, in particular to poor-infrastructure countries. This judgment is born of empirical data. As **Figure 53** shows, every weapons export event Briese has facilitated involved transporting heavy military equipment to poor-infrastructure states.

Briese ships appear to be heavily staffed with Russian and Ukrainian captains and seamen, reflecting the fact that most of Briese’s crewing business comes from Russia and Ukraine.²⁵¹ In confirmation of this, there are multiple Briese advertisements on the streets of Odessa soliciting seamen to apply. Briese maintains offices or branches in Odessa,²⁵² Sevastopol,²⁵³ St. Petersburg,²⁵⁴ Murmansk, Archangelsk, Petrozavodsk, Astrakhan, Rostov-na-Donu, and Vladivostok.²⁵⁵

In addition, Kaalbye Transport is the agent for a BVI-registered and Hamburg-based shipping company,²⁵⁶ Primetransport Ltd. Primetransport maintains an office and personnel (Leonid Simakov) in Hamburg, but the company appears to be mostly based out of Odessa and staffed entirely with Russians and Ukrainians,²⁵⁷ the majority of whom are dual-listed in other Kaalbye companies,²⁵⁸ leading us to believe Primetransport is simply a Kaalbye shell. This perception is strengthened by the fact that Primetransport is even located at the same office building in Odessa as Kaalbye, as **Figure 55** shows. Primetransport lists BBC Chartering (a Briese subsidiary) as one of its clients, and counts *BBC Conan* as part of its fleet.²⁵⁹ It is clear they have an existing business relationship, in addition to the personal ties described above.

BRIESE SHIPMENT EVENTS

- #9 Ammo and military vehicles to DRC
- #21 Heavy weapons to DRC
- #22 Tanks to Sudan, unknown explosives to Singapore
- #23 Tanks and APCs to Cambodia
- #A A.Q.Khan nuclear centrifuges to Libya

Figure 53: Briese shipment events



Figure 54: Briese ad in Odessa




Figure 55: Primetransport and Kaalbye
Author’s photo of portion of business directory at Kaalbye headquarters; Primetransport is listed fourth.

BRIESE CORPORATE STRUCTURE

MANAGEMENT	Ems Offshore Service GmbH & Co. KG <i>Leer, Germany</i>	Briese Schifffahrts GmbH & Co. KG <i>Leer, Germany</i>	Ems-Leda Shipping GmbH & Co. KG <i>Germany</i>	Briese Shipping BV <i>Scheemda, Netherlands</i>	Briese Research <i>Leer, Germany</i>
CHARTERING	BBC Chartering & Logistic GmbH & Co. KG <i>Leer, Germany</i>	BBC Project Chartering GmbH & Co. KG <i>Leer, Germany</i>	Briese Chartering GmbH & Co. KG <i>Leer, Germany</i>	Bremer Reederei E&B GmbH <i>Bremen, Germany</i>	Peak Shipping AS <i>Bergen, Norway</i>
CONSULTING	OWT – Offshore Wind technologie GmbH <i>Leer, Germany</i>	Briese Agency Ltd. Spolka z.o.o. <i>Szczecin, Poland</i>	China Supervision <i>Tianjin, China</i>	SEC GmbH & Co. KG <i>Leer, Germany</i>	
CREWING	Briese Swallow St. Petersburg Ltd. <i>St. Petersburg, Russia</i>	Briese Schifffahrt Ukraine <i>Sevastopol-Odessa, Ukraine</i>	Heavy Lift Manila Inc. <i>Manila, Philippines</i>	Leda Shipping GmbH <i>Leer, Germany</i>	
PORT LOGISTICS	EPAS Emden Port Agency Service GmbH & Co. KG <i>Port of Emden, Germany</i>	BERA GmbH & Co. KG <i>Port of Papenburg, Germany</i>			

Figure 56:
Briese corporate structure

Beluga Shipping is a now-insolvent²⁶⁰ German shipping company that previously was one of the largest in Germany, and one of Briese's chief competitors. Like Briese, Beluga specialized in heavy-lift shipping and possessed an extensive heavy-lift fleet.²⁶¹ This allowed it a similar functional specialty within the Odessa Network in heavy-weapons shipments to low-infrastructure countries (note the countries and cargo in **Figure 57**). Beluga also appeared to specialize in spectacularly controversial cargo and customers: it was responsible for moving A.Q. Khan nuclear centrifuges to Libya, tanks and APCs to Myanmar (which was then under active UN sanction), and tanks, rockets, ammo, and more to South Sudan (also under UN sanctions).

BELUGA SHIPMENT EVENTS	
#15	Tanks, ammo, rockets to South Sudan
#19	APCs, ATGMs to Myanmar
#A	A.Q. Khan nuclear centrifuges to Libya

Figure 57:

Beluga shipment events

Beluga had multiple connections to the FSU and Odessa Network. Phoenix Trans-Servis chartered the *Beluga Endurance* to deliver a large weapons shipment to Sudan/South Sudan (Event #15). Beluga also established a joint stock venture, Beluga Projects Logistics,²⁶² with an unnamed Russian transportation company to specialize in the Russian and Eastern European market.²⁶³ Beluga also worked closely with BBC (Briese); for example, the ship that moved centrifuges to Libya was owned by Beluga but chartered by BBC.²⁶⁴ Beluga and BBC (Briese) even signed a strategic alliance to coordinate business activities.²⁶⁵ In addition to these documented ties, Beluga employees had ample opportunity to form personal contacts with Odessa Network firms, seeing as they frequently attended the same Russian shipping trade conferences. For example, Beluga representatives Harry Kaegebein, Nikolai Mishkin, Sergey Ovsienko, and Olga Gulyaeva attended the 2010 Heavy Lift Russia Trade Conference, also attended by Odessa companies.²⁶⁶ Other guests of interests included representatives from BBC Chartering (i.e. Briese), Atomstroyexport (the Russia government's nuclear technology export firm), and a Libyan shipping firm.²⁶⁷

Spliethoff (Netherlands) and **Eckhoff** (Germany) provide another interesting example of EU companies' cooperation with the Odessa Network. Between 2005 and 2007, Venezuela signed \$4 billion worth of arms deals with Russia (Sukhoi fighter jets, combat helicopters, and small arms) and in 2010 received a \$2.2 billion Russia loan to buy S-300 SAMs, T-72 tanks, BMP-3 IFVs, BTR-80 APCs, Grad MRLs,²⁶⁸ and more,²⁶⁹ making it the single largest importer of Russian ground weapons in the world. Arms transfers of such magnitude required equally extensive logistical processes to physically move the weapons from Russia to Venezuela. In 2012 alone, four separate Kaalbye ships—*Socol 3*, *Socol 6*, *Ocean Voyager*, and *Ocean Fortune*—delivered Russian weapons to Venezuela. They were not alone: the Spliethoff ship *Sluisgracht* and Eckhoff-managed ship *Stade* accompanied Kaalbye in transporting weapons to Venezuela.²⁷⁰ Their behavior confirms our hypothesis about EU firms specializing in heavy lift: the *Sluisgracht* was responsible for transporting the T-72 tanks, presumably leaving the lighter cargo for Kaalbye. We lack information on the nature of Spliethoff and Eckhoff's connections to the Russian government or Odessa Network. One source names Spliethoff as a long-time partner of Rosoboronexport. This seems plausible, especially since Spliethoff appears to ship weapons for other countries as well (i.e. military transportation is a consistent business for them). At the same time *Sluisgracht* was in Venezuela in 2012, another Spliethoff ship, *Schippersgracht*, was delivering arms to Egypt on behalf of US Military Sealift Command.²⁷¹ We also believe *Sluisgracht* has some contacts with Kaalbye; the level of coordination required to move such large quantities of weapons in concert would seem to demand it.

Clipper Group is a Denmark-based shipping company that moved Ukrainian tanks in 2005 in cooperation with Nortrop.

SPLIETHOFF & ECKHOFF SHIPMENT EVENTS	
#26	Venezuela mega-shipment
#31	Tanks to Venezuela
#32	#Venezuela mega-shipment

Figure 58:

Spliethoff and Eckhoff shipment events

BALCHART, WESTBERG, FEMCO, NORTH-WESTERN SHIPPING COMPANY, ANRUSSTRANS (RUSSIA)
Highly Sensitive Shipments

Russian shipping companies play a surprisingly small role in the maritime export of FSU weapons. Our dataset shows only four arms transfers facilitated by Russian companies: three to Syria, and one to an unknown customer of sufficient interest that the US State Department formally complained about the transfer. Russian shipping companies have been linked to a number of other incidents, which while not ‘true’ FSU arms transfers and hence excluded from our dataset, are also interesting. This includes the transportation of weapons from Iran to Syria in 2009,^{viii} weapons of unknown nationality from Egypt to DRC in 2012, and the *Arctic Sea* incident in 2009, in which a Russian-operated ship was hijacked off the coast of Sweden, allegedly by Mossad in order to prevent it from delivering S-300 missiles to Iran.

These events all share two qualities. The first is that the customers and/or intermediary nations make these events highly illicit.^{ix} Syria, Iran, and the DRC are all under arms embargos and subject to intense scrutiny from Western governments. Strikingly, we can find no weapons transfers facilitated by a Russian shipping company that were *not* highly illicit (whereas the Ukrainian and EU elements of the Odessa Network have plenty of licit shipments to Vietnam, Venezuela, etc.). Similarly, the second common feature is that the Russian government is deeply involved. For example, Russian arms transfers to Assad during the heat of the Syrian civil war are far more politically motivated than ‘typical’ weapons sales, and thus imply more direct military, SVR, FSB, and GRU involvement. While the small sample size of shipments means that any analytical judgments are fairly low confidence, these factors lead to two tentative hypotheses. One, Russian shipping companies ‘specialize’ in illicit shipments, i.e. are more likely to be contracted by state weapons export agencies for this kind of shipment. Two, they have particularly strong connections to the Russian government, including through the Odessa Network. The remainder of this chapter profiles the Russian shipping companies known to facilitate arms transfers, and how they confirm or deny the above two hypotheses.

Balchart is a chartering company specializing in shipments throughout the Baltic region, with offices in Riga, Latvia,²⁷² Tallinn, Estonia²⁷³ and St. Petersburg, Russia. The company is managed by Russian national Andrei Shuklenkov, and Estonian nationals Evgenii Sklyarov and Aleksei Pestrikov.^x The list to the right contains all current or former Balchart employees publicly available.²⁷⁴ As Balchart is primarily a chartering company, not a ship owner or operator, it is fairly difficult to locate open-source records of the companies and ships they work with. The only result we could find was an international shipping registry listing Balchart Estonia as the manager of *Porhov*.²⁷⁵

Balchart’s connections to the Russian government and Odessa Network leaders are not so hard to find. The company’s website lists Rosoboronexport, Izhmash (a Russian Technologies-owned defense firm that is one of the largest small arms producers in the world), and IRISL as clients,²⁷⁶ and openly states the company’s specialty in military logistics. Balchart representatives have attended many of the major trade conventions at which senior

BALCHART SHIPMENT EVENTS
 #28 Munitions to Syria

Figure 59:
Balchart shipment events

Sergei Cherkasov	Aleksei Pestrikov
Igor Evstigneev	Nikolai Potiavin
Evgenii Gunchev	Tatiana Shimskaya
Oleg Katrechko	Andrei Shuklenkov
Aleksandr Lisitsky	Evgenii Sklyarov
Pavel Machalov	Konstantin Volanskis

Figure 60:
Balchart current and former Employees

viii. The MV Monchegorsk incident

ix. To be clear, we use the term ‘illicit’ to emphasize that the listed states are widely perceived as violating international norms, and sending weapons to them is highly controversial. Judging the exact legality of these arms transfers is beyond our purview.

x. Judging by name, ethnic Russians.

FEMCO SHIPMENT EVENTS
#37 Attack helicopters to Syria

Figure 61:

FEMCO shipment events

**NORTH-WESTERN
SHIPMENT EVENTS**
#35 Helicopter parts to Syria

Figure 62:

North-Western shipment events

**WESTBERG LTD.
SHIPMENT EVENTS**
#28 SALW and rockets to Syria

Figure 63:

Westberg Ltd. shipment events

Odessa Network personnel were present, giving them ample opportunity to establish or maintain contact. For example, Balchart representative Igor Yevstigneev attended the 2008 Novo International Shipbrokers and Shipowners Conference in Novorossiysk,²⁷⁷ also attended by Nikolai Melnikov and Artur Nitsevich (Interlegal), Victor Puyu (Kaalbye), as well as other interesting guests such as IRISL.²⁷⁸

FEMCO operated the *Alaed*,²⁷⁹ which moved weapons to Syria in 2012. According to the company's website, FEMCO provides marine management services for oil and natural gas development. FEMCO appears to be a contractor for Gazprom on the Barents Sea, and for the Sakhalin oil field under development by Rosneft. FEMCO is in fact a spinoff from Rosneft, of which it previously was a subsidiary.²⁸⁰ It goes without saying that these two companies are the archetype for "power vertical" politics and headed by United Russia apparatchiki such as Igor Sechin and Viktor Zubkov. FEMCO has performed military logistics tasks within Russia, for example shipping the bulkhead of a new Russian navy corvette from the construction yard to Komsomolsk-na-Amur for assembly.²⁸¹ In addition to these government ties, FEMCO also has direct business relationships with key Odessa Network firms. Varamar (a Varvarenko company) has operated and/or chartered the *Alaed*, the FEMCO-owned ship, implying a contractual relationship between the two.²⁸²

Northwestern Shipping Company was the owner and operator of the *Professor Katsman*, which delivered helicopter parts to Syria in 2012. Northwestern is a subsidiary of UCL, the holding company of oligarch Vladimir Lisin. Northwestern personnel have almost certainly met and interacted with other Odessa Network firms at various events attended by high-level personnel. For example, the then-Deputy Director of Northwestern Shipping, Aleksei Morozov, attended a 2009 trade conference in Odessa.²⁸³ Attendees included representatives from Phoenix Trans-Servis. Similarly, in 2011, Northwestern sent representatives Tatiana Morozova and Yulia Gorbunova to that year's conference; other attendees included Phoenix Trans-Servis, ABLV,^{xi} and other Odessa Network mainstays.

Westberg Ltd. was the owner and operator of the *Chariot*, which transported AK-47 and rocket launcher ammunition to Syria in January 2012. Registered in the Marshall Islands but operating out of St. Petersburg,²⁸⁴ Westberg has a complicated ownership structure of multiple subsidiaries and proxy companies, including Trolle Shipping (BVI), Midas Pete Ltd. (Belize), Goldwick Maritime Inc., and Valetta Holding Corp. According to the company's website and confirmed by Russian business records,²⁸⁵ Westberg is managed by Oleg Aleksandrovich Smolyan. Westberg is the owner of the *Myre Seadiver*, a ship used by Moran Security Group, a private maritime security company linked to the Odessa Network. This is covered in more detail in the following chapter.

xi. A Latvian bank used by various Odessa Network companies. See Chapter 9.

8 African Private Security Companies

SHIP AND CARGO PROTECTION

Odessa shipping companies appear to own or employ multiple private maritime security companies [PMSCs], including Moran Security Group, Muse Professional Group, Helicon Security, Changsuk Security Group, and Al Mina Security Group. These companies' business model revolves around staffing ships transiting dangerous areas (particularly the Gulf of Aden and Gulf of Guinea) with heavily armed FSU military veterans, who provide protection from pirates.²⁸⁶ Though hijacking is a threat for any ship-owner, it is particularly troubling when the cargo is sensitive military equipment, as happened with the 2008 *MV Faina* incident in which Somali pirates hijacked a Kaalbye ship carrying Ukrainian weapons to South Sudan.



Figure 64:
Moran Security

We believe the Odessa Network uses PMSCs as protection for its military cargos to avoid a repeat of this kind of event. Although we lack direct evidence of PMSC participation in Odessa Network weapons shipments—e.g. photos of PMSC personnel on a ship definitely carrying weapons—we judge that this is so. Logically, it is fair to assume if a group of companies specializing in weapons shipments has extensive connections with a group of companies specializing in guarding shipments, the guarding of weapons shipments is likely the basis for their cooperation. It seems highly improbable that the Odessa Network would not upgrade their security after the *Faina* incident; having their sensitive military cargo hijacked would demand some kind of security solution.

