

RECEIVED
MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

SEP 15 2010

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED _____
DOCKETED _____ DATE _____ INITIAL _____

PERRY

v.

Schwartzenegger

No. 10-16696

Motion to File

An Amicus Brief

Application to File An Amicus Brief in Support
Of Traditional Marriage

Applicant is a citizen of the State of California,
and an elector of the same.

Applicant alleges that homosexuality is a moral
issue that transcends the personal desires of the
Plaintiffs and significantly affects the lives of all
residents of the State to the extent that it becomes
a public interest issue which negates the requirement
of "standing" in the matter.

Applicant has filed motions before this court in
regards to the issue and now has an application for a
writ of certiorari pending before the United States
Supreme Court.

Applicant would allege that if the allegation that Judge Walker is a homosexual ^{is true,} that he has a personal interest in the outcome of the trial that he was over-seeing and, at the least, should have recused himself from the trial:

that excluding the Civil War Amendments and Suffrage the Constitution does not concern itself with civil rights, and that if it does concern itself with civil rights, the U. S. Supreme Court has misinterpreted the Fourteenth Amendment:

that "homosexual" and "marriage are defined in the canon of scripture commonly called the "Bible" which is at the least religious in nature and therefore beyond the reach of the court for adjudication:

that the concept of an "oath" required by the U. S. Constitution for officers of the United States embodies the recognition of a Supreme Being that may not be repudiated by those officers, etc.

These are some of the issues that I feel should be resolved in the matter; and as a result I am respectfully requesting authority to file an amicus brief in this matter.

August 30, 2010


ROBERT WOOTEN