FILED

CH

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION O2 AUG 28 PM 4: 50

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TAMPA, FLORIDA

DIRECTV, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO: 8:02-cv-1346-T-26MAP

MICHAEL JAMES, TRISTAN JULIAN,
JURGEN KUESTER, BRIAN MEGAR,
PAUL MONDELLO, STEVE MORAN,
MIKE MUSCARELLA, THOMAS SLEDD,
DEREK SMITH, ROBERT SMITH,
MICHAEL MONTONARO, MICHAEL
TARABOKIJA, PHILLIP GROVENSTEIN,
CONNIE BARRETT, and BARBARA
SPEARS,

Defendants.		

## ORDER

Before the Court are the Motions to Dismiss filed by the Defendants Sledd and Julian (Dkts. 10 & 12) and supporting Memoranda of Law (Dkts. 11 & 13). After carefully considering the Defendants' submissions, together with the well-pleaded allegations of the Plaintiff's complaint (Dkt. 1), the Court concludes that the motions must be denied.<sup>1</sup>

As to Count I, the Plaintiff has more than adequately pleaded a cause of action under 47 U.S.C. § 605(a) by clearly and precisely alleging that the Defendants have received or assisted

Given this conclusion, the Court does not need a response from the Plaintiff.

others in receiving, without authorization, the Plaintiff's satellite transmissions of television programming. Moreover, the Court, after viewing the allegations of the complaint in the light most favorable to the Plaintiff, does not find that it is self-defeating as contended by the Defendants to the extent that the face of the complaint demonstrates an "insuperable bar to relief" as to Count I.

As to Counts II and III, it is obvious from a fair reading of the complaint that the foundation for these counts is in actuality 18 U.S.C. § 2520(a), which creates a private cause of action in favor of "any person whose wire, oral, or electronic communication is intercepted, disclosed, or intentionally used in violation of this chapter . . ." Again, after viewing the allegations of the complaint in the light most favorable to the Plaintiff, the Court concludes that these two counts state a cause of action under the statute because, contrary to the Defendants' contentions, the Plaintiff has in fact alleged that by use of the Pirate Access Devices, the Defendants "intentionally intercepted, . . . DIRECTV's satellite transmission of television programming," see paragraph 45, and that the Defendants knew or had reason to know that such devices rendered "them primarily useful for the purpose of surreptitious interception of DIRECTV's satellite transmissions of television programming . . ." See paragraph 49. Of course, the Plaintiff will have to establish by competent proof that these Defendants, as well as the other Defendants, actually engaged in the claimed violations as alleged. See Peavy v.

WFAA-TV, Inc., 221 F. 3d 158, 168-169 (5th Cir. 2000).

Accordingly, it is ordered and adjudged as follows:

1) The Motions to Dismiss (Dkts. 10 & 12) are denied.

2) The Defendants shall file their answers and defenses, if any, to the Plaintiff's complaint within 15 days of the date of the entry of this order.

DONE AND ORDERED at Tampa, Florida, on August 28, 2002.

RICHARD (A. LAZZARA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**COPIES FURNISHED TO:** 

Counsel of Record

Date Printed: 08/29/2002

Cost

## Notice sent to:

- James A. Boatman Jr., Esq.
  Stump, Storey & Callahan, P.A.
  37 N. Orange Ave., Suite 200
  P.O. Box 3388
  Orlando, FL 32802-3388
- Albert A. Zakarian, Esq.
  Anthony G. Woodward, P.A.
  2024 W. Cleveland St.
  Tampa, FL 33606
- Anthony G. Woodward, Esq. Anthony G. Woodward, P.A. 2024 W. Cleveland St. Tampa, FL 33606
- Val R. Patarini, Esq.
  Law Offices of Val R. Patarini
  128 E. Main St.
  P.O. Box 608
  Wauchula, FL 33873