Moran Maritime Group is registered in Belize²⁸⁷ but based in Moscow.²⁸⁸ Moran is staffed primarily with Russian and Ukrainian military veterans, but also includes former intelligence officers. For example, company president Vyacheslav Kalashnikov is a St. Petersburg KGB and FSB veteran. Like many PMSCs, Moran operates its own ships, including both patrol craft and “floating armories” (ships carrying weapons and ammunition for the PMSC’s use).²⁸⁹ This practice has been heavily criticized by groups such as the UN Somalia Monitoring Group for its lack of transparency and safety, since these high-seas ships are not subject to shore-based regulations.²⁹⁰ One of Moran’s ships, *Myre Seadiver*, is owned and managed by Westberg,²⁹¹ the Russian company which also owns the *MV Chariot*, which shipped weapons to Syria and the DRC in 2012. Strengthening this hypothesis is a 2011 incident in which the *Chariot* was transiting the Arabian Sea and attacked by Somali pirates, who were repelled by Russian Special Forces veterans acting as guards for the ship.²⁹² It is impossible to say for certain that the *Chariot* was carrying weapons at the time, or that the guards aboard were from Moran, but since Westberg is a known weapons shipper and has a business relationship with Moran, it is worthy of further investigation. Interestingly, the Moran-operated *MV Myre Seadiver* was arrested in Nigeria in October 2012 in possession of significant quantities of small arms and ammunition.²⁹³

Another one of Moran’s clients is the German company Hansa Heavy Lift²⁹⁴ [HHL]. HHL is in fact just a ‘reboot’²⁹⁵ or rebranding²⁹⁶ of now-bankrupt Beluga Shipping, which previously was one of the most active European nodes of the Odessa Network. Two members of HHL’s four managing directors are Beluga veterans,²⁹⁷ and a high percentage of HHL’s fleet is inherited from Beluga.²⁹⁸ Beluga was responsible for shipments of A.Q. Khan centrifuges to Libya, anti-tank missiles to Myanmar, and tanks to South Sudan. The exact nature of Moran’s relationship with HHL, or what kind of cargo they are hired to protect, is unknown.

Muse Professional Group is another major PMSC with clear links to the Odessa Network. Headquartered out of Ukraine,²⁹⁹ and primarily employing Ukrainian veterans,³⁰⁰ Muse is run by U.S. citizen Charles Kuneff.³⁰¹ Muse reportedly was at one time affiliated with Varamar, but this relationship appears to be since terminated.³⁰² Muse has multiple affiliates, including Changsuk Security and Al Mina Security.³⁰³ Mr. Kuneff is also reported to own and run Helicon Security.^{304 305}

The fact that private security companies are linked to the Odessa Network is particularly interesting given recent developments in East Africa. Foreign observers,³⁰⁶ local media, and on-the-ground contacts report a growing number of Russian and Ukrainian private military security companies and arms dealers operating in war zones such as Somalia and

the DRC. FSU entities offer a wide range of services, acting as a one-stop shop for governments and militant groups alike to purchase weapons, mercenary services, trained pilots, and the like. Muse is certainly active in Somalia, where a SMG report lists it as guarding ships entering and leaving Bossaso Port.³⁰⁷ Muse also works with the Yemeni coast guard to contract its services out to the highest bidder.




Figure 65:
Private Maritime Security Companies

9 Latvia: Financial Services & Laundering

Russian and Ukrainian weapons are big business, both for the governments who export them and the Odessa Network companies contracted as transporters. Russia exported over \$17.6 billion of weapons in 2012 alone,³⁰⁸ with Ukraine coming in at \$1.3 billion.³⁰⁹ The Odessa Network company leaders facilitating these weapons flows clearly earn significant profits from doing so. They drive Mercedes and BMWs, vacation in the South of France, and have numerous real estate and business investments. For example, Kaalbye co-founder Boris Kogan is reputed to own multiple Odessa nightclubs,³¹⁰ while Tomex head Victor Murenko is a VIP customer at Odessa Alfa Bank.³¹¹ There is also evidence that some of these companies employ Latvian banks known or accused of money laundering and a series of Panamamian companies run by Latvian nationals who act as “proxy directors.”

The Odessa Network operates in a region of the world where financial crime is endemic, large-scale, and persistent. Precise numbers for the value of money laundering in FSU are of course nonexistent, but in 2001 the UN estimated Russia alone was laundering more than \$100 billion of “dirty money” every year.³¹² In 2012, the Bank of Russia estimated that a full 4% of Russia’s GDP was illicitly siphoned out of the country every year, and that 40% of all capital leaving Russia was in fact laundered.³¹³ The outgoing head of the Russian central bank, Sergei Ignatiev, recently gave a more conservative estimate of \$49–52 billion yearly.³¹⁴ Redistribution within Russia is also a problem: experts estimate that the total value of corruption in Russia, which ranks 133rd out of 176 on Transparency International’s Corruption Perceptions Index [TICPI],³¹⁵ is between 5 and 10% of GDP (\$94–\$190 billion).³¹⁶ Ukraine ranks even lower at 144th on the TICPI. It is important to understand that Russian and Ukrainian arms exports occur in this context of massive and systemic financial evasion.³¹⁷

There are also functional reasons why money laundering is useful in arms shipping, particularly in concealing illicit arms transfers. Although some of Russia and Ukraine’s weapons exports are perfectly licit (e.g. Vietnam, Venezuela, etc.), some are or were in violation of international sanctions (Sudan, Myanmar, Syria, etc.). The shipping companies and officials involved in such transfers would be wise to conceal their involvement for fear of public identification or legal retribution. Since the “money trail” is one of the most powerful and widely used ways to connect criminals to crimes, obscuring illicitly obtained finances via off-shore shell companies, proxy directors, and the like is a useful strategy for evasion.

Lastly, we argue that money laundering

... official figures from the Central Bank show that in 2011, 250,000 Russian firms paid no taxes and submitted annual reports reporting no business activities.

Simultaneously, the Central Bank found that more than 4 trillion rubles (\$130 billion) had been processed through the accounts of these inactive companies.

“That is almost half the budget of Russia in 2011,” he said. And that is just the official accounting

“Unofficially, we believe that of the 5 million firms registered in Russia, 3 million or even more are phantom companies, which don’t conduct any real commercial activities and are aimed only at money laundering.”

Figure 66:

Statement of Russian Official on Money Laundering

and corruption are built-in features of the Putin political system, and so we should expect military-industrial exporters to engage in it. As stated in **Chapter 5**, illicit redistribution of national wealth to regime stakeholders is how United Russia and the Party of Regions maintain stability, by making collaboration with the state more profitable than challenging it. By definition, money laundering is essential for such corrupt redistribution. It is the mechanism by which favored officials can steal tax revenue,³¹⁸ well-connected generals can sell military property to the highest bidder,³¹⁹ and trusted oligarchs can receive sweetheart deals on state assets. Money laundering also plays an important role in Russian foreign policy by bankrolling the Kremlin's ability to manipulate and influence countries of interest. A small but well-documented example would be the SVR funding the election of a pro-Russian candidate in a Latvian city mayoral election;³²⁰ the slush funds for such operations do not come about licitly. An official EU statement on the Magnitsky affair (**Figure 67**) summarizes this argument nicely.³²¹ If we accept this as true, then money laundering is an indispensable service for the Putinist system, and we should expect weapons export agencies and their contractors to engage in it.

All these factors lead us to hypothesize, even before examining specific data, that both the government owners of exported weapons and some Odessa transporters of exported weapons use financial institutions known or accused of money laundering.

FSU money laundering relies on proxy directors and shell companies. In this scheme, companies or people wishing to remain anonymous recruit people with no business qualifications to lend their names as directors to businesses located in offshore havens like Panama, allowing the “real” owners to conduct business with near total anonymity. One shell company can then be named as the director of yet another new company, creating a daisy chain of shell companies facilitating anonymous financial transactions and obscuring ownership.³²² A group of Latvian nationals (most notably Erik Vanagels and Stan Gorin) are among the most prolific proxy directors.³²³ Their identities have been used by Ireland and BVI-based International Offshore Services to set up thousands of companies responsible for a wide range of crimes. A non-exhaustive list of examples includes a Kazakh official laundering \$5 billion of money through the UK,³²⁴ a \$150 million kickback scheme involving a Ukrainian government contract to procure oil rigs,³²⁵ money laundering for the Sinaloa Cartel and Vietnamese mafia,³²⁶ and procurement of North Korean weapons for Iran.³²⁷

The Odessa Network also is an active user of Latvian proxies. For example, the companies owning the *MV Faina* which transported weapons to South Sudan are all Vanagels-Gorin shells. The ship's manager Almar Shipping is directed by Williston Line, which is directed by Williston Impex Corp, which is directed by Integri SA, a Gorin-Vanagels Panamamian shell company used to register thousands of other shells. The ship's owners, Tomex Team and Waterlux AG, similarly can be traced back to Cascado AG and Systemo AG, two more of Gorin and Vanagel's preferred shell registrars.³²⁸ Kaalbye Agency Services Ltd., Kaalbye Logistics International, and Kaalbye Yachts all were established by International Offshore Services and/or directed by Vanagels-Gorin affiliates.

Some Odessa Network entities also has strong ties to Latvian banks known or accused of laundering money. A financial hub even during Soviet times, Latvia has multiple advantages which have made it a global hub of money laundering, particularly for FSU countries (above all, Russia). Latvia is neighbors with Russia and has regular flights to FSU cities like Baku and Astana. It has a large ethnic Russian population, and the Russian language (the

“Russia has a huge parallel budget, involving massive black funds used for stabilizing and expanding the elite’s power, in Russia and beyond, but especially in the territory of the former Soviet Union... such a parallel budget needs to be fed.”

Figure 67:
EU Statement

lingua franca of FSU) is widely spoken. Most importantly, it is a member of the EU, and so money cleaned through Latvia can readily be transferred into safe havens in Zurich or London. Over half of the \$25 billion held in Latvian banks is held by foreign depositors; the IMF estimates FSU entities account for 90% of this.³²⁹ The US Treasury blacklisted two Latvian banks in 2005 under the Patriot Act for the role their money laundering services played in terrorist financing.³³⁰ The EU, IMF,³³¹ and Council of Europe³³² (among others) have all expressed their concern about Latvian money laundering, with the Magnitsky affair only increasing the level of interest. In the following section, we explore several Latvian banks which the Odessa Network companies may use to launder profits from weapons shipments.

ABL V BANK

ABL V Bank is one of Latvia's largest financial institutions, a known money launderer, and closely connected to the Odessa Network. ABL V is the largest Latvian-owned bank by assets,³³³ and holds the largest amount of non-resident deposits of any bank.³³⁴ 40% of its clients are Russian.³³⁵ ABL V has been recently linked to two high-profile cases of money laundering. Then known as Aizkraules, ABL V was one of the six banks through which illicit money in the Magnitsky case was laundered (along with Baltic International Bank, Trasta Kommercbank, Rietumu Bank,^{xii} Baltic Trust Bank, and PrivatBank).³³⁶ In addition, the anti-corruption group Global Witness accused ABL V in August 2012 of laundering roughly \$30 million out of Kyrgyzstan.³³⁷

ABL V Bank has been a financial supporter of "Maritime Days in Odessa" from its inception,³³⁸ and has sent senior executives to the event multiple times, often as keynote speakers. They have done the same for other conferences heavily attended by the Odessa Network firms. For example, ABL V Head of the Regional Development Department Kazimir Rezvy and Head of the Private Bankers Department Igor Shlivko both made the trip from Riga to Odessa for the 2011 "Practice of Maritime Business 2011" conference in Odessa, attended by representatives from Phoenix Trans-Servis, North-Western Shipping, and others.³³⁹

We infer that this is evidence for a financial relationship between ABL V and some Odessa Network shipping firms, and a personal relationship between senior ABL V personnel and some Odessa Network leaders. Strengthening this inference is data directly indicating that some of these com-



Figure 68:
ABL V sponsorship of Odessa event




Figure 69:
Waterlux AG court documents

xii. Rietumu Bank also attended some of the Odessa Network-sponsored events, similar to ABL V.

panies use ABLV bank. The below document is from a 2009 US court case involving Waterlux AG and Phoenix Trans-Servis (owner and manager of the Faina, and closely tied to Kaalbye) show one of their business partners using "Aizkraukles Bank."³⁴⁰ This was the previous name of ABLV.³⁴¹

REGIONAL INVESTMENT BANK JSG


Documents from a 2009 court case³⁴² show that some elements of the Odessa Network use Regional Investment Bank JSG. Again, this Latvian bank has a track record of being used for money laundering; a US Securities and Exchange Commission filing indicates it as the destination for money a \$11 million Ponzi scheme.³⁴³ As the below documents show, Waterlux AG and Phoenix Trans-Servis (the owner and manager of the weapons ship *Faina*, and closely linked to Kaalbye) both appear to have regularly used Regional Investment Bank JSG.

OT: _____ TEXT: _____ 26.09.2008 14:49 CTP1

"WATERLUX AG"
50 th Street, Global Plaza Tower, 19 th Floor, Suite H,
Panama City, Panama

COMMERCIAL INVOICE
Invoice No: WAT 260508
Invoice Date: 26.09.2008
To: Amroc Carriere Corp., USA

DESCRIPTION	UNIT, pcs	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE, USD	AMOUNT, USD
MY "FAINA"				
Flight Detorce - Tripoli - Algiers - Arzew				305 000.00
11.05.08				
Less brokerage 2.5%				7 635.00
Fresh water supply 12 mt	mt	72	9.50	684.00
Total:				298 049.00

Details of payment
"WATERLUX AG"
50 th Street, Global Plaza Tower, 19 th Floor, Suite H,
Panama City, Panama
Intermediary bank:
Raiffeisen Zentralbank
Osterreich AG (Vienna, Austria)
SWIFT code: RZBAATWW
Acc. Nr. 70-55-005-965
Beneficiary's Bank
Regional Investment Bank JSC
RIGA, LATVIA
SWIFT code:
RIBRLV22
Beneficiary's Acc:
LV63RIB00034670N0000
AS FOR "WATERLUX AG"


----- Instance Type and Transmission -----
Notification (Transmission) of Original sent to SWIFT (ACK)
Network Delivery status : Network Ack
Priority/Delivery : Normal
Message Input Reference : 1532 080604RIBRLV22A0000529308564
----- Message Header -----
Swift Input : FIN 103.STP Single customer credit


Transfer
Sender : RIBRLV2200X
REGIONALA INVESTICIJU BANKA
RIGA LV
Receiver : RZBAATWW0X
RAIFFEISEN ZENTRALBANK OESTERREICH AG
VIENNA AT
20: Sender's Reference - Message Text
200806040500043
23a: Bank operation code
CRED
32a: Val Dte/Curr/Interbnk Sett/Id Amt
DATE : 04.09.2008
CURRENCY : USD (US DOLLAR)
AMOUNT : 9428,000.#
33a: Currency/Instructed Amount
CURRENCY : USD (US DOLLAR)
AMOUNT : 9428,000.#
50F: Ordering customer - ID
/LV63RIB00034670N0000
1: WATERLUX AG
2/50TH STR., GLOBAL PLAZA TOWER, 19T
2/N FLOOR, SUITE H,
3/P.O. PANAMA CITY
56A: Intermediary Institution - BIC
HNSR33XXX
HSDC BANK USA, N.A.
NEW YORK, NY, US
57A: Account with institution - BIC
HSDC33XXX
HSDC BANK PLC
CALL GREEK BRANCHES)
ATHENS GR
59: Beneficiary customer - Name & Addr
/GR6307100000000011697071
IRAPALA NAVIGATION LTD
GREECE
70: Remittance Information
PAYMENT FOR FREIGHT MY GEROT SHIPKI
ILLICHOVSK-TARTUS-ILLICHOVSK INV. 3
71 DA. 29.09.08
73A: Details of Charges
OUR
----- Message Trailer -----
(MAC:026FC84)
(CHK:720FA3E36F)

Figure 70:
Waterlux AG court documents continued

"WATERLUX AG"
50 th Street, Global Plaza Tower, 19 th Floor, Suite H,
Panama City, Panama

COMMERCIAL INVOICE
Invoice No: WAT 090208
Invoice Date: 09.09.2008
To: Atlas Ustulararasi Naklyat Proje Tasinaciligi Sanayi Ve Dige Ticaret Limited Sirketi,
Egiltim Mahallesi, Kasap Ismail Sokak, Seftlikoglu Plaza 5 Kat. 1 No 33, Kadikoy, TR-Istanbul, Turkey

DESCRIPTION	UNIT, pcs	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE, USD	AMOUNT, USD
MY "FAINA"				
Detorca, Turkey -- Tripoli, Lebanon / Algiers, Agjer / Arzew, Algeria, on May 11 - June 12, 2008.				77 806.70
Total:				77 806.70

Details of payment
"WATERLUX AG"
50 th Street, Global Plaza Tower, 19 th Floor, Suite H,
Panama City, Panama
Intermediary bank:
Raiffeisen Zentralbank
Osterreich AG (Vienna, Austria)
SWIFT code: RZBAATWW
Acc. Nr. 70-55-005-965
Beneficiary's Bank
Regional Investment Bank JSC
RIGA, LATVIA
SWIFT code:
RIBRLV22
Beneficiary's Acc:
LV63RIB00034670N0000
AS FOR "WATERLUX AG"


10 The Odessa Network: Overview

The quantity and complexity of inter-connected entities in this investigation have thus far compelled us to divide them into location-function clusters (e.g. Odessa—Logistics Integration, Oktyabrsk-Port of Origin, etc.) and examine one by one. Though this allows granular analysis, it risks losing the forest for the trees. In this section, we present a more abstract overview of the network.

One of the preliminary insights from the weapons shipment dataset was that a relatively small amount of facilitators accounted for a high percentage of Russian and Ukrainian arms transfers, with many ‘repeat offenders.’ Our subsequent analysis discovered that many of these facilitators possess personal and financial ties with each other. Using this information to re-examine our dataset and compare the number of weapons shipments carried out by Odessa Network facilitators versus the number carried out by non-connected facilitators reveals that the Odessa Network as a whole

is responsible for the majority of Russian and Ukrainian seaborne arms transfers in our dataset. Out of all shipments for which the facilitator is known, all but six are attributable to the Odessa Network. Eide Marine is the only facilitator in our dataset without clear personal and financial ties to other facilitators and Russian or Ukrainian government officials.^{xiii}

The fact that such a high percentage of FSU arms transfers are facilitated by the Odessa Network implies that the common equipment, procedures, and locations used by these facilitators serve as a detectable ‘signature’ of Russian or Ukrainian arms shipments. In more practical terms, if we know that a few linked companies account for the majority of arms transfers, and these companies follow predictable patterns of ships, personnel, ports, and more, we should be able to recognize these patterns and identify possible arms shipments ahead of time.




Figure 71:
Number of weapons shipments by facilitator

xiii. As previously discussed, Eide Marine is a unique case. It is one of the few companies in the world that possesses huge submersible-bay barges capable of transporting warships and military submarines. The fact that it offers such a specialized and rare service means in some sense it does not ‘need’ connections to get contracts; if a government needs to move a 250 foot long, 30 foot wide submarine between continents, Eide is one of very few options.

11 Case Study: Syria

The main value of this research lies in prediction. We have identified the companies, facilities, and processes through which Russia and Ukraine have exported weapons by sea to foreign customers in the past. Under the assumption that past behavior is indicative of future behavior, we should be able to make better guesses about where FSU weapons are flowing by looking for the patterns of weapons shipments uncovered by our analysis. In practical terms, this means ships owned by companies that have moved weapons in the past, are loading cargo at Oktyabrsk, and are delivering it to a state known to purchase Russian or Ukrainian weapons, are more likely to be transporting Russian or Ukrainian weapons to that state than a ship not matching these criteria.

Syria provides a compelling case study for this approach. There is no doubt that Russia is supplying Assad, but the extent of arms transfers is less well known, at least in the public sphere. Multiple ships—the *Professor Katsman*, *Chariot*, and *Alaed*, among others—have been interdicted carrying Russian weapons to Syria, as have aircraft such as the Syrian Airbus 320 intercepted over Turkey. These few cases where Russia has been publicly “caught” are likely indicative of a larger trade. Assad-controlled Syria cannot domestically produce enough ammunition, military equipment, diesel fuel, etc. to sustain a two-year-long conventional war. Large-scale Russian (and Iranian) support is the only logical explanation for how it has been able to do so. Identifying and closing the avenues by which the Kremlin is arming Assad with everything from tank parts to missiles is a possible tactic for reducing this support and weakening Assad.

By obtaining AIS transponder records for all ports in Syria, Ukraine, and Russia between 1 January 2012 and 30 June 2013, we constructed a near-complete log of commercial maritime traffic entering or exiting ports in these countries over the given timeframe, complete with exact date, time, and location. Using the unique IMOID identifier for each ship, we cross-referenced the port call dataset against ship registry records, allowing us to determine ship owner, manager, tonnage, flag, etc. Given the byzantine financial and organizational arrangements used by the Odessa Network, this entailed significant investigative research into identifying the ownership structure of shell companies; this was accomplished by using Palantir to integrate diverse datasets drawn from sources such as Ukrainian court records, SWIFT transaction receipts, Russian business directories, international shipping registrars, and more. Once this combined database was complete, we searched it for the “signature” of FSU arms shipments discovered through our analysis: ships owned or operated by companies with a track record of transporting Russian and Ukrainian weapons, transiting from Oktyabrsk, St. Petersburg, or Kaliningrad to Syrian ports such as Tartus, Al Ladaqiya, and Baniyas. We added one more possible selection criteria of “AIS discrepancy.” Ships can turn off their transponders, broadcast a false name or IMOID or MMSI, or even “spoof” their signals to appear as if they are in an entirely different location or are an entirely different ship than they actually are. Past Russian weapon shipments to Syria such as the *Katsman* have turned their AIS transponders off, and Iranian vessels routinely spoof their signals.^{344 345} The International Maritime Organization publishes lists of ships that are detected with these discrepancies, which we included in our database. Putting this all together, we identified shipment events that match patterns of ownership and behavior seen in past Russian weapons shipments.

ODESSA NETWORK AND SYRIA

Kaalbye is the single most active shipper of Russian and Ukrainian weapons; it also actively transports unknown cargo between Oktyabrsk, St. Petersburg, and Tartus. The Kaalbye-owned *Ocean Voyager* entered St. Petersburg on 13 January 2012, where it remained for two days. It then left, and arrived in Tartus on 3 February 2012. After completing this shipment, it transited to Oktyabrsk, loaded cargo, and delivered Russian weapons to Venezuela as part of a multi-ship convoy completing a \$4 billion arms transfer that included S-300 missiles. *Ocean Voyager* made many other port calls in Oktyabrsk in 2012, including 22 May, 28 May, 13 October, and 14 November. The same is true for all of Kaalbye’s other ships; literally their entire fleet transported cargo from Oktyabrsk to points abroad in 2012 and

2013, often multiple times. These ships include *LS Aizenshtat*, *Ocean Fortune*, *Ocean Winner*, *Ocean Force*, *Ocean Energy*, and *Ocean Power*.

Many of Kaalbye's 2013 port calls at Oktyabrsk are followed by long periods with its ships missing from AIS coverage. These ships call in Oktyabrsk, are detected transiting through the Bosphorus into the Mediterranean by Turkish AIS receivers, and then go "off the grid" for weeks or even months. This is not inherently criminal, as AIS coverage is imperfect; ships are often undetectable when on the high seas, far away from land-based AIS receivers, and many under-developed ports lack adequate AIS infrastructure. Yet these areas are relatively few. Virtually all of Europe, North and South America, Russia, China, Japan, Korea, Australia, and much of the Middle East and North Africa are covered by commercial AIS services. The fact that so many of Kaalbye's destinations after leaving Oktyabrsk are not detected on AIS means either they are docking at areas with poor AIS coverage, or are deliberately turning off their AIS transponders to avoid detection. Both of these conditions apply to Syria; AIS data is difficult to come by,^{xiv} and many of the known Russian and Iranian weapons shipments (such as *Katsman* and *Chariot*) have turned off or spoofed their AIS transponders when approaching Syria to avoid detection.

This pattern of Kaalbye ships docking at Oktyabrsk, entering the Mediterranean, then disappearing from AIS coverage has been most prevalent during periods of heavy Russian military aid to Syria. Russia allegedly surged heavy weapons shipments to Syria in Spring 2013, a period during which the majority of the Kaalbye heavy lift and ro-ro fleet loaded cargo at Oktyabrsk, entered the Mediterranean, and then disappeared for weeks on end. These voyages fall into three categories: innocuous voyages, where the transit times and distances line up, and gaps in coverage coincide with long periods of high seas transit; moderately suspicious voyages, where transit times indicate major delays or diversions, but could be offset by high seas transit; and highly suspicious voyages, where transit times and distances indicate major delays or diversions not sufficiently explainable by high seas transit (i.e. missing AIS records either outbound, inbound, or at destination ports).

Innocuous voyages are explainable as simply taking place on the high seas, away from land-based AIS receivers, within average timeframes for transit: *Ocean Power* loaded at Oktyabrsk 27 May–8 June, passed through the Bosphorus a few days later, and was off the grid until 2 July, when it reappeared in Curacao. The approximate travel time for a ro-ro transiting Istanbul—Curacao at an industry-standard average of 13 knots is 32 days, suggesting Kaalbye's ships may even operate at higher than industry-standard speeds.

Moderately suspicious voyages where the transit time indicates a major diversion or delay and a blackout period possibly explainable by high seas transit include *Ocean Winner*, which loaded at Oktyabrsk 17–24 March, passed through the Bosphorus 27 March, the Aegean 29 March, and disappeared until 10 May, when it reappeared in Wilmington, North Carolina. The approximate travel time for a heavy-lift ship transiting Istanbul—Wilmington at *Ocean Winner's* average of 8 knots is 28 days, meaning it spent 17 more days at sea than necessary to complete that voyage. However, the ship's final March positions show it heading west from the Aegean, so it is unlikely to have diverted to Syria or the Eastern Mediterranean.

The remaining Kaalbye voyages are highly suspicious. *Ocean Fortune* loaded at Oktyabrsk 1–5 January, broadcast its last position heading southeast out of the Aegean on 9 January, and then reappeared outside the Eastern Mediterranean, reentering through the Suez Canal, on 7 March. Possible final destinations include India, although that trip should only take approximately 13 days at the ship's average speed of 11 knots. The *Ocean Voyager* shows a similar gap, but its last positions were in the Western Mediterranean, meaning it likely did not obscure movements to Syria. Both ships have only one other gap in their AIS records, Spring 2012, when they were in Venezuela delivering heavy weapons.

xiv. Many of the major commercial AIS vendors lacked information on Syria; we leveraged AIS "Destination" data from smaller EU and FSU AIS providers, who maintain land-based receivers in the Eastern Mediterranean.

xv. Average travel times and speeds calculated using the Transit Time/Distance Calculator at <http://www.searates.com/reference/portdistance/>.

Kaalbye was not the only Odessa Network company delivering unknown cargo to Syria in 2012 and 2013:

- Almar is a known weapons transporter, having been involved in the 2009 Faina incident, and is tied to Vadim Alperin, who has multiple business contacts in Syria. Almar manages a ship called the *Aviva*. The *Aviva* entered Odessa on 2 May 2012, and left for Tartus on 14 May 2012. It arrived in Syria on 21 May 2012. *Aviva* also loaded cargo at Novorossiysk, departed on 13 March 2013, and arrived in Tartus on either 22 or 29 March. Again, this corresponds with the surge in Russian weapons deliveries to Syria in Spring 2013.
- Ukrainian Danube Shipping Company, which was the ship owner and operator for a delivery of Ukrainian weapons to South Sudan in 2007, also owns the *Viano do Castelo* and *Tatarbunary*. These ships delivered or loaded some unknown cargo in Tartus on 22 February 2012 and 12 July 2012, respectively. *Viano do Castelo* has made many other port calls in Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev, including 21 May 2012, 28 May 2012, and 24 December 2012.
- The German company Briese is one of the most active European nodes of the Odessa Network. It owns and operates *Martin*, which was previously used to deliver Ukrainian weapons to South Sudan in 2007. The *Martin* docked in Oktyabrsk on 27 June 2012, left, and arrived in Tartus on 4 July 2012, where it delivered and/or loaded some unknown cargo. Briese also owns *Bonacieux*, which delivered or unloaded unknown cargo in Al Ladaqiyah, Syria on 13 March 2012. Finally, Briese also owns *Wybelsum*, which entered Al Ladaqiyah on 29 December 2012, 6 January 2013, 13 January 2013, and 20 January 2013, respectively.
- Inok NV was involved in shipping Russian weapons to Syria aboard the *Professor Katsman*, which it managed, and it has political connections to Kremlin. This was not Inok's first trip to Syria: it owns and operates the *Pola Atlantic*, which docked in Tartus between 22 April 2012 and 28 April 2012 (before the *Katsman*).
- Eckhoff Reederei was the ship manager for a shipment of Russian weapons to Venezuela in early 2012. Eckhoff Reederei also manages the *OXL Emir*, which docked in Tartus on 2 May 2012 and then arrived in St. Petersburg on 30 May 2012.
- Solchart Archangelsk was the owner and operator of the *Arctic Sea*, widely suspected of attempting to transport S-300s to Iran in 2009. Solchart also is the owner and operator of *Arctic Sky*, which has made multiple trips from Kaliningrad to Syria, the exact path by which known weapons shipments have traversed. *Arctic Sky* left Kaliningrad on 14 April 2012, and arrived in Tartus on 12 May 2012. It returned to Kaliningrad on 8 August 2012, and then again transited to Tartus between 14 and 21 October. *Arctic Sky* also made multiple stops in Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev.
- Dutch firm Spliethoff has been linked to multiple Russian weapons shipments in the past. Spliethoff owns and operates *Artisgracht*, which docked in Al Ladaqiyah on 12 March 2012.

While it is impossible to say using only open source and commercial data exactly what cargo was contained in each of the above shipments, the key takeaway is that in 2012 and 2013 many ships from the Odessa Network left from known ports of origin for Russian weapons shipments and went directly to Syria or embarked on voyages that only make sense if large portions of their movements were obscured. The evidence (i.e. Syrian port calls by Odessa Network-linked ships, AIS discrepancies coinciding with known Russian seaborne arms shipments) presents a strong circumstantial case that these ships and companies are moving weapons or other sensitive cargo to the Assad regime, which is bolstered by the fact that most of the interdicted and publicly reported Russian arms shipments were also carried out by members of this network.

ALTERNATIVE HYPOTHESIS: SYRIAN SHIPPING COMPANIES

An assumption of this paper has been that when the governments of Russia or Ukraine sell weapons abroad, they (not the purchaser) coordinate the transportation of said weapons, most often through the Odessa Network. While we believe this is the case for the vast majority of arms transfers, it is plausible that in some cases the purchasing country itself

would handle logistics. The two are not mutually exclusive: it could be that both Russia or Ukraine and the purchasing country handle different sub-sections of an overall arms transfer, perhaps with Kremlin-linked FSU facilitators handling the most high-value (in both the military and financial senses) weapons, systems, and ‘native’ facilitators from the purchasing country handling the lower value weapons.

If we suppose this ‘mixed’ hypothesis (some FSU facilitators, some purchasing country facilitators) is true, and apply this to Syria, we should expect to find Syrian shipping companies transporting weapons from Oktyabrsk and/or St. Petersburg to Syria. While we have no data confirming the presence of weapons onboard, our AIS records show a steady stream of ships owned by Syrian companies (often relocated to intermediary countries such as Lebanon or Egypt) transiting between Oktyabrsk and Tartus. In some cases these companies are known partners of Odessa Network facilitators. For example, Mamari Shipping, a Syrian company which has conducted business with Kaalbye in the past, owns the *Lady Nour*. This ship left Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev on 21 November 2012, and entered Tartus on 14 December 2012.

The following table shows all ships which docked in both Oktyabrsk/Nikolaev and Syrian ports (Tartus, Al Ladaqiyah, and Baniyas) in 2012, along with the name and nationality of the ship owner and manager. In many cases, the nationality and base of operations reported to registrars is a cover; many of the Egyptian, Lebanese, etc. shipping companies listed below are in fact Syrian. The table is sorted by combined port calls. For example, a ship that docked in Oktyabrsk once and Tartus once would have two total. We use this as an imperfect but useful measure of the overall Oktyabrsk-Tartus (or vice versa) trade facilitated by the ship.

What leaps off the page is that Syrian companies comprised most of the Oktyabrsk-Syria traffic in 2012. Again, we stress that we cannot say with certainty that there were weapons aboard these ships. Russia and Ukraine are major grain exporters,³⁴⁶ and Syria (whose domestic production has been hit hard by the ongoing conflict) is a net importer.³⁴⁷ This trade could explain some percentage of the overall Oktyabrsk-Syria traffic.

Interestingly, however, ships owned or operated by companies based in Syria or common intermediary countries (Lebanon and Egypt) made up a higher percentage of traffic at Oktyabrsk in 2012 as compared to other, busier, Ukrainian ports such as Odessa.^{xv} The percentage of Syrian traffic at Oktyabrsk is significantly higher even compared to Nikolaev, just a few kilometers up the Bug River. In addition, bulk grain carriers are in fact ideal for shipping large quantities of small arms; for example, court documents from the 2007 US trial of legendary Syrian arms dealer Monzer al-Kassar show he intended to use a grain carrier, *Anastasia*, to move thousands of assault rifles and grenades to the FARC.³⁴⁸ It is of course possible that there is no correlation between an abnormally high percentage of Syrian ships loading cargo at a port that is the epicenter of Russian arms exports and then travelling to Tartus, and the continued flow of Russian weapons into Syria.

Figure 72:
Syria-Oktyabrsk maritime traffic, 2012

SHIP NAME	IMO ID	PORT CALLS IN 2012			SHIP MANAGER	COUNTRY*
		SYRIA	NIK/OKT	COMBINED		
<i>MINO G</i>	7725697	14	12	26	Yass Marine Co Ltd	Lebanon & Syria
<i>C. R. TANGER</i>	7722621	20	1	21	Melody Shipping Co	Greece, Turkey
<i>JAMIL G</i>	7725673	12	9	21	Yass Marine Co Ltd	Lebanon & Syria
<i>ABBOUD-G</i>	7821635	8	11	19	Yass Marine Co Ltd	Lebanon & Syria
<i>ISMAEL MEHIEDDINE</i>	7616171	10	5	15	MTM United Co Sal	Lebanon & Syria
<i>KOPALNIA BORYNIA</i>	8701911	10	4	14	Yass Marine Co Ltd	Lebanon & Syria
<i>TRUSTWORTHY</i>	7713876	7	6	13	Realistic Stand Shipping SA	Panama, Syria
<i>FAST CHALLENGER</i>	7725130	8	4	12	Levant Shipping and Technical	France, Lebanon, Egypt
<i>HAROUN</i>	7211373	11	1	12	Gate Marine Co Ltd	Lebanon & Syria

* Refers to where company is based

xvi. The overall percentage is still quite low (< 11%), but it is higher than that found at other Ukrainian ports (< 4%). Of course, this could be an artifact of Oktyabrsk being a smaller sample size than, say, Odessa.

THE ODESSA NETWORK

SHIP NAME	IMOID	PORT CALLS IN 2012			SHIP MANAGER	COUNTRY
		SYRIA	NIK/OKT	COMBINED		
OCEANIC STAR	7818353	8	4	12	UFC Ship Management Co Ltd	Syria
RASHA B	8113140	7	5	12	Rasha Shipping Ltd	Lebanon & Syria
EAGLE	7521170	8	3	11	Abdul Rahman Othman Ismail Co	Syria
KOPALNIA HALEMBA	8701935	8	3	11	BNY Shipping Ltd	Marshall Islands
LADY RIMA	7908782	3	8	11	Mercator Marine Ltd	Marshall Islands, UK
ANA MARIA D	8418253	8	2	10	GMZ Ship Management Co SA	Lebanon
FINIKIA	9385233	9	1	10	Syrian General Authority	Syria
LADY DINA M	8110411	7	3	10	Hind Maritime Enterprises SA	Greece, Lebanon, Syria
LADY NADA	8029507	4	6	10	Hind Maritime Enterprises SA	Greece, Lebanon, Syria
MARWAN. A	8408648	6	4	10	Ismail Maritime Co	Syria
PALMYRA I	7501819	7	3	10	Rayan Maritime Services	Syria
ABDOUL RAHMAN B	8028682	8	1	9	Buzzard Marine SA	Lebanon, Syria, UK
ADI I	7623100	6	3	9	Sigma Grains Ltd-Leb	Lebanon, Cyprus
LAODICEA	9274343	6	3	9	Syrian General Authority	Syria
PARIS Y	7433426	4	5	9	Eastern Shipping Co Ltd	Lebanon, US, Germany, China
SURAYA-Y	8023319	5	4	9	Indicator Marine Co Ltd	Egypt, Syria
ABDUL B	7920259	6	2	8	Bayazid Shipping Co.	Lebanon, Syria
AHMED JUNIOR I	8222056	3	5	8	Rayah Maritime Services Group	Lebanon & Syria
CARGO ENTERPRISE	7626396	4	4	8	Midtrade Sal	Lebanon
DREAM TRUE	8126824	4	4	8	Rayah Maritime Services Group	Lebanon & Syria
JINAN	8322844	1	7	8	Al Jinan Operation Co	Syria
KHALDOUN S	8907577	6	2	8	Brave Sea Trading Maritime	Turkey
LADY LEEN	8503840	4	4	8	Transocean Services SRL	Romania
NADA Y	7505190	4	4	8	JLS Marine Co	Jordan, Syria
RAKAN M	7341685	7	1	8	IMS Hellenic Co	Greece
RAND	7713266	4	4	8	Nejem Co Marine Services	Egypt, Syria
RASHA STAR	7516448	7	1	8	MCD Shipping SA	Turkey
M/V MASTER ISMAIL	8202056	3	4	7	MTM United Co SAL	Lebanon
MV PIRIREIS	7916727	2	5	7	Emiroglu Deniz Nakliyat	Turkey
NELSON	8009416	2	5	7	Transit Denizcilik Nakliyat	Turkey
SOURIA	9274331	6	1	7	Syrian General Authority	Syria
ZARA	8206284	4	3	7	Rasha Shipping Ltd	Lebanon & Syria
AMINA H	7505217	4	2	6	Arados Shipping Co SRL	Romania
CAPTAIN ISMAEL	7607742	5	1	6	MTM United Co SAL	Lebanon
GHADA D	7715989	3	3	6	Barhoum Maritime Co	Lebanon & Syria
GHANIA	7424229	5	1	6	Faros Shipping Co SARL	Lebanon
HASSAN D	7610270	3	3	6	Barhoum Maritime Co	Lebanon & Syria
HUZUR I	7720702	2	4	6	Emiroglu Deniz Nakliyat	Turkey
LADY HIND	9114464	2	4	6	Hind Maritime Enterprises SA	Greece, Lebanon, Syria
M/T TAMGOUT	9120425	2	4	6	NOLIS-SPA	Algeria
M/V ASRA-Y	7433347	2	4	6	Gate Marine Co Ltd	Lebanon & Syria
RAZAN STAR	7720910	4	2	6	Nejem Co Marine Services	Egypt, Syria
SHEHAB ALMUHIEDDINE	8316364	5	1	6	Judi Group JKM	Lebanon & Syria
VEYSEL VARDAL	9079303	4	2	6	Veysel Vardal Gemicilik	Turkey
AMAR MUHIEDDINE	8413942	3	2	5	Judi Group JKM	Lebanon & Syria
ASCO B	7360734	4	1	5	Yass Marine Co Ltd	Lebanon & Syria
BALTIMAR ORION	8812875	4	1	5	Nereide Marine SA	Greece
CASSINI	7915230	2	3	5	RPTD sold undisclosed interest	
CRYSTAL.MOON	8000305	4	1	5	Gold East Logistics Co	Egypt
FATIH SULTAN MEHMET	8308874	4	1	5	Emiroglu Deniz Nakliyat	Turkey
HIBA.K	8322179	4	1	5	ISM Group Inc.	Lebanon & Syria
LIBRA	8122610	4	1	5	Terra Navis Shipping Ltd	Greece

THE ODESSA NETWORK

SHIP NAME	IMO ID	PORT CALLS IN 2012			SHIP MANAGER	COUNTRY
		SYRIA	NIK/OKT	COMBINED		
MASHTA AZZAR	8414283	4	1	5	Jomarine Shipping and Trading Co.	Jordan, Syria
OCEAN VOYAGER	9111216	1	4	5	Kaalbye Shipping Ukraine KSU	Ukraine
PRINCESS MARIA	8212087	1	4	5	Arados Shipping Co. SRL	Romania (See Note)
SOMAYA	8116960	3	2	5	Mallah Ship Management Co. Ltd	Greece, UK?
VENUS	7942441	3	1	4	Zahra Maritime Services Co.	Lebanon, Syria
AL YOUSSEF	8005549	1	3	4	Delmar Logistics Ltd.	Lebanon
ARCTIC SKY	9111242	2	2	4	Solchart Ltd. OY	Finland
BOSPHORUS QUEEN	8517384	2	2	4	Kalamis Shipping Industry	Greece
FT QUINTO	9447304	2	2	4	Furtrans Denizcilik	Turkey
HARISSA	7530418	2	2	4	Ismail Maritime Co.	Syria
JUDI ALAMAR	8222018	2	2	4	Judi Group JKM	Lebanon, Syria
M/T EMRE T	9286475	1	3	4	Transpasifik Denizcilik	Turkey
MALMO	8116738	2	2	4	IMS Greece Co.	Turkey
MEGA STAR	7729198	2	2	4	ISM Group Ltd.	Syria
M-T PAZAR	8871003	1	3	4	Granmar Denizcilik	Turkey
NAZLI N	7929619	3	1	4	GMZ Ship Management Co SA	Lebanon
SEA AMORE	8319524	2	2	4	MCD Shipping SA	Turkey, Panama
AK ABBA	8315217	2	1	3	Zeytin Denizcilik Gemi	Turkey
AZIZEH F	7611523	2	1	3	UFC Ship Management Co. Ltd.	Syria
BLACK SEA GLORY	7826491	2	1	3	Arados Shipping Co. SRL (since 8/12)	Romania, Syria
CHARLY	7721952	2	1	3	GMZ Ship Management Co SA	Lebanon
G INEBOLU	7389833	1	2	3	Manta Denizcilik Nakliyat	Turkey
HAMOUDI B	8012231	2	1	3	Bayazid Shipping Co.	Lebanon, Syria
LAILA QUEEN	8311390	2	1	3	Judi Group JKM	Lebanon, Syria
MARIA	8513792	1	2	3	Ademar Shipping Lines SARL	Lebanon, Romania
MEVLANA	7610749	2	1	3	Emiroglu Deniz Nakliyat	Turkey, Malta?
SEA AMAZON	9104263	2	1	3	MCCL	Greece, Liberia
SEA SHARK	8316338	2	1	3	ISM Group SARL (since 3/12)	Lebanon, Syria
SMART SAIL	8315152	2	1	3	Tranglory Shipping Co Ltd	China
STAR PRINCE	7625706	2	1	3	IMS Hellenic Co	Greece
SUSANNE	9002403	2	1	3	Sigma Grains Ltd-Leb (since 5/12)	Lebanon, Cyprus
VIANO DO CASTELO	8918356	1	2	3	Ukrainian Danube Shipping Co	Ukraine
WAVE	9313785	2	1	3	Flinter Shipping BV	Netherlands, Turkey
YAHIA STAR	8306400	1	2	3	El Reedy Shipping Agency	Egypt
AKSOY	8624278	1	1	2	Aksoy Denizcilik Tasimacilik	Turkey
AL HADI	6929193	1	1	2	Rania Marine SARL	Lebanon
ALEKSANDR LEBED	8866826	1	1	2	Kent Shipping & Chartering Ltd.	Turkey
ALTRANY	8129321	1	1	2	Menkent Shipping Co. Ltd.	Bulgaria, Cyprus,
ARADOS H	7501871	1	1	2	Arados Shipping Co. SRL	Ukraine Romania, Syria
ARROW S	7392608	1	1	2	Global Management & Trading	Lebanon
AYSE AKANSU	7827328	1	1	2	Asu Denizcilik Nakliyat	Turkey
BELDE	8308903	1	1	2	Meridyen Transit Deniz	Turkey
BLUE IMAGE	9136565	1	1	2	Stavros Roussos Management	Greece
FIRTINA	8834940	1	1	2	Sunar Gemi Acentilik	Turkey
GOLDEN ENDURANCE	9043110	1	1	2	Gothmar Shipmanagement Inc.	Greece
IKARIA ISLAND	9138898	1	1	2	Firodi Shipping Ltd.	Greece
KEREM	9126429	1	1	2	IST Denizcilik Ltd. STI	Turkey
LADY NOUR	8002822	1	1	2	Mamari Shipping Co.	Lebanon, Syria
MARIM	9435844	1	1	2	Roswell Tankers Corp.	Greece
MARINE LEGEND JR.	9381952	1	1	2	Action Maritime SA	Greece
MRC HATICE ANA	9536935	1	1	2	MRC Denizcilik Turizm	Turkey
NIKOLAY MESHKOV	8862507	1	1	2	Sailtrade Ltd	Russia

THE ODESSA NETWORK

SHIP NAME	IMOID	PORT CALLS IN 2012			SHIP MANAGER	COUNTRY
		SYRIA	NIK/OKT	COMBINED		
OMAR B	8012243	1	1	2	Bayazid Shipping Co.	Lebanon, Syria
REIS-G	8413186	1	1	2	Negmar Denizcilik Ve Yatirim	Turkey
RIMEO	8324713	1	1	2	Rimenes Shipping and Transport	Turkey
SEBA M	7511199	1	1	2	Rabunion Maritime Agency Sarl	Lebanon
SIDER FAIOCH	8602555	1	1	2	Sider Navi Spa	Italy
ST.VALENTINA	9414735	1	1	2	Unimor Shipping Agency	Ukraine
TATARBUNARY	8918394	1	1	2	Ukrainian Danube Shipping Co	Ukraine
UNION DEMETER	8412558	1	1	2	Tranglory Shipping Co Ltd	China
UNION HELLEN	8307856	1	1	2	Tranglory Shipping Co Ltd	China

12 Conclusion

As stated in the introduction to this paper, abstract post-Soviet themes find detailed expression in the Odessa Network. We have presented an abundance of hard data on weapons shipments, the facilitators enabling them, and senior officials in the Russian and Ukrainian governments. We also have made analytic judgments about this data, specifically how it relates to Russian foreign policy writ large. We conclude this paper by summarizing the definitive facts about Russian and Ukrainian weapons shipments and facilitators, as well as our inferential insights on them.

DEFINITIVE FINDINGS

This report documents over forty weapons shipment events from the official state weapons export agencies of Russia and Ukraine to a wide variety of customers. These shipments include weapons ranging from crates of surplus ammunition to state of the art SAM systems, customers ranging from countries in good international standing to states under active international sanction, and span over a decade of time. Some of these arms transfers are well-known, while others were previously undetected.

These shipments were facilitated by a comparatively small group of Ukrainian and EU companies and individuals with close ties to one another, and to senior Russian and Ukrainian governmental and military-industrial officials. To some extent, the key facilitators in the weapons export process provide similar services: project and heavy-lift cargo, end-to-end logistics, and freight forwarding. However, different companies based in different ports tend to specialize in shipments to different customers.

Ukrainian and EU companies facilitate the vast majority of these weapons shipments, which leave from the port of Oktyabrsk, Ukraine. Oktyabrsk is controlled by a Russian oligarch and managed by a former Russian nuclear submarine captain, and was built by the Soviet Union specifically to ship weapons. The exception to this pattern is Russian companies moving weapons directly to Syria from Kaliningrad and St. Petersburg. However, these Russian companies are still closely linked to the core facilitators in Ukraine and the EU.

In addition, these facilitators utilize support services provided by Latvian money launderers and maritime private security companies staffed by former members of the Russian and Ukrainian security and intelligence services.

INFERENTIAL FINDINGS

The diverse array of customers and weapons in our dataset lead us to believe that it is adequately representative of overall Russian and Ukrainian sea-borne arms transfers. Analytic judgments about the entities in our admittedly non-exhaustive dataset are still indicative of some larger truth.

We believe the weapons sales documented in this paper serve three non-mutually exclusive purposes. First, arms exports have significant commercial value to the state, and the weapons industry keeps entire regions employed. Russia alone exported \$17.6 billion USD of weapons in 2012;³⁴⁹ the Black Sea Shipyard in Nikolaev supports an estimated 95,000 pensioners.³⁵⁰ Second, the proceeds of these sales are used to buy loyalty and stability within the Russian and Ukrainian political patronage systems, which hinge on delivering rewards to a small coalition of regime stakeholders. In particular, allowing Russian military and intelligence sectors to take a cut of profits keeps them loyal and funded. Third, arms transfers are an important foreign policy tool. Russia, consistent with its opposition to perceived American hegemony and interventionism, appears to be arming strategic antagonists of the United States, including Libya, Venezuela, and Syria. Ukraine is more commercially oriented, but still uses arms transfers as a policy tool; for example, the anti-Russian Yushchenko government selling heavily discounted anti-aircraft systems to Georgia under the similarly anti-Russian Saakashvili government.³⁵¹ In sum, these factors mean arms transfers are deeply important to the Russian and Ukrainian governments.

That a small and tight-knit group of ostensibly private companies is trusted to move such a high percentage of state weapons exports implies that these facilitators are effectively part of the Russian and Ukrainian foreign policy arsenal. The connections between

weapons shippers such as Kaalbye, Phoenix, and North-Western Shipping, and organs of Russian state power such as Rosoboronexport, the Ministry of Transport, and Putin's personal advisors, fit this pattern. We believe that these connections serve as a means of communication, coordination, and verification. In other words, it is not a coincidence that Boris Kogan has close business and personal ties to the deputy director of Russian Technologies and director of logistics at Rosoboronexport, and that his company, Kaalbye, was trusted to move \$4 billion USD of Russian weapons (including the S-300 SAM system) to Venezuela, a US strategic antagonist. The Kremlin's use of financial rewards, personal connections, and implied coercion to induce private companies to carry out useful tasks matches a larger "power vertical" narrative.

To some extent, the shipping business as a whole relies on degrees of separation between owners and operators of assets in order to minimize liability, and the Odessa Network is no exception. However, the opacity and complexity of the financial and social network surrounding these core facilitators provides a vehicle for wide distribution of profits and additional space to conduct sensitive foreign policy without interference. Offshore shell companies, proxy directors, personnel dual-listed between different companies, leveraging social ties to enlist the services of other firms, and Latvian banks maximize the ability to convert public money into private profit for key stakeholders (maximizing domestic political benefit), and provide the operational security necessary to successfully carry out arms transfers (maximizing foreign policy benefit).

The value of this data for policymakers is that it provides a "road-map" for piercing this complexity. The specific companies, individuals, and locations analyzed in this paper comprise a detectable signature of FSU arms transfers. If identifying such arms transfers is a policy priority, understanding the network of facilitators carrying out those transfers is a useful place to start.

13 References

- 1 "Pentagon official: Russian warships may be carrying weapons to Syria," *CNN*, 5 June 2013.
<http://www.cnn.com/2013/06/05/world/meast/syria-russia-shipsments>
- 2 "Syrian rebels: Russian ships transferring Hezbollah fighters to Syria," *Y-Net*, 24 July 2013.
<http://www.ynetnews.com/articles/0,7340,L-4409405,00.html>
- 3 "Cruise missile row rocks Ukraine," *BBC*, 18 March 2005.
<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/europe/4361505.stm>
- 4 "Ukraine leader confirms missile sales to Iran," *NBC News*, 31 March 2005.
http://www.nbcnews.com/id/349303/ns/world_news/t/ukraine-leader-confirms-missile-sales-iran/#.UazoyEDvtBY
- 5 "Ukraine admits missiles sold to Iran and China," *Telegraph* (London, UK), 18 March 2005.
<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/1485933/Ukraine-admits-missiles-sold-to-Iran-and-China.html>
- 6 Куда «плывем», боцман? ["Where are we sailing, captain?"] *Rabochaya Gazeta*, 6 March 2008.
<http://rg.kiev.ua/pages/article9179/>
- 7 "Непоплярный Урбанский: адмирал «секретного флота» ["The Unsinkable Urbansky: Admiral of a 'Secret Fleet,'" *Tribuna* (Ukraine), 24 April 2008. <http://tribuna.com.ua/articles/persons/161811.htm>
- 8 "Did an Aussie sell nukes to Iran?" *Melbourne Herald Sun*, 7 June 2009.
- 9 Испанские власти задержали украинский экипаж судна «анастасия» по подозрению в контрабанде более 600 тонн оружия и взрывчатки и требуют выплаты штрафа в размере 850 тысяч долларов [Spanish authorities held the Ukrainian crew of the ship Anastasia in suspicion of transporting more than 600 tons of weapons and explosives and demand a fine of more than \$850,000] *Argumenti I Fakti*, 3 March 2001.
<http://srvi.fakty.ua/101462-ispanskije-vlasti-zaderzhali-ukrainskij-ekipazh-sudna-quot-anastasiya-quot-po-podozreniyu-v-kontrabande-bolee-600-tonn-oruzhiya-i-vzryvchatki-i-trebuyut-vyplaty-shtrafa-v-razmere-850-tysyach-dollarov>
- 10 "Spain halts Angolan-bound ship carrying Russian arms: press," *Agence France-Presse*, 28 February 2001.
<http://reliefweb.int/report/angola/spain-halts-angolan-bound-ship-carrying-russian-arms-press>
- 11 "Illegal small weapons pose global threat," *Seattle Post-Intelligencer*, 9 July 2001.
<http://www.commondreams.org/headlines.shtml/headlines01/0709-03.htm>
- 12 "Georgian vessel, laden in Ukraine, was carrying weapons," *Pravda*, 1 March 2001.
<http://english.pravda.ru/news/russia/01-03-2001/39602-o/>
- 13 Куда «плывем», боцман? ["Where are we sailing, captain?"] *Rabochaya Gazeta*, 6 March 2008. <http://rg.kiev.ua/pages/article9179/>
- 14 "Angola buys 630 tons of arms," *CNBC Africa*, 2 March 2001.
http://cms.privatelabel.co.za/pls/cms/iac.page?p_t1=2245&p_t2=0&p_t3=0&p_t4=0&p_dynamic=YP&p_content_id=227743&p_site_id=113
- 15 Equasis
- 16 "Ukrainian firms setting up in Burma," *The Irrawaddy*, 6 April 2004.
http://www2.irrawaddy.org/article.php?art_id=3951
- 17 EXIF data
- 18 Available at <http://www.phoenix.odessa.ua/>
- 19 Available at <http://www.sipri.org/databases/armstransfers>
- 20 Арбитражный суд города Москвы решение от 23 мая 2011 года Дело N А40-19271/2010 [Arbitrage Court of Moscow, Decision from 23 May 2011, Case Number A-40-19271/2010.] Available at <http://docs.cntd.ru/document/454034931>
- 21 EXIF data
- 22 Equasis
- 23 Available at <http://www.nortrop.com.ua/>
- 24 "Eide Marine Services A/S," *ISS Report*, Autumn 2006. http://www.shipping.com/Attachments/491163460_iss_issue_one.pdf
- 25 Ibid; SIPRI.
- 26 SevMash [submarine producer] website. <http://www.sevmash.ru/eng/production/military/vsotrud>
- 27 Equasis
- 28 Interim report of the Group of Experts on the Democratic Republic of the Congo, pursuant to Security Council resolution 1698. United Nations Security Council, 31 January 2007.
<http://www.securitycouncilreport.org/atf/cf/%7B65BFCF9B-6D27-4E9C-8CD3-CF6E4FF96FF9%7D/DRC%20S200740.pdf>
- 29 Equasis
- 30 Российская Федерация Одиннадцатый арбитражный апелляционный суд, Постановление от 28 октября 2008 года № 11АП-6995/2008 По делу № А72-1833/2008 [Russian Federation 11th Arbitrage Court, Decision from 28 October No. 11 AO-6995/2008 on Case No. № А72-1833/2008]
- 31 ДЕВЯТЫЙ АРБИТРАЖНЫЙ АПЕЛЛЯЦИОННЫЙ СУД ПОСТАНОВЛЕНИЕ г. Москва 11.07.2006 г. Дело № 09АП-7048/06-АК [10th Arbitrage Court of Appeals Decision on Case No. 09AO-7048/06-AK]
- 32 Equasis
- 33 Норвежские паромы в Севастополе: что купили, кто купил, или «AnRussTrans» расширяет рынок [Norwegian ferries in Sevastopol: what was bought, who bought them, or how AnRussTrans is expanding the market.] *Black Sea News*, 3 June 2012.
<http://www.blackseanews.net/read/37650>
- 34 "Manche : La marine surprend un navire chargé de patrouilleurs lance-missiles," [Channel: Marines surprised a ship loaded with missile patrol] *Mer et Marine*, 21 December 2007. <http://www.meretmarine.com/fr/content/manche-la-marine-surprend-un-navire-charge-de-patrouilleurs-lance-missiles>
- 35 <http://www.uminhcoc.com/forums/showthread.php?t=37814>
- 36 Equasis
- 37 Equasis
- 38 For more information, see the excellent work by the Organized Crime and Corrupting Reporting Project and Paul Radu on the use of proxies in the FSU. Available at <http://www.reportingproject.net/proxy/en/>
- 39 Equasis
- 40 День национального позора ["Day of national shame"], *Polemika*, 20 February 2009. <http://polemika.com.ua/news-29257.html>
- 41 Mike Lewis, *Skirting the Law: Sudan's Post-CPA Arms Flows*, Small Arms Survey, September 2009.
http://humansecuritygateway.com/documents/SAS_SudansPostCPAArmsFlows.pdf
- 42 "Somali pirates capture Ukrainian cargo ship loaded with military hardware," *Guardian*, 26 September 2009.
- 43 Личный оружейный бизнес президента [Personal weapons business of the President.] *Nezavisimaya Gazeta*, 10 August 2008.
- 44 Equasis
- 45 "£45m cigarettes haul was first of five planned runs in audacious smuggling scheme," *Belfast Telegraph*, 29 October 2009.
<http://www.belfasttelegraph.co.uk/news/local-national/45m-cigarettes-haul-was-first-of-five-planned-runs-in-audacious-smuggling-scheme-28501074.html>
- 46 "Beluga soll illegal Panzer verschiff haben" [Beluga is said to have illegally shipped tanks], *Norddeutscher Rundfunk*, 1 November 2012.
<http://www.ndr.de/regional/niedersachsen/oldenburg/beluga283.html>
- 47 Equasis
- 48 Fact Sheet. http://cargo-vessels-international.at/LADY_JULIET_IMO8225204.pdf
- 49 Equasis

- 50 “Украинцы на взрывоопасном судне сели на мель в Дарданеллах” [Ukrainians on a high-explosives ship ran upon a shoal in the Dardanelles], *RosBalt* (Ukraine), 18 December 2009. <http://www.rosbalt.ru/ukraina/2009/12/18/698387.html>
- 51 “Украинские моряки открыли стрельбу по сомалийским пиратам” [Ukrainian seamen opened fire on Somali pirates], *RosBalt* (Ukraine), 18 November 2009. <http://www.rosbalt.ru/ukraina/2009/11/18/689716.html>
- 52 “Отправка во Вьетнам «Светляков» из Владивостока” [Shipment of Svetlyak ships from Vladivostok to Vietnam.] *BPMD*, 16 August 2012. <http://bmpd.livejournal.com/314694.html>
- 53 Equasis
- 54 Mark Bromley and Paul Holton. *Arms transfer to the Democratic Republic of Congo: Assessing the System of Arms Transfer Notifications, 2008-2010*. Stockholm International Peace Research Institute, October 2010, p. 7
- 55 “Cyprus detains Sudan-bound arms ship,” *BBC*, 22 June 2010. <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/10377917>
- 56 “На Сантьяго российско-украинский экипаж,” [A Russian-Ukrainian crew on the Santiago], *Oruzhie Rossii*, 24 June 2010. <http://www.arms-expo.ru/049057052048124049054052053056.html>
- 57 “German freighter Santiago with Russian-Ukrainian crew detained in Cyprus with illegal arms,” *Maritime Bulletin*, 23 June 2010. <http://www.odin.tc/eng/articles/399-German-freighter-Santiago-with-Russian-Ukrainian-crew-detained-in-Cyprus-with-illegal-arms.asp>
- 58 “The Santiago ship laden with weapons and ammunition, detained at the Cyprus Limassol,” *PortNews*, 23 June 2010. <http://portnews.ru/news/122865/>
- 59 Equasis
- 60 Славяне с оружием в трюме “The Slavs with weapons in the cargo hold.” *Rossiskaya Gazeta*, 24 June 2010. <http://www.rg.ru/2010/06/23/tanki-site.html>
- 61 “94 European tanks purchased, enroute: officials,” *Voice of America Cambodia*, 16 September 2010. <http://www.voacambodia.com/content/article-94-european-tanks-purchased-en-route-officials-103070549/1356971.html>
- 62 “Cambodia buys 50 T-55 tanks and 40 PTR-26 APCs,” *Cambodia Air Defense*, 18 September 2010. <http://cambodiaairdefense.wordpress.com/2010/09/18/cambodia-buys-50-t55-tanks-and-40-pt26-apcs/>
- 63 Equasis
- 64 “Cambodia buys 50 T-55 tanks and 40 PTR-26 APCs,” *Cambodia Air Defense*, 18 September 2010. <http://cambodiaairdefense.wordpress.com/2010/09/18/cambodia-buys-50-t55-tanks-and-40-pt26-apcs/>
- 65 “Tanks and APCs arrive in Sihanoukville port,” *Phnom Penh Post*, 31 October 2012. <http://www.phnompenhpost.com/2012/10/3159484/National/tanks-and-apcs-arrive-in-sihanoukville-port.html>
- 66 “Vedette-boat lands at Cam Ranh port,” *Vietnam News*, 8 August 2011. <http://english.vietnamnet.vn/en/society/11644/vedette-boat-lands-cam-ranh-port.html>
- 67 Equasis
- 68 “Ukrainian plant to make second shipment of BTR-4 vehicles to Iraq on time,” *Kiev Post*, 14 July 2011. <http://www.kyivpost.com/content/business/ukrainian-plant-to-make-second-shipment-of-btr-4-v-108623.html>
- 69 “Прибытие нового российского вооружения в Венесуэлу” [“Arrival of new Russian weapons in Venezuela”], *BPMD*, 28 May 2011. <http://bmpd.livejournal.com/5687.html>
- 70 Equasis
- 71 “‘Ship of Shame’ carrying weapons and explosives to Egypt should not be allowed to deliver cargo,” *Amnesty International* press release, 15 March 2012. <http://www.amnestyusa.org/news/press-releases/ship-of-shame-carrying-weapons-and-explosives-to-egypt-from-united-states-should-not-be-allowed-to-d>
- 72 “Second Gepard frigate delivered to Vietnam,” *RusNavy*, 5 August 2011. http://rusnavy.com/news/newsofday/index.php?ELEMENT_ID=12631
- 73 Equasis
- 74 “Cyprus releases a Russian Syria-bound ammunitions ship,” *Al Arabiya*, 11 January 2012. <http://english.alarabiya.net/articles/2012/01/11/187723.html>
- 75 Кипр подтверждает задержание судна из России с грузом для Сирии [Cyprus confirms detainment of a ship from Russia with cargo for Syria], *RIA Novosti*, 11 January 2012. http://ria.ru/arab_sy/20120111/536992302.html
- 76 Equasis
- 77 Прибытие в Венесуэлу третьей партии вооружения из России [“The third party of Russian weapons arrives in Venezuela”], *BPMD*, 25 March 2012. <http://bmpd.livejournal.com/186218.html>
- 78 Equasis
- 79 “Венесуэла завершает получение танков Т-72Б1В из России” [“Venezuela completes receipt of T-72B1V tanks from Russia”], *BPMD*, 30 March 2012. <http://bmpd.livejournal.com/190080.html>
- 80 *Ibid*,
- 81 Equasis
- 82 “Венесуэла завершает получение танков Т-72Б1В из России” [“Venezuela completes receipt of T-72B1V tanks from Russia”], *BPMD*, 30 March 2012. <http://bmpd.livejournal.com/190080.html>
- 83 Equasis
- 84 “Vessel with Russian explosives bound for Venezuela stuck in Greece,” *Turkey Sea News*, 29 April 2011. <http://www.seanews.com.tr/article/ACCIDENTS/60685/Socol-3-Russian-Explosives>
- 85 Российские боеприпасы для Венесуэлы застряли в Греции на украинском судне Сокол-3 [Russian ammunition for Venezuela is stuck in Greece on the Ukrainian ship Sokol-3]. *Morskoi Bulletin Sovfracht*, 28 April 2011. <http://www.sovfracht.info/?PageID=2555>
- 86 Equasis
- 87 “Судно с украинскими моряками в Греции не просило о помощи – Мининфраструктуры” [The ship with Ukrainian seamen in Greece did not ask for help – Ministry of Infrastructure] *Podrobnosti*, 28 April 2011. <http://podrobnosti.ua/society/2011/04/28/766758.html>
- 88 Financial documents of ZAO Oboronprom, available at http://www.lin.ru/db/emitent/210B20D9C874A4DAC3256DAD003EC32D/discl_doc.html
- 89 Equasis
- 90 “Russian freighter Professor Katsman sneaked to Tartus violating Syrian arms embargo,” *Reuters* 27 May 2012. <http://www.reuters.com/article/2012/05/25/us-syria-arms-russia-idUSBRE84O12F20120525>
- 91 “U.S. condemns reported Russian arms shipment to Syria,” *Reuters*, 31 May 2012. <http://www.reuters.com/article/2012/05/31/syria-arms-russia-idUSL1E8GVBXJ20120531>
- 92 AIS records.
- 93 Equasis
- 94 Equasis
- 95 Украинский сухогруз Сокол 6 стоит в Индии, груженный оружием и заградками [Ukrainian cargo ship Sokol 6 sits in India, loaded with weapons and mysteries.] *Morskoi Bulletin*, 13 August 2012. <http://www.odin.tc/newsru/read.asp?articleID=942>
- 96 “Vessel carrying arms develops technical snag; docked at WISL amid tight security,” *Navhind Times*, 12 August 2012. <http://www.navhindtimes.in/goa-news/vessel-carrying-arms-develops-technical-snag-docked-wisl-amid-tight-security>
- 97 Equasis
- 98 МИД РФ признал, что «Алаид» вез в Сирию оружие [“The Russian Ministry of Foreign Affairs has acknowledged that the Alaed was transporting weapons into Syria”], *Novaya Gazeta*, 21 June 2012. <http://www.novayagazeta.ru/news/57657.html>
- 99 *Disrupting Atrocity Enablers: Maritime Arms Shipments from Russia to Syria*, Human Rights Watch, August 2012. <http://www.humanrightsfirst.org/wp-content/uploads/pdf/HRF-Maritime-Arms-Supply-Syria.pdf>
- 100 “Russian arms ships loads up with new secret cargo,” *RIA Novosti*, 24 July 2012. http://en.ria.ru/military_news/20120724/174757466.html
- 101 “Russian arms ship to make second attempt to deliver helicopters to Syria,” *Telegraph*, 24 June 2012.

- 102 <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/middleeast/syria/9352852/Russian-arms-ship-to-make-second-attempt-to-deliver-helicopters-to-Syria.html>
Equasis
- 103 Надстройка для корвета «Совершенный» доставлена в Комсомольск-на-Амуре [“Bulkhead for the ‘Sovershenni’ corvette is delivered to Komsomolsk-na-Amur,”] *BMPD*, 12 October 2012.
- 104 В украинских локс-БТРах установили двигатели «Мерседес» и кондиционеры (фото) [In Ukrainian luxury APCs there are Mercedes engines and air conditioners.] *Segodnya*, 25 July 2012.
<http://www.segodnya.ua/ukraine/ljukc--btr-c-konderom-i-korobkoj-avtomatom-cpetsekport-n-ukraina-otpraviv-v-tailand-233-mashiny-za-270-mln.html>
- 105 “Эфиопия начинает получение танков Т-72УА1” [Ethiopia is begins receipt of T-72 tanks.] *BPM D*, 26 July 2012.
<http://bmpd.livejournal.com/299255.html>
- 106 “Эфиопия купила две сотни украинских танков,” [Ethiopia has bought 200 Ukrainian tanks], *Lenta*, 9 June 2011.
<http://lenta.ru/news/2011/06/09/tanks/>
- 107 “Отправка во Вьетнам «Светляков» из Владивостока,” [Shipment of Svetlyaks from Vladivostok to Vietnam.] *BPM D*, 16 August 2012.
<http://bmpd.livejournal.com/314694.html>
- 108 Equasis
- 109 “Ирак получил вторую партию БТР-4» [Iraq received the second party of BTR-4], press release from Malyshev plant, 19 October 2012.
<http://www.malyshevplant.com/content/irak-poluchil-vtoruyu-partiyu-btr-4>
- 110 “The second batch of BTR-4 armored personnel carriers shipped to Iraq from Odessa Seaport,” *Army Guide*, 14 September 2012.
<http://www.army-guide.com/eng/article/article.php?forumID=2523>
- 111 “Ukraine to supply armored vehicles to Iraq,” *Kyiv Post*, 25 March 2011.
<http://www.kyivpost.com/content/ukraine/ukraine-to-supply-armored-vehicles-to-iraq-100814.html>
- 112 “Russian gun dealers charged in Nigerian court,” *BBC*, 19 February 2013.
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-africa-21509535>
- 113 “Задержанное в Нигерии судно с российским экипажем имело разрешение на перевозку оружия” [The detained ship in Nigeria with a Russian crew had permission for transportation of weapons] *ITAR-TASS*, 23 October 2012.
<http://www.itar-tass.com/c1/553369.html>
- 114 “Russian gun dealers charged in Nigerian court,” *BBC*, 19 February 2013. <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-africa-21509535>
- 115 Equasis
- 116 Press release by Moran Security Group.
<http://www.prnswire.com/news-releases/why-doesnt-nigeria-implement-imo-resolutions-200048701.html>
- 117 “Arrested in Nigeria Russian m/v Myre Seadiver most probably, is a floating arsenal,” *Maritime Bulletin*, 23 October 2012.
<http://www.odin.tc/news/read.asp?articleID=1464>
- 118 “Tanks and APCs apparently come from Ukraine,” *Phnom Penh Post*, 11 November 2012.
<http://www.defence.pk/forums/china-far-east/216439-tanks-apcs-cambodia-arrive-sihanoukville-port.html>
- 119 “Tanks and APCs arrive in Sihanoukville port,” *Phnom Penh Post*, 31 October 2012.
<http://www.phnompenhpost.com/2012103159484/National/tanks-and-apcs-arrive-in-sihanoukville-port.html>
- 120 “Government mum on tank origins,” *Phnom Penh Post*, 1 November 2012.
<http://www.phnompenhpost.com/2012110159518/National/government-mum-on-tanks-origins.html>
- 121 *Arms Transfers to the Middle East and North Africa: Lessons for an Effective Arms Trade Treaty*. Amnesty International (Amnesty International Ltd.: London, 2011), 44. <http://www.amnesty.org/en/library/asset/ACT30/11/2011/en/049fdeec-66fe-4b13-a90e-6d773d6a546/act30112011en.pdf>
- 122 “Press release by inspector-general of police in relation to investigation on the alleged production of components for Libya’s uranium enrichment program,” *The Star* (Malaysia), 21 February 2004. <http://thestar.com.my/news/story.asp?file=/2004/2/21/nation/7369507&sec=nation>
- 123 David Albright and Corey Hinderstein, “Libya’s gas centrifuge procurement: much remains undiscovered,” *Institute for Science and International Security*, 1 March 2004. http://www.isis-online.org/publications/libya/cent_procure.html
- 124 Equasis
- 125 Al Venter, *Allah’s Bomb: The Islamic Quest for Nuclear Weapons*, p. 43.
- 126 “Cargo vessel carrying uranium collides with chemical tanker off Swedish and Danish coasts,” *Dagens Nyheter*, 4 July 2009.
<http://www.wise-uranium.org/utiss.html>
- 127 Jeffery Lewis, “Saga of the Monchegorsk,” *Arms Control Wonk*, 13 July 2011.
<http://lewis.armscontrolwonk.com/archive/4248/saga-of-the-monchegorsk>
- 128 “Russia’s Chariot calls at Iran,” *Forbes*, 6 March 2012.
<http://www.forbes.com/sites/claudiarosett/2012/03/06/russias-chariot-calls-at-iran/>
- 129 “If You Resist, We’ll Shoot You’: *The Democratic Republic of Congo and The Case for an Effective Arms Treaty*. Amnesty International, 2012, p. 38.
<https://www.amnesty.org/en/library/asset/AFR62/007/2012/en/cdd8cdd9-913f-4dc5-8418-71d2eedbdde0/af620072012en.pdf>
- 130 Equasis
- 131 “Arctic Sea operator unaware of ships whereabouts,” *RIA Novosti*, 14 August 2009. <http://en.rian.ru/russia/20090814/155811463.html>
- 132 Идут подводники, ищет полиция [Submarines are searching, the police are searching], *Gazeta.ru*, 12 August 2009.
<http://www.gazeta.ru/social/2009/08/12/3235487.shtml>
- 133 For example, see “Что вез и куда плыл Arctic Sea” [What was the Arctic Sea carrying to where?], *Izvestiya*, 9 September 2009.
<http://izvestia.ru/news/352829> and “Arctic Sea ghost ship ‘was carrying weapons to Iran,” *Telegraph*, 6 September 2009.
<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/europe/russia/6145336/Arctic-Sea-ghost-ship-was-carrying-weapons-to-Iran.html>
- 134 Рособоронэкспорт объявил о рекордном количестве контрактов в 2012 году [Rosoboronexport informs about the record quantity of contracts in 2012.] *Vzglyad*, 13 February 2013. <http://vz.ru/news/2013/2/13/620142.html>
- 135 “Russia to complete delivery of Su fighter jets to Indonesia by mid-September,” *RIA Novosti*, 9 June 2010.
http://en.rian.ru/military_news/20100906/160480114.html
- 136 “Ukraine delivers first two armored vehicles to Thailand,” *Xinhua*, 16 September 2010.
http://news.xinhuanet.com/english2010/world/2010-09/16/c_13515026.htm
- 137 Russia Vows to Keep Arms Deals With Caracas After Chavez Death, *RIA Novosti*, 3 June 2012.
http://en.rian.ru/trend/venezuela_russia_arms_160909/
- 138 For example, see “Weapons Proliferation and Organized Crime: Russian Military Dimensions,” *Airpower Journal*, 1996. <http://www.airpower.au.af.mil/airchronicles/apj/apj96/spec96/turbi.pdf>
- 139 “Russian arms dealer Viktor Bout found guilty of selling weapons to Farc rebels,” *Guardian*, 2 November 2011. <http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/nov/02/viktor-bout-arms-trade>
- 140 “The international dealers in death,” *Guardian*, 9 July 2001. <http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2001/jul/09/armstrade.iantraynor>
- 141 Lenta biography. <http://lenta.ru/lib/14185932/>
- 142 Вечный зам [The eternal deputy], *Tribuna*, 10 January 2008.
<http://tribuna.com.ua/articles/persons/156207.htm>
- 143 “Международный крестный отец” Леонид Минин [International mob boss Leonid Minin], *Ukrainskaya Pravda*, 3 February 2003.
<http://www.pravda.com.ua/rus/news/2003/02/3/4371494/>
- 144 “Leonid Yefrimovich Minin: from Ukraine, a new kind of arms trafficker,” *PBS*, May 2002.
<http://www.pbs.org/frontlineworld/stories/sierraleone/minin.html>
- 145 “Angling in on Angola,” *Haaretz*, 4 January 2002. <http://www.haaretz.com/angling-in-on-angola-1.79089>
- 146 “Drugs, diamonds and deadly cargoes,” *Center for Public Integrity*, 18 November 2002.

THE ODESSA NETWORK

247 <http://www.publicintegrity.org/2002/11/18/5697/drugs-diamonds-and-deadly-cargoes>
 "Arms and the Man," *New York Times*, 17 August 2003.
<http://www.nytimes.com/2003/08/17/magazine/arms-and-the-man.html?pagewanted=all&src=pm>
 248 Author's personal interviews.
 249 Леонид Минин: Деркач и Рабинович – сообщники во всех операциях с нелегальной продажей оружия [Leonid Minin: Derkach and Rabinovich were associates in all operations concerning the illegal sale of weapons.] *Ukrainskaya Pravda*, 14 June 2002.
<http://www.pravda.com.ua/rus/news/2002/06/14/4368178/> and "Comrades in Arms," *Washington Monthly*, January/February 2002.
 250 "Anatomy of two arms dealers," *Asia Times*, 19 June 2004. http://atimes.com/atimes/Front_Page/FF19Aa01.html
 251 "Продажей украинских ракет Ирану займется Дума [The Duma is handling the sale of Ukrainian rockets to Iran], *Obozrevatel*, 4 July 2006.
<http://obozrevatel.com/news/2006/7/4/122262.htm>
 252 Kaalbye website.
 253 See documents of incorporation.
 254 Archived Varamar website.
 255 Nortrop website
 256 Vedmosti biography. <http://www.vedmosti.ru/persons/231391/%D0%92%D0%B0%D0%BB%D0%B5%D0%BD%D1%82%D0%B8%D0%BD%20%D0%92%D0%B0%D1%80%D0%B2%D0%B0%D1%80%D0%B5%D0%BD%D0%BA%D0%BE>
www.acmodasi.ru/a_117941.html
 257 <http://dptm.donetsk.ua/direktorom-chp-ukrtranskontejner-naznachen.htm>
 258 <http://www.varamar.com/varamar-joined-vsevolod-ivanov-n-18.html>
 259 http://www.wilhelmsen.com/services/maritime/companies/buss/BUSS_Pressroom/Documents/WSS-2-10.pdf
 260 See shipping manifest in Weapons Shipments Data section.
 261 Ukrainian business registries.
 262 Wilh. Wilhemson website.
<http://www.wilhelmsen.com/services/maritime/companies/buss/directory/operations/Countries/ViewOffice.aspx?Office=168716>
 263 Varamar press release, 12 November 2012. <http://www.varamar.com/varamar-joined-vsevolod-ivanov-n-18.html>
 264 Alumni list. Available at http://vodnyy.odessa.ua/lists/city.phtml?city=78TF09PB&co_id=105&all_c=0&txt=%CC%E4%E5%FA%FA%F7 and http://vodnyy.odessa.ua/lists/city.phtml?city=78TF09PB&co_id=105&all_c=0&txt=%CC%E4%E5%FA%FA%F7
 265 Phoenix Trans-servis website. <http://www.phoenix.odessa.ua/>
 266 "День национального позора" [Day of national shame], *Polemika*, 20 February 2009. <http://polemika.com.ua/news-29257.html>
 267 Saga о Фаине, Сомалии. [The Saga of the Faina and Somalia.] Ukrainian web forum, available at
<http://ord-ua.com/2009/01/13/saga-o-faine-somali/?page=22>
 268 *Ibid.*
 269 *Arms Exports And Transfers: Europe To Africa, By Country*. Africa Europe Faith and Justice Network, December 2010, p. 30.
 270 <http://novoconference.ru/eng/novo/2010/participants/>
 271 <http://novoconference.ru/eng/novo/2008/participants/>
 272 <http://2012.maritimedays.odessa.ua/component/content/article/58.html>
 273 <http://ua.linkedin.com/pub/evgeny-poltavets/13/287/693>
 274 Info on Malomuzh from Украинские разведчики просят больше полномочий [Ukrainian intelligence officers ask for more power], *RosBalt*, 15
 275 February 2009. <http://www.rosbalt.ru/ukraina/2009/02/15/618484.html>
 276 Info on Malomuzh from "Malomuzh informs media on the situation with now released Faina cargo ship," Press office of President of Ukraine Victor
 277 Yushchenko, 5 February 2009. <http://www.president.gov.ua/en/news/12820.html?PrintVersion>
 278 "Pirates' Prison", *Kyiv Post*, 28 January 2009.
 279 "Warships begin patrol off Somalia," *Associated Press*, 28 October 2008.
http://www.apn-ua.com/industry/%D0%9E%D0%B4%D0%B5%D1%81%D1%81%D0%B0%D0%9E%D0%9E%D0%9E_%D0%A2%D0%95%D0%9C%D0%9F%D0%9F%D0%A0%D0%9E%D0%93%D0%A0%D0%95%D0%A1+145326
 280 <http://www.ilogista.com/autonavig4.php?nav4=32417>
 281 Кро у нас торгует оружием [Who among us is selling weapons.] *Obozrevatel*, 14 October 2008. obozrevatel.com/news/2008/10/14/263226.htm
 282 Official City of Odessa website. <http://www.odessa.ua/council/groups/30675>
 283 Харес Юссеф: И Ющенко, и его жена убеждены, что отравление произошло на даче у Сацюка [Hares Youssef: both Yushchenko and his wife
 284 are convinced the poisoning took place at their Satsyuk dacha] *Pravda*, 25 January 2007.
<http://www.pravda.com.ua/articles/2007/01/25/3201187/>
 285 Харес Юссеф: Ющенко даже не догадывался, какой передел собственности планировали «любимые друзья» [Hares Youssef: Yushchenko didn't
 286 even guess what kind of redistribution of assets his "dear friends" had planned.] *Pravda*, 26 January 2007.
<http://www.pravda.com.ua/rus/articles/2007/01/26/4412116/>
 287 Личный оружейный бизнес президента [Personal weapons business of the President.] *Nezavisimaya Gazeta*, 10 August 2008.
 288 Алишер Усманов берет под контроль Молдавский металлургический завод [Alisher Usmanov brings a Moldovan metal factory under his control.] *Ukrudprom*,
 289 6 December 2012. http://www.ukrudprom.ua/news/Alisher_Usmanov_beret_pod_kontrol_Moldavskiy_metzavod.html
 290 "Ro-ro ETEL seizure update. Syrian trace explained." *Maritime Bulletin*, 26 July 2013.
www.odin.tn/index.php?page=view/article/666/Ro-ro-Etel-seizure-update-Syrian-trace-explained
 291 Между украинцами и домом прочертили Ливию. *Kommersant Ukraina*, 23 July 2013. www.kommersant.ua/doc/239368
 292 Российская Федерация Арбитражный суд города Москвы. Решение от 23 мая 2011 года По делу № А40-19271/2010 [Russian Federation
 293 Arbitrage Court of the City of Moscow. Decision from 23 May 2011 on Case No. A-40-19271/2010.] Российская Федерация Одиннадцатый
 294 арбитражный апелляционный суд. Постановление от 28 октября 2008 года № 11АП-6995/2008 По делу № А72-1833/2008 [Russian
 295 Federation 11th Arbitrage Court, Decision from 28 October No. 11 AO-6995/2008 on Case No. № A72-1833/2008] ДЕВЯТЫЙ АРБИТРАЖНЫЙ
 296 АПЕЛЛЯЦИОННЫЙ СУД ПОСТАНОВЛЕНИЕ Г. Москва 11.07.2006 г Делo № 09АП-7048/06-АК [10th Arbitrage Court of Appeals Decision
 297 on Case No. 09AO-7048/06-AK]
 298 See <http://www.phoenix.odessa.ua/>
 299 RBK biography, available at <http://www.rbc.ru/persons/levitin.shtml>
 300 Lenta.ru biography, available at <http://lenta.ru/lib/14161085/>
 301 Saga о Фаине, Сомалии. [The Saga of the Faina and Somalia.] Ukrainian web forum, available at
<http://ord-ua.com/2009/01/13/saga-o-faine-somali/?page=22>
 302 Report of КОМИТЕТ ПРОТИВОДЕЙСТВИЯ КОРРУПЦИИ И ОРГАНИЗОВАННОЙ ПРЕСТУПНОСТИ.
http://kpk.org.ua/2007/07/18/spu_specialnaja_partija_ukrainy.html
 303 Непотопляемый Урбанский: адмирал «секретного флота» [The unsinkable Urbansky: admiral of a 'secret fleet'], *Tribuna*, 24 April 2008. <http://tribuna.com.ua/articles/persons/161811.htm>
 304 Вечный зам [The eternal deputy], *Tribuna*, 10 January 2008. <http://tribuna.com.ua/articles/persons/156207.htm>
 305 "Международный крестный отец" Леонид Минин [International mob boss Leonid Minin], *Ukrainskaya Pravda*, 3 February 2003.
<http://www.pravda.com.ua/rus/news/2003/02/3/4371494/>
 306 "Leonid Yefrimovich Minin: from Ukraine, a new kind of arms trafficker," *PBS*, May 2002.
<http://www.pbs.org/frontlineworld/stories/sierraleone/minin.html>
 307 See weapons shipment dataset.
 308 *Liga* dossier. <http://file.liga.net/person/726-igor-yrbanskii.html>
 309 Владелец судна «Фаина» - важный украинский чиновник? [The owner of the ship Faina - an important Ukrainian official?] *Newsland*, 15 October
 310 2008. <http://newsland.com/news/detail/id/307408/>

- 202 Более 40 укркомпаний попали в крупнейшую базу офшоров [Over 40 Ukrainian companies found in the database of offshores], *Ukrainskaya Pravda*, 26 June 2013. http://www.pravda.com.ua/rus/news/2013/06/26/6993057/view_print/
- 203 Социалисты вместо выбывшего губернатора Одесской области Цушко предлагают Урбанского [The socialists pick Urbansky to replace former governor of Odessa oblast], *SensorNet*, 17 May 2006. http://sensor.net.ua/news/64161/sotsialisty_vmesto_vybvyshhego_gubernatora_odesskoyi_oblasti_tsushko_predlagayut_urbanskogo
- 204 За лечение Василия Цушко платят его друзья по Социалистической партии [Friends in the Socialist Party are paying for Vasilii Tsushko's medical treatment.], *News.ua*, 4 July 2007. <http://rus.newsru.ua/ukraine/04jul2007/druzicushka.html>
- 205 Ukrainian shipping forum. <http://odessacrewing.borda.ru/?1-17-0-00000003-000-40-0>
- 206 **Полный Каалбай** [Full Kaalbye], *Kartina Dnya*, 12 November 2007. http://kartina-ua.info/index.phtml?art_id=198164&action=view&sel_date=2007-12-10
- 207 Россия и Турция начали переговоры о возврате груза с сирийского самолета [Russia and Syria begin negotiations over reimbursement for the cargo from the Syrian plane], *Lenta*, 30 October 2012. <http://lenta.ru/news/2012/10/30/cargo/>
- 208 All information from earnings statements, yearly reports, etc. gathered from RT-Logistika's website, available at <http://rtlog.ru/> In particular, see the following documents: Список аффилированных лиц на 31 декабря 2011 года Listed in RT-Logistika financial documents and confirmed on Ukrainian government website, available at <http://wap.uz.gov.ua/?f=Doc.View&p=taruz2010exp.2>
- 210 See various Russian corporate documents, available at mosvmz.ru/board/svvlmast.doc www.zavodural.ru/src/spis_aff.rtf
- 211 "Биография: Олерский Виктор Александрович", Ministry of Transportation of the Russian Federation website. http://www.mintrans.ru/ministry/person.php?ELEMENT_ID=388
- 212 "2010 Годовой отчет Открытого акционерного общества «Северо-Западное пароходство»" [2010 Yearly Report of the Open Stock Company North-Western Shipping." North-Western Shipping website. <http://www.nwsc.spb.ru/upload/1620099432-gdo2010.pdf>
- 213 "Виктор Олерский: «Флот - это не нефтяная труба, если его поставить на прикол на пару лет, то потом уже нечего будет поднимать»" *Business Gazeta*, 14 July 2011. <http://www.business-gazeta.ru/article/43455/>
- 214 "Russian arms shipment en route to Syria: report," *Reuters*, 25 May 2012. <http://www.reuters.com/article/2012/05/25/us-syria-arms-russia-idUSBRE84O12F20120525>
- 215 "2010 Годовой отчет Открытого акционерного общества «Северо-Западное пароходство»" [2010 Yearly Report of the Open Stock Company North-Western Shipping." North-Western Shipping website. <http://www.nwsc.spb.ru/upload/1620099432-gdo2010.pdf>
- 216 "Сухогруз Профессор Кацман, поставки оружия в Сирию и замминистра Олерский" [The freighter Professor Katsman, a delivery of weapons to Syria, and Deputy Minister Olerskiy], *Morskoi Bulletin*, 27 May 2012. <http://www.odin.tc/newsru/print.asp?articleID=622>
- 217 "Виктор Олерский: «Флот - это не нефтяная труба, если его поставить на прикол на пару лет, то потом уже нечего будет поднимать»" *Business Gazeta*, 14 July 2011. <http://www.business-gazeta.ru/article/43455/>
- 218 UCL website. <http://ucholding.com/en/holding/structure/divisions/shipping/north-western-shipping-company.phtml>
- 219 Большая биографическая энциклопедия. 2009. [The big biographical encyclopedia, 2009]. Available at <http://www.mke.su/doc/ANNENKOV%20AV.html>
- 220 Equasis
- 221 TV interview with *Pervii Sevastapol*, 7 April 2012. <http://www.1sevtv/story/id/2868/>
- 222 *Ibid.*
- 223 Уволен начальник спецпорта «Октябрьск» Борис Козыр [Boris Kozyr is replaced as boss of specialized port Oktybrsk.] *Novosti-N*, 22 May 2012. <http://novosti-n.mk.ua/news/read/40349.html>
- 224 День национального позора ["Day of national shame"], *Polemika*, 20 February 2009. <http://polemika.com.ua/news-29257.html>
- 225 День национального позора ["Day of national shame"], *Polemika*, 20 February 2009. <http://polemika.com.ua/news-29257.html>
- 226 For example, see http://www.oktport.com.ua/index.php?view=image&format=raw&type=img&id=13&option=com_joomgallery&Itemid=5
- 227 Куда ридет престиж страны со сменой руководства в порту «Октябрьск»? [Where is the prestige of the country going with the change at Oktyabrsk port?] *Prestupnosti Net*, 1 December 2011. <http://news.pn.ru/politics/49684>
- 228 *Ibid.*
- 229 Российская Федерация Арбитражный суд города Москвы. Решение от 23 мая 2011 года По делу № А40-19271/2010 [Russian Federation Arbitrage Court of the City of Moscow. Decision from 23 May 2011 on Case No. А-40-19721/2010.]
- 230 ДЕВЯТЫЙ АРБИТРАЖНЫЙ АПЕЛЛЯЦИОННЫЙ СУД ПОСТАНОВЛЕНИЕ г. Москва 11.07.2006 г Дело № 09АП-7048/06-АК [10th Arbitrage Court of Appeals Decision on Case No. 09AO-7048/06-AK]
- 231 "Непопоявляемый Урбанский: адмирал «секретного флота»,» ["The Unsinkable Urbansky: Admiral of a 'Secret Fleet'"] *Tribuna* (Ukraine), 24 April 2008. <http://tribuna.com.ua/articles/persons/161811.htm>
- 232 Российская Федерация Одиннадцатый арбитражный апелляционный суд, Постановление от 28 октября 2008 года № 11АП-6995/2008 По делу № А72-1833/2008 [Russian Federation 11th Arbitrage Court, Decision from 28 October No. 11 AO-6995/2008 on Case No. № А72-1833/2008]
- 233 Ставка порта [Headquarters of the port.] *Yuzhnaya Pravda*, 18 December 2012. <http://www.up.mk.ua/cgi-bin/pagezst.php?param=5512>
- 234 Враг не пройдет! - Егоров, начальник военного порта Октябрьск [The enemy shall not pass! - Egorov, the boss of Oktyabrsk military port.] *Korabelov*, 10 July 2012. <http://www.korabelov.info/ekonomika/voennyiy-port-egorov.html>
- 235 Forbes Russia. <http://www.forbes.ru/profile/vadim-novinskii>
- 236 Третью самых богатых украинцев - депутаты и чиновники [One third of the richest Ukrainians are deputies and bureaucrats.] *Argument*, 30 March 2012. <http://argumentua.com/novosti/tret-samykh-bogatykh-ukraintsev-deputaty-i-chinovniki>
- 237 "Deal of the Century. The Mining and Metals sector of Ukraine Gets a Flagship," *Delovaya Stolitisa*, 1 October 2007. <http://www.scmholding.com/en/media-centre/coverage/view/58/>
- 238 "Akhmetov's SCM, Smart Holding Create Ukraine Agricultural Group," *Bloomberg*, 7 June 2011. <http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2011-06-07/akhmetov-s-scm-smart-holding-create-ukraine-agricultural-group.html>
- 239 Президент Hares Group Харес Юсефф: «Рентабельность строительства в Украине колеблется между 60% и 100% годовых. На меньшее строители просто не согласны». http://haresgroup.com/html/media/econ_izvestiya_hy.pdf
- 240 Бизнес-партнер Ахметова Новинский получил украинское гражданство [Akhmetov's business partner Novinsky has received Ukrainian citizenship.] *Nezavisimoe Bureau Novosti*, 1 June 2012. <http://nbnews.com.ua/ru/news/42920/>
- 241 Вадим Новинский: «мутный бизнесмен» или проект российских спецслужб? [Is Murky Businessman Vadim Novinsky a Stodge of Russian Intelligence] *Argument*, 14 June 2013. <http://argumentua.com/stati/vadim-novinskii-mutnyi-biznesmen-ili-proekt-rossiiskikh-spetsluzhb>
- 242 Самый секретный порт Украины попал под контроль Вадима Новинского [The most secret port in Ukraine has fallen under the control of Vadim Novinsky.] *Novosti-N*, 22 May 2012. <http://novosti-n.mk.ua/news/read/40354.html>
- 243 Приватизация портов. В Украине разрешили концессию портов на 49 лет. [Privatization of the ports. In Ukraine, concessions on ports are granted for 49 years.] *Korabelov*, 15 June 2013. <http://www.korabelov.info/ekonomika/privatizatsiya-portov-v-ukraine-razreshili-kontsessiyu-morskikh-portov-na-49-let.html>
- 244 Грузооборот порта «Октябрьск» составил 160,3 тыс. т в апреле 2013 года [Port Oktyabrsk moved 160,3 tons of cargo in April 2013.] *Morskoe Biznes-novosti Ukrainy*, 23 May 2013. <http://www.maritimebusinessnews.com.ua/news/cargo/2013/05/23/21684.html>
- 245 В первую тройку добросовестных налогоплательщиков вошел СМП «Октябрьск» [Specialized maritime port Oktyabrsk is among the top three taxpayers.] *Korabelov*, 20 March 2013. <http://www.korabelov.info/ekonomika/v-pervuyu-troyku-dobrosovestnykh-nalogoplatelshchikov-voshel-smp-oktyabrsk.html>
- 246 Украина раздала порты «пацанам» [Ukrainian ports have been handed to buddies.] *Ukrainskaya Pravda*, 21 November 2012. <http://www.epravda.com.ua/rus/publications/2012/11/21/345750/>
- 247 Николаевский порт: «прощай, оружие!» [Nikolaev Port: Enter, weapons!] *Port News*, 23 December 2008. <http://portnews.ru/digest/4236/>
- 248 "Domestic Stories: HMCS CHICOUTIMI arrives in Halifax," *Royal Canadian Navy*, 1 February 2005. http://www.navy.forces.gc.ca/cms/3/3-a_eng.asp?category=7&id=225

THE ODESSA NETWORK

- 249 US Military Sealift Command website. <http://www.msc.navy.mil/sealift/2013/July/lantlines.htm>
- 250 "Swedish Submarine HMS Gotland Arrives in San Diego," United States Navy official website, 30 June 2005. http://www.navy.mil/submit/display.asp?story_id=18984
- 251 http://www.briese.de/fileadmin/user_upload/PDF-Daten/Briese_news_3-2012_web.pdf
- 252 See Briese Ukraine website. <http://www.briese.net.ua/contacts/>
- 253 *Ibid.*
- 254 See Briese Russia website. <http://briese.ru/>
- 255 See Briese Russia website. <http://briese.ru/Filialy>
- 256 See Kaalbye Transport website. <http://kaalbye-group.com/ktransport.html>
- 257 See Primetransport website. <http://www.primetransportltd.com/?page=team&lang=de>
- 258 e.g. Victor Puyu.
- 259 Primetransport website. <http://www.primetransportltd.com/?page=fleet&lang=de>
- 260 "Beluga Files for Bankruptcy," *Journal of Commerce*, 16 March 2011. http://www.joc.com/maritime-news/beluga-files-bankruptcy_20110316.html
- 261 "Beluga Shipping Announces Restructuring," *Journal of Commerce*, 3 March 2011. http://www.joc.com/maritime-news/international-freight-shipping/beluga-shipping-announces-restructuring_20110303.html
- 262 Beluga Projects website <http://www.beluga-projects.com/>
- 263 Heavylift PFI Newsletter, September/October 2010, p. 130.
- 264 Al Venter, *Allah's Bomb: The Islamic Quest for Nuclear Weapons*, p. 43.
- 265 Beluga press release. <http://www.docstoc.com/docs/48182214/Beluga-Group-and-BBC-Chartering-Logistic-form-Americas-Joint>
- 266 Guest list. <http://www.novpt.ru/doc/list-eng.pdf>
- 267 *Ibid.*
- 268 "Venezuela ranked top importer of Russian arms," RIA-Novosti, 27 December 2011. <http://en.rian.ru/world/20111227/170519145.html>
- 269 "Russia to lend Venezuela \$4 bln to pay for arms deals," *RIA-Novosti*, 7 October 2010.
- 270 Прибытие в Венесуэлу третьей партии вооружения из России ["The third party of Russian weapons arrives in Venezuela"], *BPMД*, 25 March 2012. <http://bmpd.livejournal.com/186218.html>
- 271 "Halt ships of shame from the USA carrying weapons to Egypt," *Amnesty International*, 15 March 2012. <http://www.amnesty.org/en/for-media/press-releases/halt-ships-shame-usa-carrying-weapons-egypt-2012-03-15>
- 272 http://www.best-maritime-crewing.info/catalogue_companies_list/company_source_6719_1.html
- 273 <http://www.equifax.co.uk/equifax/commercial/pdf/Estonia.pdf>
- 274 Balchart website.
- 275 Equasis
- 276 Balchart website.
- 277 Program brochure. Available at http://novoconference.ru/en/userfiles/File/NOVO2008_prog_ENG_10-04-08.pdf
- 278 Guest list. Available at <http://novoconference.ru/en/novo/2008/participants/>
- 279 ФЕМКО ответила за Сирию [FEMCO answers for Syria], *PortNews*, 16 July 2012. <http://portnews.ru/comments/1447/?backurl=%2Fcomments%2F51%2Fp3%2F>
- 280 Губернатор Мурманской области и глава «Роснефти» обсудят перспективы освоения Приразломного месторождения нефти [The governor of Murmansk oblast and the head of Rosneft discuss perspectives on reclaiming fault-line oil], *RosBalt*, 23 April 2003. <http://www.murman.ru/themes/oil-rosbalt.shtml?page=6&name=themes&base=oil&file=oil-rosbalt&print=1>
- 281 Надстройка для корвета «Совершенный» доставлена в Комсомольск-на-Амуре ["Bulkhead for the 'Sovershenni' corvette is delivered to Komsomolsk-na-Amur"], *BMPD*, 12 October 2012.
- 282 Varamar website
- 283 Program attendees list. Available at http://www.interlegal.com.ua/en/events/eng_participants_2009.pdf
- 284 Office 32, 20-я Линия 5/7, St Petersburg, 199106, Russia. Equasis.
- 285 <http://westberg.ru/the-company/structure/>
- 286 For a full list of private security companies, see signatory list of "The International Code of Conduct for Private Security Service Providers", a Switzerland-based NGO and trade association seeking to promote transparency and common standards in the private security industry. Available at: <http://www.scribd.com/doc/88987240/ICoC-Signatory-Companies-Total-December-2012>
- 287 As evidenced by registration certificates on the Moran website. http://moran-group.org/upload/about/ISO_9001.jpg
- 288 "Задержанное в Нигерии судно с российским экипажем имело разрешение на перевозку оружия" [The detained ship in Nigeria with a Russian crew had permission for transportation of weapons] ITAR-TASS, 23 October 2012. <http://www.itar-tass.com/c1/553369.html>
- 289 "Massive Floating Armories Filled With Weapons Are A Pirates Sweet Dream," *Guardian*, 10 January 2013. <http://www.businessinsider.com/massive-floating-armories-filled-with-weapons-are-a-pirates-sweet-dream-2013-1>
- 290 *Report of the Monitoring Group on Somalia and Eritrea* pursuant to Security Council resolution 2002 (2011), 279-281. <http://www.un.org/sc/committees/751/mongroup.shtml>
- 291 Equasis.
- 292 "Оружие для Сирии везут из Петербурга?" [Are weapons for Syria being transported from St. Petersburg?] *Fontanka*, 1 November 2012. <http://www.fontanka.ru/2012/01/11/104/>
- 293 "Arrested in Nigeria Russian m/v Myre Seadiver most probably, is a floating arsenal," *Maritime Bulletin*, 23 October 2012. <http://www.odin.tc/news/read.asp?articleID=1464>
- 294 See Moran website. <http://moran-group.org/en/about/index>
- 295 "Hansa Builds New Heavy-lift Competitor," *BreakBulk*, 11 March 2013. <http://www.breakbulk.com/breakbulk-news/transportation-logistics/hansa-builds-new-heavy-lift-competitor/>
- 296 "Beluga rebranded as Hansa Heavy Lift," *World Cargo News*, 2 June 2011. <http://www.worldcargonews.com/htm/w20110602.054680.htm>
- 297 See HHL website. <http://www.hansaheavylift.com/kontakt/fuehrungsteam.html>
- 298 Hansa newsletter. http://www.hansaheavylift.com/fileadmin/pdf/HHL_TradeWinds_15072011.pdf
- 299 "Hired guns secure ships, stir controversy," *Stars and Stripes*, 15 February 2010. <http://www.stripes.com/news/hired-guns-secure-ships-stir-controversy-1.98968>
- 300 PMSC forum. <http://www.closeprotectionworld.com/members-looking-work/11817-advice-needed-urgently-3.html>
- 301 PMSC forum. <https://www.socnet.com/showthread.php?t=108599>
- 302 *Ibid.*
- 303 We characterize them as fronts based on the fact that their websites contain identical quotes, brochures, personnel, etc.
- 304 PMSC forum. <http://www.closeprotectionworld.com/members-looking-work/11817-advice-needed-urgently-3.html>
- 305 Ripoff Report. <http://www.ripoffreport.com/r/Varamar/nationwide/Varamar-Helicon-Security-Group-Varvarenko-Aleksandr-To-avoid-any-illegal-activity-we-want-909284>
- 306 For example, see Somalia Monitoring Group reports.
- 307 *Report of the Monitoring Group on Somalia and Eritrea* pursuant to Security Council resolution 2002 (2011), 279-281. <http://www.un.org/sc/committees/751/mongroup.shtml>
- 308 Рособоронэкспорт объявил о рекордном количестве контрактов в 2012 году [Rosoboronexport informs about the record quantity of contracts in 2012.] *Vzglyad*, 13 February 2013. <http://vz.ru/news/2013/2/13/620142.html>
- 309 "Ukraine world's 4th largest arms exporter in 2012, according to SIPRI," *Kyiv Post*, 18 March 2013. <http://www.kyivpost.com/content/ukraine/ukraine-worlds-4th-largest-arms-exporter-in-2012-according-to-sipri-321878.html>
- 310 Information gathered from Odessa leader's social media profiles, newspaper articles, etc.
- 311 Одесса. Незаконная ликвидация предприятия [Odessa. Illegal liquidation of a company.] *LB.ua*, 10 February 2012.

THE ODESSA NETWORK

- 312 “В России ежегодно отмывается 100 миллиардов долларов,” NewsRu, 5 June 2001. <http://www.newsru.com/russia/05jun2001/money.html>
- 313 “В прошлом году из России нелегально вывели 2 трлн рублей,” RBK Daily, 17 February 2012. <http://www.rbcdaily.ru/politics/562949982830349>
- 314 “Откровения Игнатъева: куда уходит \$49 млрд в год?,” Forbes, 1 April 2013. <http://www.forbes.ru/mneniya-column/gosplan/236500-otkroveniya-ignateva-kuda-uhodyat-49-mlrd-v-god>
- 315 Transparency International website. <http://cpi.transparency.org/cpi2012/results/>
- 316 “Russia loses \$52bn a year in tax evasion and illegal transfers, says bank chief,” Guardian, 21 February 2013. <http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2013/feb/21/russia-loses-52-billion-tax-evasion>
- 317 “The proxy platform,” OCCRP, 22 November 2011. <https://www.reportingproject.net/proxy/en/the-proxy-platform>
- 318 “Russia moves to prosecute a lawyer who died in jail,” *New York Times*, 28 January 2013. <http://www.nytimes.com/2013/01/29/world/europe/russia-tries-to-prosecute-the-dead-whistle-blower-magnitsky.html>
- 319 “Russia sacks armed forces chief amid corruption scandal,” BBC, 9 November 2012. <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-20265166>
- 320 “Ушаков передавал сведения российскому разведчику Хапилову (документы, видео)” [Ushakov testifies about the Russian intelligence agent Khalipov [with documents and video], Kompromat.lv, 16 November 2011. <http://www.kompromat.lv/item.php?docid=readn&id=7114> Kompromat sources are usually unreliable, but this one is extensively documented.
- 321 *Refusing impunity for the killers of Sergei Magnitsky*, European Union Committee on Legal Affairs and Human Rights, draft version, 18 June 2013, p. 40. <http://euobserver.com/media/src/e78308f7366584a30c4b75b81fa6a0a2.pdf>
- 322 “Faux Corporate Directors Stand in for Fraudsters, Despots and Spies,” *International Consortium of Investigative Journalists*, 7 April 2013. <http://www.icij.org/offshore/faux-corporate-directors-stand-fraudsters-despots-and-spies>
- 323 “The Latvian proxies,” OCCRP, 15 March 2012. <https://www.reportingproject.net/proxy/en/the-latvian-proxies>
- 324 “Arrest warrant for Kazakh billionaire accused of one of world’s biggest frauds,” Guardian, 16 February 2012. <http://www.guardian.co.uk/business/2012/feb/16/arrest-warrant-kazakh-billionaire-mukhtar-ablyazov>
- 325 “Report says Ukraine overpaid for oil rig,” *Ukrainian Journal*. <http://www.ukrainianjournal.com/index.php?w=article&id=12553>
- 326 “Erik Vanagels: the extent of a money laundering supermarket,” *Economic Crime Intelligence*, 23 February 2012. <http://economiccrimeintelligence.wordpress.com/2012/02/23/erik-vanagels-trademark-the-extent-of-a-money-laundering-supermarket/>
- 327 “Offshore Registration Business Forced to Halt Operations,” OCCRP, 28 June 2011. <https://reportingproject.net/offshore/index.php/offshore-registration-business-forced-to-halt-operations>
- 328 Panamamian business registrar
- 329 “Latvia fines bank over Magnitsky money laundering,” *EU Observer*, 20 June 2013. <http://euobserver.com/economic/120568>
- 330 “Treasury Wields PATRIOT Act Powers to Isolate Two Latvian Banks: Financial Institutions Identified as Primary Money Laundering Concerns,” US Treasury press release, 21 April 2005.
- 331 *Republic Of Latvia: Detailed Assessment of Anti-Money Laundering And Combating The Financing Of Terrorism*. International Monetary Fund, 2006. <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/scr/2007/cro7189.pdf>
- 332 *Report on Fourth Assessment Visit – Summary of Anti-Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism, Latvia*. Council of Europe, Committee Of Experts On The Evaluation Of Anti-Money Laundering Measures And The Financing Of Terrorism, 5 July 2012. <https://wcd.coe.int/com.instranet.InstrServlet?Command=com.instranet.CmdBlobGet&DocId=1955172&SecMode=1&Admin=0&Usage=2&InstranetImage=2187208>
- 333 “Latvia sees good and bad as Russian money haven,” *Reuters*, 23 October 2012. <http://www.reuters.com/article/2012/10/23/us-latvia-russia-banks-idUSBRE89MoSi120121023>
- 334 “Swiss-Style Latvian Banking Hub Thrives on Ex-Soviet Cash,” *Bloomberg*, 30 August 2012. <http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2012-08-29/swiss-style-latvian-banking-hub-thrives-on-ex-soviet-cash-flood.html>
- 335 “Swiss-Style Latvian Banking Hub Thrives on Ex-Soviet Cash,” *Bloomberg*, 30 August 2012. <http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2012-08-29/swiss-style-latvian-banking-hub-thrives-on-ex-soviet-cash-flood.html>
- 336 “Latvian Regulator Imposes Largest Possible Fine on a Latvian Bank Involved in Money Laundering Connected to the Magnitsky Case,” *Law and Order in Russia*, 19 June 2013. <http://lawandorderinrussia.org/2013/latvian-regulator-imposes-largest-possible-fine-on-a-latvian-bank-involved-in-money-laundering-connected-to-the-magnitsky-case/>
- 337 “Swiss-Style Latvian Banking Hub Thrives on Ex-Soviet Cash,” *Bloomberg*, 30 August 2012. <http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2012-08-29/swiss-style-latvian-banking-hub-thrives-on-ex-soviet-cash-flood.html>
- 338 Odessa Maritime Days press release, <http://www.maritimedays.odessa.ua/?r=site/page&src=release&print=page>
- 339 Attendees list available at <http://www.interlegal.com.ua/en/comfnacts/pdf/participants2011.pdf>
- 340 *Waterlux AG vs. Atlas Uluşlararasi Nakiyat Proje Tasimaciligi Sanayi ve Dis Ticaret Limited Sirketi, Atlasium Denizcilik Ve Proje Kargo Tasimaciligi Limited Sirk and General Co. Ltd.* 1:2009cv05705, 2009.
- 341 See ABLV website. <http://www.ablv.com/en/about/history>
- 342 *Waterlux AG vs. Atlas Uluşlararasi Nakiyat Proje Tasimaciligi Sanayi ve Dis Ticaret Limited Sirketi, Atlasium Denizcilik Ve Proje Kargo Tasimaciligi Limited Sirk and General Co. Ltd.* 1:2009cv05705, 2009.
- 343 *Securities and Exchange Commission v. Rockford Funding Group, et. al.*, Civil Action No. 1:2009CV10047 (PGG)(SDNY). <http://www.sec.gov/litigation/litreleases/2009/lr21329.htm>
- 344 “Iranian oil tanker signals conceal ships to Syria,” *Jerusalem Post*, 6 December 2012. <http://www.jpost.com/Middle-East/Iranian-oil-tanker-signals-conceal-ships-to-Syria>
- 345 “Have Tehran’s Tankers Hijacked the Tanzanian Flag?” *Forbes*, 12 July 2012. <http://www.forbes.com/sites/claudiarosett/2013/07/12/have-tehrans-tankers-hijacked-the-tanzanian-flag/>
- 346 UN Comtrade data
- 347 “Syrian grain imports pick up despite worsening war,” *Reuters*, 17 May 2013. <http://www.reuters.com/article/2013/05/17/syria-food-idUSL6NoDR1V920130517>
- 348 *United States of America vs. Monzer al Kassar*, 2007.
- 349 Рособоронэкспорт объявил о рекордном количестве контрактов в 2012 году [Rosoboronexport informs about the record quantity of contracts in 2012.] *Vzglyad*, 13 February 2013. <http://vz.ru/news/2013/2/13/620142.html>
- 350 Author personal interview with Nikolaev residents
- 351 “Putin Sharply Criticizes Ukraine Over Georgian Arms Reports,” *Radio Free Europe*, 3 October 2008. http://www.rferl.org/content/Putin_Sharply_Criticizes_Ukraine_Over_Georgian_Arms_Reports/1293613.html

www.c4ads.org